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SUMMARY

The immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment in pancreatic cancer is comprised in part by various myeloid cells, including tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) and myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs). We discuss the role of TAMs and MDSCs in promoting immune suppression and highlight current myeloid targeted therapies.

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDA), the most common pancreatic cancer, is a nearly universally lethal malignancy. PDA is characterized by extensive infiltration of immunosuppressive myeloid cells, including tumor-associated macrophages and myeloid-derived suppressor cells. Myeloid cells in the tumor microenvironment inhibit cytotoxic T-cell responses promoting carcinogenesis. Immune checkpoint therapy has not been effective in PDA, most likely because of this robust immune suppression, making it critical to elucidate mechanisms behind this phenomenon. Here, we review myeloid cell infiltration and cellular crosstalk in PDA progression and highlight current therapeutic approaches to target myeloid cell-driven immune suppression.

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDA) is one of the most lethal human malignancies, with a 5-year survival rate of only 10%.1 PDA is projected to become the second most lethal human malignancies, with a 5-year survival rate of only 10%.1 PDA is characterized by a dense fibroinflammatory stroma that consists of fibroblasts, vasculature, nerves, extracellular matrix components, and infiltrating immune cells.16 The immune cells within the tumor microenvironment (TME) are immunosuppressive in nature.17 Within the TME, there is an extensive infiltration of myeloid cells that directly promote tumor progression18 and prevent T-cell responses.19 Accordingly, myeloid cell abundance in tumors correlates with worse outcomes,20,21 whereas the abundance of tumor-infiltrating T cells correlates with longer survival.22 Immune therapy has revolutionized treatment for several malignancies.23,24 However, the benefit of single agent immunotherapy has not yet extended to PDA,25,26 with the exception of the 1% of PDA patients with microsatellite instability high tumors.27 Immune checkpoint therapy acts by reactivating T-cell effector functions most commonly through blockade of programmed cell death 1 (PD-1) or cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4), unleashing anti-tumor T-cell responses that result in reduced tumor burden.28 Although single agent immunotherapy has not been effective in PDA, recent trials using combination of targeting of T cells and myeloid cells are ongoing, supported by robust preclinical data. In this review, we will describe the critical role myeloid cells play as mediators of immune suppression in PDA and highlight potential strategies to target these cells in the context of combination immunotherapy.

Abbreviations used in this paper: ADM, acinar to ductal metaplasia; CSFIR, colony-stimulating factor 1 receptor; CTLA-4, cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen 4; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; GM-CSF, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor; HB-EGF, heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor; IKK, inhibitory κB kinase; IL, interleukin; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; MDSC, myeloid-derived suppressor cell; NF-κB, nuclear factor kappa B; PanN, pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia; PD-1, programmed cell death; PMN, polymorphonuclear; TAM, tumor-associated macrophage; TME, tumor microenvironment; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.
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Multiple Myeloid Cell Populations Promote PDA

In normal physiology, myeloid cells develop from hematopoietic stem cells in the bone marrow in a process called myelopoiesis. Myeloid cells are defined as CD45+ CD11b+ cells but further differentiate into distinct populations: macrophages, granulocytes, mast cells, and dendritic cells, all components of the innate immune system. Macrophages within the tumor are referred to as tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) and have distinct features compared with normal macrophages. Granulocytes can be further divided into eosinophils, basophils, and neutrophils. Within the TME, neutrophils and monocytes are often in an immature state referred to as immature myeloid cells/myeloid-derived suppressor cell (MDSC). In this review we will focus specifically on the role of TAMs and MDSCs in PDA progression (Figure 1).

Tumor-Associated Macrophages

Within the PDA TME, macrophages are an abundant immune cell population. Macrophages derived from embryonic progenitors constitute the tissue-resident population; macrophages can also derive from infiltrating monocytes. Macrophages perform multiple physiological functions, including phagocytosis to eliminate debris, antigen presentation, and cytokine secretion to recruit other immune cells to the site of injury. Macrophages are defined by expression of CD11b+ CD68+ EMR1+ in humans and CD11b+ CD68+ F4/80+ in mice. Macrophages are plastic cells that exist on a spectrum of differentiation states. On the basis of in vitro assays, macrophages can be classified into 2 main subtypes on each extreme of the spectrum. M1, or classically activated, macrophages are generally considered to have antitumor activities and can be induced through interferon-gamma and toll-like receptor stimuli. M1 macrophages are characterized by high expression of interleukin 12 (IL12), tumor necrosis factor (TNF), and inducible nitric oxide synthase. M2, or alternatively activated, macrophages are considered to have pro-tumor activities and can be induced through the cytokines IL4 and IL13. M2 macrophages lose their antigen presentation abilities and act to instead suppress the immune response through a variety of mechanisms.

The M1/M2 classification is an oversimplification that is helpful for broad description but does not accurately describe the in vivo heterogeneity of TAMs. TAMs within the tumor are derived from either infiltrating monocytes or embryonically derived, tissue-resident macrophages. Furthermore, the heterogeneity of TAM origin has functional implications, where monocyte derived TAMs have increased antigen presentation abilities, and embryonically derived TAMs shape the fibrotic response. Within the TME, TAMs conform to neither the M1 nor the M2 phenotype but rather have traits of both polarization states. Their overall pro-tumor function explains the inverse correlation between TAMs and survival. TAMs have been extensively studied in PDA. Because of the plasticity of macrophages, TAM targeted therapy aims to...

Figure 1. Myeloid cell lineage differentiation and markers. Schematic of myeloid cell differentiation from the bone marrow. Hematopoietic stem cells (HSC) from the bone marrow give rise to common myeloid progenitors (CMP), which give rise to monocytes, granulocytes, and immature myeloid cells, referred to as myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs). Monocytes in the circulation differentiate into tumor-associated macrophages (TAM) when they enter the tissue. TAMs exist on a spectrum of polarization, with M1 and M2 being at either extreme. MDSCs can be classified into 2 main subsets: PMN-MDSC and M-MDSC. PMN-MDSCs are phenotypically more similar to granulocytes, and M-MDSCs closely resemble monocytes (dashed arrow). Surface markers used to define each myeloid population in both mice and humans are listed on the right.
reprogram them to their anti-tumor functions. The colony-stimulating factor 1/colony-stimulating factor 1 receptor (CSF1/CSF1R) axis recruits and polarizes immunosuppressive TAMs. CSF1R is the major lineage regulator for all macrophage subsets.\textsuperscript{35} PDA tumors are infiltrated by CSF1R\textsuperscript{+} macrophages.\textsuperscript{41,42} Inhibition of CSF1R in mice results in reduced tumor burden and an increase in T-cell infiltration, providing evidence that targeting TAMs relieves immune suppression in the TME.\textsuperscript{35,43} Furthermore, CSF1R inhibition in mice sensitizes PDA tumors to either PD-1 or CTLA-4 antagonists,\textsuperscript{42} suggesting that although single agent immunotherapy is not sufficient to reduce tumor burden, immune checkpoint blockade in combination with TAM modulating therapies can effectively reverse immune therapy resistance.

The CCL2/CCR2 chemokine axis is critical for the genesis of TAMs. CCL2 produced by tumor cells recruits CCR2\textsuperscript{+} monocytes from the bone marrow to the circulation that then differentiate into TAMs after entering the tumor tissue.\textsuperscript{43} PDA patients with high levels of circulating monocytes have worse overall survival rates.\textsuperscript{50} Monocytes in circulation do not possess the same immunosuppressive abilities as TAMs, suggesting the cellular crosstalk in the TME is critical for this function.\textsuperscript{20} CCR2 blockade in mice results in retention of CCR2\textsuperscript{+} monocytes in the bone marrow, impairing tumor growth.\textsuperscript{50} CCR2 blockade in combination with gemcitabine further impairs tumor growth.\textsuperscript{20} Similarly, in a PDA clinical trial, patients with borderline resectable and locally advanced disease were treated with a combination of FOLFIRINOX and CCR2 antagonist (PF-04136309).\textsuperscript{44} After treatment, patients had reduced circulating CCR2\textsuperscript{+} monocytes and subsequently fewer TAMs in the tumor, as well as increased CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells.\textsuperscript{45} However, a recent phase 1b trial evaluated PF-04136309 in combination with gemcitabine/nab-paclitaxel in patients with metastatic PDA.\textsuperscript{45} Unlike the previous phase 1b trial, this study did not show that PF-04136309 added additional benefit to the prescribed chemotherapy regimen.\textsuperscript{45} Furthermore, in the setting of metastatic PDA, CCR2 inhibition in combination with gemcitabine/nab-paclitaxel was not tolerable in patients.\textsuperscript{45} Taken together, these reports suggest that the benefit of CCR2 inhibition may be limited to locally advanced disease that does not extend to metastatic patients.

In addition to an increase in macrophage frequency in PDA, a recent study used multiplex immunofluorescence to evaluate the spatial relationship of M1 and M2 macrophages in human PDA.\textsuperscript{46} M1 macrophages were more often found in close proximity to tumor cells, compared with M2 macrophages. Interestingly, when M2 macrophages resided near tumor cells, patients had worse survival outcomes, compared with patients with more distal M2 macrophages. This study provides evidence that both macrophage abundance and location are important factors for patient outcome.

TAMs within the PDA TME express less antigen presenting MHC II,\textsuperscript{47} suggesting that macrophages could be reprogrammed to perform their role as antigen presenting cells. CD40 is a member of the TNF receptor superfamily and is expressed broadly on immune cells including monocytes and macrophages.\textsuperscript{48,49} Activation of CD40 with an agonist (FGK45) in mice resulted in up-regulation of MHC II in macrophages from the tumor and spleen, suggesting CD40 activation in part reprograms TAMs to an anti-tumor phenotype.\textsuperscript{50,51} FGK45 in combination with gemcitabine resulted in reduced tumor burden in a cohort of patients.\textsuperscript{50} In addition, combination of gemcitabine and CD40 agonism resulted in increased tumor T-cell infiltration in mice.\textsuperscript{52} Paralleling the human trials, mouse models of PDA are also resistant to single agent immune checkpoint blockade; however, combined chemotherapy and immunotherapy approaches have shown success. Combination therapy of gemcitabine/nab-paclitaxel and aCD40 agonist sensitizes tumors to aPD-1 and aCTLA-4 immunotherapy in murine models of PDA.\textsuperscript{52} This combined chemotherapy and immunotherapy approach (gemcitabine, nab-paclitaxel, aCD40 agonist, aPD-1) is currently under clinical trial for patients with metastatic PDA (NCT03214250). Furthermore, in mice, the effectiveness of the combined chemotherapy and immunotherapy regimen can be predicted on the basis of the amount of CD8\textsuperscript{+} T-cell infiltration, with tumors rich in CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells correlating with increased therapeutic response.\textsuperscript{53}

Taken together, these studies highlight the tumor promoting role of TAMs in the PDA TME. Macrophage targeted therapy is promising because it synergizes with frontline chemotherapy and immunotherapy regimens to reactivate effector T-cell responses and reduce tumor burden.

Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells

MDSCs are immature myeloid cells with immunosuppressive functions. MDSCs can be further classified into two main populations, polymorphonuclear (PMN)-MDSCs and mononuclear-MDSCs (M-MDSCs). These subsets are phenotypically distinct. PMN-MDSCs have more resemblance to granulocytes/neutrophils, whereas M-MDSCs closely resemble monocytes. In mice, MDSCs are broadly defined by CD11b\textsuperscript{+} Gr-1\textsuperscript{+}, with Ly-6C and Ly-6G used to delineate MDSC populations.\textsuperscript{54} In mice, MDSCs are defined CD11b\textsuperscript{+} Ly6C\textsuperscript{hi} Ly6G\textsuperscript{hi} for PMN-MDSCs and CD11b\textsuperscript{+} Ly6C\textsuperscript{hi} Ly6G\textsuperscript{hi} for M-MDSCs.\textsuperscript{55} Because of their phenotypic differences, human PMN-MDSCs, which closely mirror granulocytes/neutrophils, are defined by CD11b\textsuperscript{+} CD14\textsuperscript{+} CD15\textsuperscript{+} or CD11b\textsuperscript{+} CD14\textsuperscript{+} CD66b\textsuperscript{+}; whereas human M-MDSCs are more similar to monocytes, are defined by CD11b\textsuperscript{+} CD14\textsuperscript{+} HLA-DR\textsuperscript{+}/CD15\textsuperscript{+}.\textsuperscript{55} Although PMN-MDSCs and M-MDSCs are the major MDSC populations, there are MDSCs that share markers of both and may represent a common progenitor. This third MDSC population is called early stage MDSCs and has yet to be functionally evaluated in PDA.\textsuperscript{54,55} Although MDSCs are unique from their mature myeloid counterparts, neutrophils and monocytes, controversy remains on separating PMN-MDSCs from neutrophils. Currently, there are no markers to distinguish the immature PMN-MDSCs from mature neutrophils, and the only possible method of separation is via density centrifugation.\textsuperscript{56} M-MDSCs differ from monocytes because they express low HLA-DR
and differ from TAMs because they do not express F4/80.\textsuperscript{57}

Distinction between neutrophils and PMN-MDSCs remains challenging, and distinctive markers are needed.\textsuperscript{58,59}

Importantly, MDSCs are ultimately defined by their functionality. MDSCs perform their immune suppressive functions through multiple mechanisms, with the main one being depletion of the essential amino acid L-arginine from the TME.\textsuperscript{58,59} MDSCs produce high levels of Arginase 1 (ARG1), an enzyme that metabolizes L-arginine, resulting in T-cell inhibition.\textsuperscript{60} When considering MDSC function, it is important to also consider that MDSCs exist in 2 main populations. PMN-MDSCs comprise the largest percentage of MDSCs found in the blood and the tumor, compared with M-MDSCs.\textsuperscript{61} Despite M-MDSCs making up a smaller portion of the tumor, they often have an increased immunosuppressive function than PMN-MDSCs.\textsuperscript{62} Both MDSC populations express high amounts of the enzyme ARG1, which depletes L-arginine, resulting in T-cell inhibition.\textsuperscript{63} However, PMN-MDSCs and M-MDSCs have additional and distinct immunosuppressive functions. PMN-MDSCs produce high amounts of reactive oxygen species and low nitric oxide.\textsuperscript{64} M-MDSCs produce high nitric oxide and low reactive oxygen species.\textsuperscript{65} Furthermore, M-MDSC immune suppression is in part due to tumor cell-derived prostaglandin E2 activating p50, a nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) subunit that results in increased inducible nitric oxide synthase production.\textsuperscript{66} These data show MDSC populations have distinct mechanisms to suppress T cells.

Because of the immunosuppressive nature of MDSCs, targeting these cells within the PDA TME is an attractive option for pancreatic cancer treatment. Early work in mouse models targeted MDSCs through administration of zoledronic acid, which acts to reduce MDSCs recruitment through inhibition of matrix metalloproteinase.\textsuperscript{9,55} Administration of zoledronic acid in a PDA mouse model results in delayed tumor growth, enhanced survival, and increased CD8\textsuperscript{+} T-cell infiltration.\textsuperscript{66} CXCR2 is a receptor found on neutrophils/MDSCs and regulates the recruitment of MDSCs to the TME.\textsuperscript{67} Inhibition of CXCR2 in a genetically engineered mouse model of pancreatic cancer resulted in extended survival, an increase in T-cell infiltration, and synergy with immunotherapy.\textsuperscript{68} MDSCs are also recruited to the tumor through tumor cell-derived granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) secretion. Neutralization of GM-CSF in murine models of PDA results in a reduction in MDSC recruitment and subsequently reduced tumor growth.\textsuperscript{69,70} Depletion of the PMN-MDSC subset with an antibody against Ly-6G results in tumor cell death and increased CD8\textsuperscript{+} T-cell infiltration.\textsuperscript{71} Thus, MDSC-targeted therapies can partially reverse immune suppression.

**Myeloid-Epithelial Crosstalk Promotes Immune Suppression**

Myeloid cells do not act alone in establishing an immune suppressive TME. Rather, they act as a central hub in a complex cellular crosstalk that promotes tumor progression. Here we will explore mechanisms of cellular crosstalk between myeloid cells and cancer cells that activate signaling pathways that enhance immune suppression (Figure 2).

Beyond their role in establishing an immunosuppressive TME, myeloid cells play a critical role in promoting pancreatic carcinogenesis,\textsuperscript{18,72–74} in a PDA mouse model driven by inducible expression of oncogenic Kras\textsuperscript{G12D} (iKras),\textsuperscript{75} myeloid cell ablation—using CD11b promoter driven expression of the diphtheria toxin receptor followed by diphtheria toxin treatment—causes regression of early PanIN lesions, preceded by reduced ERK activity in the neoplasia.\textsuperscript{19} Although oncogenic KRAS is the main genetic driver of PDA, it is not sufficient to induce carcinogenesis without additional activation of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) to amplify mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling in the epithelium.\textsuperscript{77,78} Of note, myeloid cells in the neoplastic pancreas express high levels of the EGFR ligands, heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor (HB-EGF) and epiregulin, suggesting that they promote the initial stages of pancreatic carcinogenesis by stimulating epithelial EGFR. Conversely, oncogenic Kras expression in the epithelium also alters macrophage polarization.\textsuperscript{10} Extinguishing Kras expression in the iKras model results in decreased expression of Arginase 1 (Arg1) and the EGFR ligand HB-EGF (Hbegf) in the myeloid compartment, with...
subsequent loss of EGFR (Egfr) expression in the epithelial compartment. These data suggest that KRAS/EGFR/MAPK signaling regulates myeloid cell infiltration and polarization before PanN formation, which in turn promotes epithelial transformation and progression of the neoplasia.

In addition to its early role in PDA formation, EGFR also regulates immune suppression in mouse models after carcinogenesis. Myeloid cell ablation from preexisting tumors results in reduced tumor burden, providing evidence that myeloid cells drive carcinogenesis in both early and late stages of disease. Myeloid cells secrete HB-EGF, an EGFR ligand, which activates EGFR/MAPK signaling in tumor cells leading to increased PD-L1 expression. Furthermore, ablation of EGFR in PDA sensitized tumors to chemotherapy and immunotherapy. Treatment with the EGFR inhibitor erlotinib reduced tumor myeloid cells, increased CD8⁺ T cells, and enhanced response to immunotherapy. These studies suggest a role for EGFR/MAPK in promoting carcinogenesis and myeloid-mediated immune suppression.

NF-κB is a transcription factor with known diverse function in regulation of the immune system. Dysregulated NF-κB signaling can lead to inflammatory conditions such as cancer. Along with KRAS, NF-κB is constitutively active in PDA patients. NF-κB is held inactive in the cytoplasm in a complex with inhibitory κB proteins. Extracellular signals, such as TNF ligation, activate inhibitory κB kinase (IKK), phosphorylate inhibitory κB, targeting it for degradation and resulting in the nuclear translocation of NF-κB complexes to activate transcription of target genes. The IKK complex is made up of 2 kinases, IKKα and IKKβ, and an additional subunit, NEMO/IKKγ. Inactivation of IKKβ in PDA tumors reduced infiltration of macrophages and MDSCs and blocked carcinogenesis, extending survival. Having established that both macrophages and NF-κB are important for initial transformation, it is interesting to note that one study linked an enhancement of ADM, the chemokine CXCL1 as one mediator for T-cell heterogeneity in the TME. This stromal accumulation prepares the liver for ultimate tumor cell survival. Macrophage migration inhibitory factor was determined to be the primary exosome cargo driving the pre-metastatic niche formation. As such, macrophage migration inhibitory factor ablation prevented formation of the pre-metastatic niche and subsequently reduced liver metastasis.

IL6/signal transducer and activator of transcription 3/serum amyloid A signaling is another critical mechanism for the formation of the liver pre-metastatic niche. Rather than tumor cell-mediated formation of the pre-metastatic niche, this study identifies hepatocytes as an additional driver of the pre-metastatic niche. Genetic ablation of individual components of IL6/signal transducer and activator of transcription 3/serum amyloid A signaling resulted in fewer macrophages and PMN-MDSCs (Ly-6G⁺), preventing...
metastasized disseminations. The concept of the pre-metastatic niche is an important question that is relatively unexplored in PDA. Each of these studies provides a framework to explain the role myeloid cells play in pre-metastatic formation. Thus, identifying methods to interfere with myeloid function has the potential to mitigate metastasis of this highly aggressive cancer.

In addition to their role in tumorigenesis and pre-metastatic niche preparation, myeloid cells have been implicated in migration and invasion of metastatic disease in many cancer types.\(^5\,6\,109,110\) CCR2\(^+\) and CXCR2\(^+\) inhibition reduces metastatic dissemination in PDA through ablation of monocytes/macrophages and MDSCs, respectively. MDSC depletion in mouse PDA tumors converts the tumor from the highly invasive basal subtype to the less aggressive classical subtype and extended survival.\(^68\,103\) Furthermore, pharmacologic depletion of macrophages with liposomal clodronate impairs angiogenesis and reduces metastasis formation in mice with PDA.\(^104\) Myeloid cells appear to be critical for both the formation of the pre-metastatic niche and metastatic dissemination.

**Macrophages Drive Resistance to Chemotherapy**

Because immune therapy has been ineffective in treating PDA, frontline therapy remains chemotherapy regimens, although they have only marginal efficacy.\(^3,6\,105,106\) Current standard-of-care chemotherapy regimens for PDA patients include gemcitabine/nab-paclitaxel and FOLFIRINOX. However, PDA tumors are highly chemoresistant. A broad approach of depleting all myeloid cells using CD11b-DTR mice treated with diphtheria toxin results in tumors being sensitized to gemcitabine,\(^107\) suggesting myeloid cells can be targeted to reverse chemoresistance. Furthermore, dual inhibition of TAMs (CCR2\(^+\)) and MDSCs (CXCR2\(^+\)) resulted in increased efficacy of FOLFIRINOX.\(^108\)

**Myeloid Cell Compensatory Responses**

Throughout this review we have highlighted a myriad of reports targeting monocytes/macrophages and MDSCs in PDA. It has become clear that these approaches, while beneficial, often result in a compensatory response of the other myeloid cell subsets. Two studies in PDA report a compensatory increase in monocyte and macrophage subsets when MDSCs are depleted.\(^64\,109\) To prevent compensatory myeloid infiltration, another approach is to target all myeloid cells via integrin CD11b on their surface. Although antagonists for CD11b exist,\(^109\,110\) they have not been well-tolerated in patients because of toxicity.\(^111\) Instead, an alternative approach to activate CD11b rather than antagonize has shown promise in preventing inflammation.\(^112\) The small molecule CD11b agonist reduces inflammation in a mouse model of PDA.\(^113\) CD11b agonism reduces myeloid infiltration, increases T-cell infiltration, and sensitizes tumors to both chemotherapy and immunotherapy.\(^113\) Although the total number of myeloid cells was reduced with CD11b agonism, macrophages that remained were reprogrammed, reducing the expression of a number of immunosuppressive genes (expressing Arginase 1, IL10, transforming growth factor beta) and increasing antigen presentation abilities, leading to activation of classical dendritic cells and subsequent T-cell infiltration.\(^113\) CD11b agonism is one potential avenue to avoid myeloid cell compensation when targeting a select myeloid cell subset.

Myeloid cells compensate for depletion of regulatory T cells, another immunosuppressive cell type in the PDA TME.\(^114\) In one study, depletion of regulatory T cells did not reverse immune suppression as hypothesized but rather accelerated tumor progression, in part because of a compensatory infiltration of immunosuppressive myeloid cells (Arginase 1, Chitinase3-like-3/ YM1). This sustained immunosuppression was reduced through inhibition of the myeloid receptor CCR1, providing further indication that myeloid cells promote tumor progression and have complex and compensatory roles in the PDA TME.

**Myeloid Single Cell Transcriptomics**

Recent single cell RNA sequencing efforts in PDA have revealed significant heterogeneity within myeloid cell subsets that confirm the M1/M2 designation is an oversimplification. Analysis of human PDA tumor samples compared with adjacent normal pancreas tissue identified populations of neutrophils, classical monocytes/macrophages, resident macrophages, and alternatively activated macrophages.\(^115\) MARCO, APOE, SPP1, and CIQA emerged as novel macrophage markers that warrant further evaluation in PDA.\(^115\) Another study identified similar myeloid populations in human PDA compared with adjacent normal pancreas tissue with similar gene expression profiles.\(^116\) Myeloid cells are shown to have heterogenous expression of immune checkpoint receptors (LGALS9, CD274, PVR, CSF1R, SIRPA, HLA-DQH1).\(^116\) Putative immune checkpoint interactions were up-regulated in PDA compared with adjacent normal samples, and these interactions were heterogenous across patients.\(^116\) Because of the overwhelming lack of response to immunotherapy approaches, these data suggest the heterogeneity of immune checkpoints across patients is a contributing factor, and we should consider the possibility of precision medicine in immunomodulatory approaches.

Two studies used single cell transcriptomics analysis to evaluate the immune response during mouse PDA progression.\(^117\,118\) Consistent with previous reports, macrophages were identified as one of the major immune cells infiltrating early lesions. Through unbiased clustering, 3 macrophage populations were identified in early lesions, whereas only 2 macrophage populations were identified in late/tumor samples.\(^118\) The macrophage population only found in early lesion samples had expression of Fn1, Lyz1, and Ear1, suggesting this population is involved in wound repair.\(^118\) There was not an equivalent macrophage population to one seen in the late-stage tumor samples, suggesting macrophage populations change over the course of disease progression. In a separate study, macrophages from late lesions compared with early lesion samples had an increase in the chemokines, Cxcl1, Cxcl2, and Ccl5, which
have known roles in recruitment of MDSCs (Ccl1, Ccl2) and macrophages (Ccl8), suggesting sustained infiltration of myeloid cells as carcinogenesis progresses. These macrophages up-regulated markers of alternative activation (Mrc1), further supporting the concept that macrophage polarization changes in later stages of PDA. Importantly, these combined efforts have revealed novel myeloid cell markers with potential functional importance in PDA.

**Conclusions and Future Directions**

In this review we have defined myeloid cell subsets in the PDA TME and discussed their role in myeloid cell-mediated immune suppression. We highlight the importance of myeloid cells through disease progression from initial formation of ADM to carcinogenesis to the formation of the pre-metastatic niche leading to ultimate tumor cell dissemination. Current myeloid targeted approaches in combination with chemotherapy and immunotherapy regimens relieve this robust immune suppression and activate T-cell effector responses.

However, many questions remain unanswered. The mechanisms behind the inverse correlation of myeloid cell and T cells have yet to be fully elucidated. Although we have some understanding of the pathways involved, we are lacking the complete picture, especially with respect to the complex compensatory networks that appear to overcome monolistic approaches. A better understanding of the mechanisms behind myeloid-mediated immune suppression will uncover novel and hopefully targetable components. With the large influx of single cell transcriptomics data, it has become even more evident that the M1/M2 designation is a gross oversimplification and does not accurately mirror the in vivo heterogeneity of macrophages. These reports have uncovered novel macrophage markers that may have functional implications and should be evaluated. Most of the MDSC work in PDA has targeted the PMN-MDSC subset. Because the M- and MDSCs are more immunosuppressive in nature, selectively targeting this cell population is of interest. Myeloid cells comprise the largest part of the TME and are ideal targets to reverse immune suppression.
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