

Henry Ford Health

Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons

Hematology Oncology Articles

Hematology-Oncology

11-1-2017

Role of Kindness in Cancer Care

Leonard L. Berry

Tracey S. Danaher

Robert A. Chapman
Henry Ford Health

Rana Awdish
Henry Ford Health, rawdish1@hfhs.org

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlycommons.henryford.com/hematologyoncology_articles

Recommended Citation

Berry LL, Danaher TS, Chapman RA, Awdish RLA. Role of Kindness in Cancer Care. *J Oncol Pract.* 2017 Nov;13(11):744-750.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Hematology-Oncology at Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Hematology Oncology Articles by an authorized administrator of Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons.

Role of Kindness in Cancer Care

Leonard L. Berry, Tracey S. Danaher, Robert A. Chapman, and Rana L.A. Awdish

Texas A&M University, College Station, TX; Institute for Healthcare Improvement, Cambridge, MA; Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, MI; and Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

Abstract

The wonders of high-tech cancer care are best complemented by the humanity of high-touch care. Simple kindnesses can help to diffuse negative emotions that are associated with cancer diagnosis and treatment—and may even help to improve patients' outcomes. On the basis of our experience in cancer care and research, we propose six types of kindness in cancer care: deep listening, whereby clinicians take the time to truly understand the needs and concerns of patients and their families; empathy for the patient with cancer, expressed by both individual clinicians and the care culture, that seeks to prevent avoidable suffering; generous acts of discretionary effort that go beyond what patients and families expect from a care team; timely care that is delivered by using a variety of tools and systems that reduce stress and anxiety; gentle honesty, whereby the truth is conveyed directly in well-chosen, guiding words; and support for family caregivers, whose physical and mental well-being are vital components of the care their loved ones receive. These mutually reinforcing manifestations of kindness—exhibited by self-aware clinicians who understand that how care is delivered matters—constitute a powerful and practical way to temper the emotional turmoil of cancer for patients, their families, and clinicians themselves.

When I arrive at my appointment, I am greeted by the ladies of the front desk with a knowing smile... They made that effort to know my name. It seems so inconsequential... but it is my first interaction of a very long day... The moment I walk into your building I feel safe. I know I am surrounded by people who care. (A letter to a cancer center president from a patient with cancer.)

Cancer care is a high-emotion service, which is characterized by a lack of customer control, feelings of powerlessness, severe consequences if things go wrong, high complexity, and long duration. Atypical levels of stress, worry, and fear are common.¹

Simple acts of kindness can help to diffuse negative emotions, as the letter from the patient with cancer describes. Accurate diagnosis and treatment are paramount, of

course, but how the care team delivers care also matters, as it can be a potent antidote to patients' negative emotions and may improve their outcomes.²⁻⁹

Kindness may be defined as “purposeful, voluntary action undertaken with sensitivity to the needs or desires of another person and actively directed toward fostering their well-being or flourishing.”¹⁰ Treating patients and their families with kindness by getting to know them, empathizing, listening, and responding to their needs earns their trust.^{2,11-13} Kindness is similar to term “emotional care,” used by Di Blasi et al,¹³ whereby clinicians use warmth, reassurance, and empathy to reduce fear and anxiety. In discussing the delivery of care—especially cancer care—we prefer the term kindness for its simplicity, breadth, and familiarity. Emphasizing kindness highlights the need



DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1200/JOP.2017.026195>; published online ahead of print at jop.ascopubs.org on October 16, 2017.

to complement the wonders of high-tech care with the humanity of high-touch care, especially for seriously ill patients.^{5,14,15}

Although clinicians likely view kindness as a requisite component of providing cancer care, a number of stressors may interfere with their good intentions. The complexity of health care delivery systems, coupled with financial and institutional pressures, can create a barrier between clinicians and the care they wish to provide. Indeed, the care itself can be a barrier, as compassion fatigue is well described in providers who are routinely involved in emotionally charged conversations.¹⁶ This reinforces the need to recall the integral role of kindness for both the patient and the provider so that it may be successfully, reliably deployed despite the pressures of the work. We propose six types of kindness in cancer care developed from our experiences treating critically ill patients with cancer (R.A.C.) and other life-threatening diagnoses (R.L.A.A.); being hospitalized for months with critical illness (R.L.A.A.); conducting field research at 10 cancer centers, a hospice, and a community health center, and interviewing approximately 400 adult patients with cancer, family members, and oncology clinicians and staff (L.L.B.); and studying operations at several pediatric cancer centers and interviewing patients' family members (T.S.D.).

TYPES OF KINDNESS

Deep Listening

Kindness requires listening intently to patients and family members, with minimal interruption, to draw out their preferences and feelings. Being truly present during the encounter demonstrates respect and fosters trust. Unhurried deep listening may seem incompatible with today's financial and productivity pressures, but its practical value outweighs the hidden costs of not listening well: Providing undesired treatment, missing information that is pertinent to the treatment plan, not recognizing patients' and families' lack of understanding, and thwarting clinicians' desires to better serve patients.¹⁷⁻²³

Abraham Verghese cautions that the electronic medical record can impede deep listening: "The representation of the patient in the electronic medical record (the iPatient, as I call it) is necessary. But being with the iPatient too long is a guaranteed way of *not* being present with the actual patient."²⁴(p1926) Deep listening shifts the paradigm from asking a patient, "What's the matter?" to inquiring, "What matters to you?"¹⁹ A hospice nurse said, "We cannot be afraid of the deep

conversations with patients to find out what's important to them, which you are not going to get by asking, 'How are you feeling today?'" (Unattributed quotes are the voices of interviewees.)

Deep listening is especially important in end-of-life care. A patient who was treated by one of us (R.A.C.)—a frail, elderly man with advanced lung cancer for whom additional aggressive treatment was futile—had a lifelong dream of making a family pilgrimage to Mecca. Discussion with the patient included moving from active treatment to improving quality of life, as well as the dangers of a strenuous journey versus missing a last chance to fulfill a dream. Bolstered by practical advice and family support, the patient journeyed to Mecca and returned. Although he lived only another 3 months, he and his family treasured this fulfilled wish.

Simple, open-ended questions can invite patients and families to offer otherwise undisclosed information.^{3,25,26} Intensive care unit nurses at Brigham and Women's Hospital (Boston, MA) begin their shifts by asking patients, "What's the most important thing we can do for you today?"²⁷ Palliative care physician Susan Block engages patients in difficult conversation with questions, such as "What do you understand your prognosis to be?"; "What are your concerns about what lies ahead?"; "What trade-offs are you willing to make?"; "How do you want to spend your time if your health worsens?"; and "Who do you want to make decisions if you can't?"²⁸ An oncologist observed, "Healing conversations are part of the healing process."

Shared decision making is stymied if patients or their families discern from a clinician's rushed manner that he or she lacks the time to fully involve them. Weighing available—sometimes convoluted—care options requires sustained focus to address all of a patient's and family's concerns. Parents of a child with cancer noted: "We were given two options, both with significant drawbacks and perils. We talked with our care team in detail about our fears and hopes. At no point did we feel rushed, and that made us feel free to share our concerns...to work together to decide on the best plan. As parents, we needed to be able to live with our choices regardless of the outcome."

Empathy

Nursing scholar, Theresa Wiseman, has identified four essential attributes of empathy: seeing the world from somebody else's perspective, avoiding judgment when assessing a situation, recognizing the emotion present, and responding to

that emotion in a genuinely caring way.²⁹ Empathy represents an anticipatory kindness after honestly assessing the patient's situation and potential stressors and, in many ways, is actionable.

Any serious illness confers suffering, but a care team can mitigate avoidable suffering by understanding the emotion that diagnosis and treatment evoke, then injecting kindness.³⁰ At Australia's Peter MacCallum Radiation Center, providers recognize that cancer treatment can be traumatic, especially for children; therefore, they use creative solutions to reduce anxiety from the outset. For example, pediatric patients and their siblings may select from a catalog a superhero costume that they may choose to wear to appointments, and are invited to star in their own superhero action movie that is professionally filmed onsite at Peter MacCallum. Clinicians at Peter MacCallum also individualize care by recognizing patients' unique fears and anxieties. One parent recounts, "My son had general anesthesia for radiation therapy, but as he felt a lot of anxiety about this procedure, the team would allow him to sit on me during anesthesia. They also noticed that when he woke up, he got upset about lacking a shirt. Now the team puts his shirt back on before he wakes... To me, these small acts were the ultimate kindness, reducing his anxiety and distress and, therefore, my own."

A cancer surgeon told this story about a gay patient who was married to his partner: "I expected to have to remove his testicle. I asked if he would want to save sperm before the surgery... That conversation was incredibly important to him and very satisfying to me. Because of his cancer, he was allowed to be seen as a whole person and not a gay guy with a partner. He said, 'You are the first person who ever talked to me about that.'"

Operationalizing empathy may seem counterintuitive. We may envision empathy as effortless, graceful compassion that flows unsolicited from innately kind people; however, reliably delivering empathic care within an organization means embedding it in the culture, just as protocols for the safe administration of medications are embedded. At Henry Ford Hospital in Detroit, MI, oncology fellows are trained in empathic communication by improvisational actors who play the roles of patients and family members. This situational teaching shows physicians how to decode certain behaviors as emotional cues and practice responding empathically. The training's success reveals that the vital components of empathy—recognizing and responding to emotion—are teachable.

Generous Acts

Kindness often manifests as generous acts by individuals and institutions. Generosity contributes to a service organization's success because it strengthens trust-based relationships with employees and customers.³¹ Generous staff put discretionary—extra—effort into their service of others.

In a study of adult patients with cancer, one of us (L.L.B.) asked interviewees, "Can you think of the best, most meaningful service experience you had as a [patient with] cancer?" Many responses, including this one, epitomized generosity: "My surgeon did something quite wonderful. She said, 'I am taking notes for you because it is hard to remember everything.'" Another patient said, "On several occasions, doctors have called me over the weekend to check in, just to see how I was doing."

Generous acts can strongly affect patients and families. A patient with bladder cancer praised a postsurgery nurse who taught him the best way to get out of bed at home. Patients at Marin Cancer Care extol the foot massages that are offered during chemotherapy. Staff at Northwell Health Monter Cancer Center refused to be evaluated by a patient copay-collection metric, which they felt conflicted with working to create a shame-free environment for uninsured patients and those who were behind on payments. A surgeon described a patient "who swears my 2-minute hug saved her life."

The annual employee giving campaign, "You and I," of Integris Health System reports cancer as the most popular donation choice since the campaign's inception. The Integris Cancer Institute has used the funds to support patient exercise through "Survivor Fit" and to provide free, nutritious meals to patients and families at the start of treatment through "CanServe." The newest program provides lodging assistance for those who travel to get treatment.

Generous acts not only benefit patients and families, but also the employees who perform them. Organizational generosity builds pride and creates a happier, more engaging, and less exhausting work environment.^{2,32-34} Personal generosity can be immensely satisfying, renewing, and energizing.³⁵

Timely Care

Heightened emotions upon a cancer diagnosis are likely to intensify the need for prompt action. Delays in setting clinical appointments, starting treatment, or receiving test results can be excruciating and can lead to dissatisfaction with the service and severe emotional strain.^{1,36-38} An oncologist commented that, "Uncertainty is the issue. Patients want to know what will

happen to them and what treatments they will get. The sooner we can give them the information they need, the more they can calm down.” In interviews (T.S.D.), parents of children with cancer would often remark that being in limbo is unbearable—it heightens the feeling of being powerless. Once a treatment plan is underway, a routine develops, which greatly reduces stress and anxiety.

A cancer center senior administrator commented that, “Every cancer center has a wait-time challenge; however, we can do much better on what we control, such as running our lab on time. Everyone must go through the lab. If the lab runs late, the whole thing goes late.” It is the part of timely care that can be controlled that connects to kindness. Timely cancer care, in part, is a function of empathy and extra effort—it is a personal and institutional commitment to reduce patients’ anxiety related to needless waiting for information or next steps.³⁹ Consider this patient story:

In post-treatment, I was experiencing more fear than with the initial diagnosis. I had positive outcomes from chemo and surgery, but was really frightened on follow-up visits that something would show up. Lying on the [computed tomography] table, I thought, “Boy, they sure are taking a lot of pictures.” Before one follow-up exam, I was especially upset. About 5:30 the evening before seeing the doctor, he emailed me and said, “All the images looked fine.” It was a huge relief.

Opportunities abound for cancer practices to improve the timeliness of care. Some centers are investing in them, despite the upfront costs that may not be recouped and the disruption of change. These opportunities include:

- An institutional commitment to provide newly diagnosed patients, within 10 days, a care bundle that, although customized, includes a standard set of getting-started services.³⁹
- A multidisciplinary clinic day when a newly diagnosed patient meets with each care team member to discuss the treatment plan and leaves with set appointments.^{1,40,41}
- A cancer urgent care clinic that is open during off hours.^{1,42}
- An off-hours call center that is staffed by experienced nurses who have access to the patient’s medical records and can answer questions, make clinical appointments, and, if indicated, dispatch a clinician to the patient’s home.^{43,44}
- In-home medical and palliative care services.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷
- An assigned patient navigator as a dependable direct contact.⁴⁸⁻⁵¹

Enabling patients to receive information and services remotely—when clinically appropriate—is an important opportunity. Telemedicine and other remote services can transform the location and often shorten the time to non-synchronous service. Kaiser Permanente’s Northern California practice offers more than 100 Internet, mobile, and video services.⁵² Some would not fit cancer care, but many, such as online symptom reporting,⁵³⁻⁵⁵ lessons accessed from an eLearning Web site,⁵⁶ and scheduled telephone and videoconference visits,⁵⁷ could help patients with cancer receive more timely, effective care.

Gentle Honesty

“Cancer is a high-potency word, a word without any positive associations,” states a patient with cancer. Most patients remember the moment they were told they had cancer. What clinicians say and how they say it can influence treatment decisions and patients’ quality of life. No single message works for everyone. Asking patients how much they want to know about their illness is informative and kind.^{3,58} Most patients want to hear the truth in honest, well-chosen words that convey a sense of partnership and that guide them to the right decisions.^{11,58,59}

An oncologist comments that, “Far too often, patients and doctors are too optimistic. Realism is needed so that patients and their doctors can make good decisions.” Another oncologist described this conversation as follows: “The patient would probably live a couple of more weeks, and another doctor wanted to do more [chemotherapy]. I told the patient, ‘What I see is there is no more benefit from continuing [chemotherapy]. It is time to focus on day-to-day comfort. I think you’ll live longer and better if we do that.’” A nurse practitioner said, “A doctor may say, ‘We can continue treatment or we can just do supportive care.’ We have to take the word ‘just’ out of that sentence.”

When asked in interviews to identify words or phrases to never use with patients, virtually all oncology clinicians came up with one or more never words—for example, “You failed chemotherapy,” or “You are lucky it is only stage II.” Cancer practices would benefit from staff discussions of such words, with an eye toward banishing their use.^{60,61}

Oncologists face complex internal pressures—giving patients every chance to live—and external ones—for example, patients or family members who do not want to give up.^{62,63} Such pressures are inherent in cancer care and can make

gentle honesty and sensitive language ever more crucial. Although patients with cancer initially hope for cure or remission—focused hope—clinicians can guide them to another kind of hope when the disease is advanced and cure or remission is improbable. Intrinsic hope involves living in the moment for a good day of family love, positive reflection, perhaps a grandchild or a dog on one's lap, and well-managed pain.¹⁷ The principal investigator of a US national clinical trial for adult acute leukemia describes “the peace, the comfort, the joy, and the sense of completion when a person chooses to live unencumbered by the demands of modern medical therapy.”⁶⁴

Support for Family Caregivers

Patients often lean on family members for emotional and physical support, help with medical activities, and assistance with daily needs.⁴³ Activities include going to doctor visits, preparing meals, visiting or staying in the hospital with the patient, administering medication, and organizing complementary therapies. It can also mean helping a loved one cope with fear, sadness, and anxiety. Family support and encouragement often motivate patients to participate willingly in self-care activities; however, caregivers themselves require support to maintain their own well-being.⁴³ Kindness in health care must extend beyond the patient to the family and other caregivers, especially when the patient depends greatly on those people. Supporting family caregivers in a role they often are ill prepared to perform—both cognitively and emotionally—bridges kindness and practicality. Cancer requires considerable informal care where patients live. Research has documented the benefits of preparing, empowering, and assisting family to provide care to a loved one.^{65,66}

Johns Hopkins Cancer Center offers an annual off-site, 3-day weekend retreat for women with metastatic breast cancer and their partners, typically a spouse. Free of charge, volunteer staff engage attendees in open, safe group discussion on how partners can support those dealing with stage IV breast cancer, resources for coping with the disease, and end-of-life care. One of us (L.L.B.) observed the retreat and witnessed participants bonding with one another and with staff. This program epitomizes the true meaning of kindness in cancer care.

Whereas a programmatic approach to kindness has the potential to affect many, simple individual acts can be equally powerful. One of us (R.L.A.A.) who was cared for at Henry Ford Hospital recalls how meaningful it was when radiology

technicians acknowledged her husband's fatigue. “Seeing him sleeping at my bedside each morning of what was a very long [intensive care unit] stay, they would gently cover him in a leaded apron when they shot my X-ray, rather than disrupt his sleep. That silent awareness of his needs was so simple, and yet meant everything to us. It meant his suffering was seen.”

CONCLUSION

Kindness can be a life vest in a sea of suffering. Yet in delivering high-emotion cancer care, kindness can be lost to the intense pressures of too much to do in too little time. Our article proposes that there is an authentic efficiency in care embedded in acts of kindness. Unwise treatment—and its human and financial costs—can be avoided when patients are carefully listened to and gently guided in honest dialogue; family caregivers can help shoulder some of the clinician's burden if they are properly supported and prepared. Empathetic and generous behaviors can be meaningful, not only to patients and families, but to clinicians and other staff as well. Research demonstrates that compassion for others buffers stress.⁸ The nurturing environment created by extending kindness to others, including coworkers, improves provider well-being and can be a potent antidote to physical and emotional exhaustion and burnout.^{16,23,32}

Creating an organizational culture of kindness is the responsibility of everyone. In addition to background and skills, managers need to make hiring and promotion decisions on the basis of candidates' humanistic values. Chaplains, social workers, and others need to gather staff periodically to openly discuss the stressors in oncology work and to share their stories of loss, learning, and renewal. Senior leaders need to strengthen job engagement by investing in sustainable workloads, formal curriculum on such subjects as mindfulness and self-awareness, and meaningful recognition and clinician choice and autonomy, among other investments.^{16,23,67,68} Individuals also need to support one another with kindness; even the simplest acts of kindness can make a profound difference, not only during the moments in which they occur, but in strengthening an organization's culture.

The personal stories of patients, families, and clinicians illustrate the impact of the human touch in cancer care. The six types of kindness—deep listening, empathy, generous acts, timely care, gentle honesty, and support for caregivers—are not mutually exclusive. Instead, they represent overlapping manifestations of genuine kindness, a powerful and practical way for clinicians to temper the emotional turmoil of cancer. **JOP**

Authors' Disclosures of Potential Conflicts of Interest

Disclosures provided by the authors are available with this article at jop.ascopubs.org.

Author Contributions

Conception and design: All authors

Manuscript writing: All authors

Final approval of manuscript: All authors

Accountable for all aspects of the work: All authors

Corresponding author: Leonard L. Berry, PhD, MBA, Department of Marketing, Mays Business School, Texas A&M University, 4112 TAMU, College Station, TX 77843-4112; e-mail: lberry@mays.tamu.edu.

References

- Berry LL, Davis SW, Wilmet J: When the customer is stressed. *Harv Bus Rev* 93: 86-94, 2015
- Dignity Health: Scientific literature review shows health care delivered with kindness and compassion leads to faster healing, reduced pain. <https://www.dignityhealth.org/about-us/press-center/press-releases/scientific-literature-review-with-stanford>
- Lee SJ, Back AL, Block SD, et al: Enhancing physician-patient communication. *Hematology (Am Soc Hematol Educ Program)* 2002:464-483, 2002
- Roberts CS, Cox CE, Reintgen DS, et al: Influence of physician communication on newly diagnosed breast patients' psychologic adjustment and decision-making. *Cancer* 74:336-341, 1994 (suppl 1)
- Wright EB, Holcombe C, Salmon P: Doctors' communication of trust, care, and respect in breast cancer: Qualitative study. *BMJ* 328:864, 2004
- Stewart M, Brown JB, Boon H, et al: Evidence on patient-doctor communication. *Cancer Prev Control* 3:25-30, 1999
- Kiecolt-Glaser JK, Marucha PT, Malarkey WB, et al: Slowing of wound healing by psychological stress. *Lancet* 346:1194-1196, 1995
- Cosley BJ, McCoy SK, Saslow LR, et al: Is compassion for others stress buffering? Consequences of compassion and social support for physiological reactivity to stress. *J Exp Soc Psychol* 46:816-823, 2010
- Hamrick WS: *Kindness and the Good Society: Connections of the Heart*. Albany, NY, State University of New York Press, 2002
- Dean L, Doty JR: The healing power of kindness. *The Huffington Post*. http://www.huffingtonpost.com/project-compassion-stanford/the-healing-power-of-kindness_b_6136272.html
- Bendapudi NM, Berry LL, Frey KA, et al: Patients' perspectives on ideal physician behaviors. *Mayo Clin Proc* 81:338-344, 2006
- Kelley JM, Kraft-Todd G, Schapira L, et al: The influence of the patient-clinician relationship on healthcare outcomes: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *PLoS One* 9:e94207, 2014 [Erratum: *PLoS One* 9: e101191, 2014]
- Di Blasi Z, Harkness E, Ernst E, et al: Influence of context effects on health outcomes: A systematic review. *Lancet* 357:757-762, 2001
- Hruby M, Pantilat SZ, Lo B: How do patients view the role of the primary care physician in inpatient care? *Am J Med* 111:215-255, 2001 (suppl 9B)
- Schers H, van de Ven C, van den Hoogen H, et al: Patients' needs for contact with their GP at the time of hospital admission and other life events: A quantitative and qualitative exploration. *Ann Fam Med* 2:462-468, 2004
- Emanuel LL, Ferris FD, von Gunten CF, et al: Combating compassion fatigue and burnout in cancer care. In: Emanuel LL, Ferris FD, von Gunten CF, et al (eds): *EPEC-O: Education in Palliative and End-of-Life Care for Oncology*. Module 15: Cancer Doctors and Burnout. <https://www.cancer.gov/resources-for/hp/education/epco/self-study/module-15/module-15.pdf>
- Stuart B, Begoun A, Berry L: The dual nature of hope at the end of life. *The BMJ Opinion*. <http://blogs.bmj.com/bmj/2017/04/13/the-dual-nature-of-hope-at-the-end-of-life>
- Bisognano M, Schummers D: Flipping healthcare: An essay by Maureen Bisognano and Dan Schummers. *BMJ* 349:g5852, 2014
- Barry MJ, Edgman-Levitan S: Shared decision making—Pinnacle of patient-centered care. *N Engl J Med* 366:780-781, 2012
- Epstein RM, Back AL: A piece of my mind. Responding to suffering. *JAMA* 314: 2623-2624, 2015
- Gunderman R, Lynch J, Harrell H: A hazard of impatient medicine. *The Atlantic*. <https://www.theatlantic.com/health/archive/2013/09/a-hazard-of-impatient-medicine/279270>
- Shanafelt TD, Dyrbye LN, Sinsky C, et al: Relationship between clerical burden and characteristics of the electronic environment with physician burnout and professional satisfaction. *Mayo Clin Proc* 91:836-848, 2016
- Shanafelt TD: Enhancing meaning in work: A prescription for preventing physician burnout and promoting patient-centered care. *JAMA* 302:1338-1340, 2009
- Verghese A: The importance of being. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 35:1924-1927, 2016
- Moriates C: A few good words. *Ann Intern Med* 164:566-567, 2016
- Martin DB: "Write it down like you told me": Transparent records and my oncology practice. *J Oncol Pract* 11:285-286, 2015
- Sun LH: Meet the cancer patient in room 52: His name is Joseph, but call him Joe. *The Washington Post*. https://www.washingtonpost.com/national/health-science/effort-to-reduce-harm-in-hospitals-centers-on-seeing-patient-as-a-person/2015/04/08/13c7a814-da16-11e4-b3f2-607bd612aeac_story.html?utm_term=.aa4b3f76255b
- Gawande A: *Being Mortal: Medicine and What Matters in the End*. New York, NY, Metropolitan Books, 2014
- Wiseman T: A concept analysis of empathy. *J Adv Nurs* 23:1162-1167, 1996
- Lee TH: The word that shall not be spoken. *N Engl J Med* 369:1777-1779, 2013
- Berry LL: Lessons from high-performance service organizations. *Industrial Mktg Mgmt* 40:188-189, 2011
- Barsade SG, O'Neill OA: What's love got to do with it? A longitudinal study of the culture of compassionate love and employee and client outcomes in the long-term care setting. *Adm Sci Q* 59:551-598, 2014
- Berry LL, Seltman KD: *Management Lessons from Mayo Clinic*. New York, NY, McGraw-Hill, 2008
- Berry LL: *Discovering the Soul of Service*. New York, NY, The Free Press, 1999
- Berry LL: The best companies are generous companies. *Bus Horiz* 50:263-269, 2007
- Visser MR, van Lanschot JJ, van der Velden J, et al: Quality of life in newly diagnosed cancer patients waiting for surgery is seriously impaired. *J Surg Oncol* 93: 571-577, 2006
- Paul C, Carey M, Anderson A, et al: Cancer patients' concerns regarding access to cancer care: Perceived impact of waiting times along the diagnosis and treatment journey. *Eur J Cancer Care (Engl)* 21:321-329, 2012
- Attai DJ, Hampton R, Staley AC, et al: What do patients prefer? Understanding patient perspectives on receiving a new breast cancer diagnosis. *Ann Surg Oncol* 23: 3182-3189, 2016
- Jacobson JO, Rotenstein LS, Berry LL: New diagnosis bundle: Improving care delivery for patients with newly diagnosed cancer. *J Oncol Pract* 12:404-406, 2016
- Fennell ML, Das IP, Clauser S, et al: The organization of multidisciplinary care teams: Modeling internal and external influences on cancer care quality. *J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr* 2010:72-80, 2010
- Harshman LC, Kaag M, Efstathiou JA, et al: Exploring multidisciplinary practice patterns in the management of muscle invasive bladder cancer (MIBC) across the US and Canada in 2015. *J Clin Oncol* 34, 2016 (suppl 2; abstr 368)
- Sanghavi D, Samuels K, George M, et al: Case study: Transforming cancer care at a community oncology practice. *Healthc (Amst)* 3:160-168, 2015
- Berry LL, Dalwadi SM, Jacobson JO: Supporting the supporters: What family caregivers need to care for a loved one with cancer. *J Oncol Pract* 13:35-41, 2017
- Berry LL, Mate KS: Essentials for improving service quality in cancer care. *Healthc (Amst)* 4:312-316, 2016
- Rabow M, Kvale E, Barbour L, et al: Moving upstream: A review of the evidence of the impact of outpatient palliative care. *J Palliat Med* 16:1540-1549, 2013
- Spettell CM, Rawlins WS, Krakauer R, et al: A comprehensive case management program to improve palliative care. *J Palliat Med* 12:827-832, 2009
- Berry LL, Castellani R, Stuart B: The branding of palliative care. *J Oncol Pract* 12: 48-50, 2016

48. Rocque GB, Pisu M, Jackson BE, et al: Resource use and Medicare costs during lay navigation for geriatric patients with cancer. *JAMA Oncol* 3:817-825, 2017
49. Paskett ED, Harrop JP, Wells KJ: Patient navigation: An update on the state of the science. *CA Cancer J Clin* 61:237-249, 2011
50. Post DM, McAlearney AS, Young GS, et al: Effects of patient navigation on patient satisfaction outcomes. *J Cancer Educ* 30:728-735, 2015
51. Freund KM, Battaglia TA, Calhoun E, et al: Impact of patient navigation on timely cancer care: The Patient Navigation Research Program. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 106:dju115, 2014
52. Berry LL, Beckham D, Dettman A, et al: Toward a strategy of patient-centered access to primary care. *Mayo Clin Proc* 89:1406-1415, 2014
53. DuBenske LL, Gustafson DH, Shaw BR, et al: Web-based cancer communication and decision making systems: Connecting patients, caregivers, and clinicians for improved health outcomes. *Med Decis Making* 30:732-744, 2010
54. Basch E, Deal AM, Dueck AC, et al: Overall survival results of a trial assessing patient-reported outcomes for symptom monitoring during routine cancer treatment. *JAMA* 318:197-198, 2017
55. Basch E, Deal AM, Kris MG, et al: Symptom monitoring with patient-reported outcomes during routine cancer treatment: A randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Oncol* 34:557-565, 2016
56. Reis J, McGinty B, Jones S: An e-learning caregiving program for prostate cancer patients and family members. *J Med Syst* 27:1-12, 2003
57. Patel MI, Periyakoil VS, Blayney DW, et al: Redesigning cancer care delivery: Views from patients and caregivers. *J Oncol Pract* 13:e291-e302, 2017
58. Smith TJ: The art of oncology: When the tumor is not the target. Tell it like it is. *J Clin Oncol* 18:3441-3445, 2000
59. Sisk B: A piece of my mind. Time will tell. *JAMA* 313:1107-1108, 2015
60. Berry LL, Jacobson JO, Stuart B: Managing the clues in cancer care. *J Oncol Pract* 12:407-410, 2016
61. Awdish RLA: A view from the edge—Creating a culture of caring. *N Engl J Med* 376:7-9, 2017
62. Widera EW, Rosenfeld KE, Fromme EK, et al: Approaching patients and family members who hope for a miracle. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 42:119-125, 2011
63. Chi DS, Berchuck A, Dizon DS, et al: *Gynecologic Oncology: Principles and Practice* (ed 7). Philadelphia, PA, Walters Kluwer, 2017
64. Cripe LD: Hope is the thing with feathers. *JAMA* 315:265-266, 2016
65. Northouse L, Williams AL, Given B, et al: Psychosocial care for family caregivers of patients with cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 30:1227-1234, 2012
66. Northouse LL, Katapodi MC, Song L, et al: Interventions with family caregivers of cancer patients: Meta-analysis of randomized trials. *CA Cancer J Clin* 60:317-339, 2010
67. Krasner MS, Epstein RM, Beckman H, et al: Association of an educational program in mindful communication with burnout, empathy, and attitudes among primary care physicians. *JAMA* 302:1284-1293, 2009
68. Balik PJ, Swensen S, Kabcenell A, et al: IHI framework for improving joy in work. Institute for Healthcare Improvement. <http://www.ihl.org/resources/Pages/IHIWhitePapers/Framework-Improving-Joy-in-Work.aspx>

AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**Role of Kindness in Cancer Care**

The following represents disclosure information provided by authors of this manuscript. All relationships are considered compensated. Relationships are self-held unless noted. I = Immediate Family Member, Inst = My Institution. Relationships may not relate to the subject matter of this manuscript. For more information about ASCO's conflict of interest policy, please refer to www.asco.org/rwc or ascopubs.org/jop/site/ifc/journal-policies.html.

Leonard L Berry

No relationship to disclose

Tracey S. Danaher

No relationship to disclose

Robert A Chapman

No relationship to disclose

Rana L.A. Awdish

Speakers' Bureau: Bayer