

Henry Ford Health

Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons

Hematology Oncology Meeting Abstracts

Hematology-Oncology

7-1-2021

O-15 Randomized, phase 3 study of second-line tislelizumab vs chemotherapy in advanced or metastatic esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (RATIONALE 302) in the overall population and Europe/North America subgroup

J Ajani

F El Hajbi

D Cunningham

M Alsina

P Thuss-Patience

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlycommons.henryford.com/hematologyoncology_mtgabstracts

Recommended Citation

Ajani J, El Hajbi F, Cunningham D, Alsina M, Thuss-Patience P, Scagliotti G, Van den Eynde M, Rybkin I, Shen L, Kato K, Kim S, D'Alonzo S, Yu W, Tao A, and Van Cutsem E. O-15 Randomized, phase 3 study of second-line tislelizumab vs chemotherapy in advanced or metastatic esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (RATIONALE 302) in the overall population and Europe/North America subgroup. *Ann Oncol* 2021; 32:S225.

This Conference Proceeding is brought to you for free and open access by the Hematology-Oncology at Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Hematology Oncology Meeting Abstracts by an authorized administrator of Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons.

Authors

J Ajani, F El Hajbi, D Cunningham, M Alsina, P Thuss-Patience, G Scagliotti, M Van den Eynde, Igor Rybkin, L Shen, K Kato, S Kim, S D'Alonzo, W Yu, A Tao, and E Van Cutsem

O-15 **Randomized, phase 3 study of second-line tislelizumab vs chemotherapy in advanced or metastatic esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (RATIONALE 302) in the overall population and Europe/North America subgroup**

J. Ajani¹, F. El Hajbi², D. Cunningham³, M. Alsina⁴, P. Thuss-Patience⁵, G. Scagliotti⁶, M. Van den Eynde⁷, I. Rybkin⁸, L. Shen⁹, K. Kato¹⁰, S. Kim¹¹, S. D'Alonzo¹², W. Yu¹³, A. Tao¹³, E. Van Cutsem¹⁴

¹University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, United States; ²Gastrointestinal Oncology, Oscar Lambert Center, Lille, France; ³Department of Oncology, Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom; ⁴Medical Oncology Department, Vall d'Hebron University Hospital, Autonomous University of Barcelona (UAB), Barcelona, Spain; ⁵Department of Haematology, Oncology and Tumor-immunology, Campus Virchow-Klinikum, Charité-University Medicine Berlin, Berlin, Germany; ⁶Department of Oncology, University of Torino, Torino, Italy; ⁷Department of Medical Oncology and Hepato-gastroenterology, Institut Roi Albert II, Cliniques Universitaires Saint-Luc/Université Catholique De Louvain (Uclouvain), Brussels, Belgium; ⁸Henry Ford Cancer Institute, Detroit, United States; ⁹Department of Gastrointestinal Oncology, Key Laboratory of Carcinogenesis and Translational Research (Ministry of Education/Beijing), Peking University Cancer Hospital & Institute, Beijing, China; ¹⁰National Cancer Center Hospital, Tokyo, Japan; ¹¹Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea; ¹²BeiGene Ltd, Aeschenvorstadt, Basel, Switzerland; ¹³BeiGene Ltd, Zhongguancun Life Science Park, Beijing, China; ¹⁴University Hospitals Gasthuisberg Leuven and KULeuven, Leuven, Belgium

Background: The global Phase 3 study RATIONALE 302 (NCT03430843) evaluated the efficacy and safety of second-line tislelizumab, an anti-PD-1 antibody, in patients with advanced or metastatic esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC). Here, we report data from the overall and Europe/North America (EU/NA) populations.

Methods: Eligible adult patients had disease progression during or after first-line systemic therapy, ≥ 1 evaluable lesion per RECIST v1.1 and an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance score (ECOG PS) of ≤ 1 . Patients were randomized (1:1) to receive tislelizumab 200 mg intravenously Q3W or investigator-chosen chemotherapy (paclitaxel, docetaxel, or irinotecan) and treated until disease progression, intolerable toxicity, or withdrawal. Stratification factors included chemotherapy option, region, and ECOG PS. The primary endpoint was overall survival (OS) in all patients (ITT population). The key secondary endpoint was OS in PD-L1 positive (vCPS $\geq 10\%$) patients; other secondary endpoints included progression-free survival (PFS), overall response rate (ORR), duration of response (DoR), health-related quality of life and safety.

Results: 512 patients (overall population) were randomized to tislelizumab (n=256) or chemotherapy (n=256), of which 108 (21%) patients were enrolled into EU/NA subgroup (n=55 tislelizumab, n=53 chemotherapy). On 1 December 2020 (data cut-off), median follow-up was 6.9 and 6.8 months in the overall population and EU/NA subgroup, respectively. Tislelizumab improved OS vs chemotherapy in the overall population (median OS 8.6 vs 6.3 months; HR 0.70, 95% CI 0.57–0.85; p=0.0001); survival benefit was consistently observed in the EU/NA subgroup (median OS 11.2 vs 6.3 months; HR 0.55; 95% CI 0.35–0.87). Treatment with tislelizumab was associated with improved ORR (20.3% [95% CI 15.6%–25.8%] vs 9.8% [95% CI 6.4%–14.1%]) and median DoR (7.1 vs 4.0 months; HR 0.42, 95% CI 0.23–0.75) vs chemotherapy in the overall population. Improvement in ORR (20.0% [95% CI 10.4%–33.0%] vs 11.3% [95% CI 4.3%–23.0%]) and median DOR (5.1 vs 2.1 months; HR 0.42, 95% CI 0.13–1.39) was also observed in the EU/NA subgroup. Fewer patients had Grade ≥ 3 treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAE) with tislelizumab vs chemotherapy in both the overall and EU/NA populations (46% vs 68% and 56% vs 71%, respectively). Of these, fewer Grade ≥ 3 AEs were treatment-related with tislelizumab vs chemotherapy (overall: 19% vs 56%; EU/NA: 13% vs 51%). AEs leading to death were similar with tislelizumab vs chemotherapy (overall: 14% vs 12%; EU/NA: 6% vs 5%).

Conclusions: Second-line tislelizumab demonstrated statistically significant and clinically meaningful improvement in OS versus chemotherapy in patients with advanced or metastatic ESCC. Tislelizumab demonstrated a tolerable safety profile. Efficacy and safety results from the EU/NA subgroup were consistent with the overall population.

Clinical trial identification: NCT03430843.

Editorial acknowledgement: Medical writing support for the development of this abstract, under direction of the authors, was provided by Kirsty Millar, MSc, of Ashfield MedComms, an Ashfield Health company, and was funded by BeiGene Ltd.

Legal Entity Responsible for this Study: BeiGene, Ltd.

Funding: This study is sponsored by BeiGene, Ltd.

Disclosures: J. Ajani: Honoraria (self): BeiGene; D. Cunningham: Advisory / Consultancy: OVIBIO on Scientific Advisory Board. Research grant / Funding (institution): AstraZeneca / MedImmune, Celgene, Bayer, 4SC, Eli Lilly, Clovis, Natera, Roche, Leap. K. Kato: Advisory / Consultancy: BMS, Beigene, MSD. Research grant / Funding (institution): ONO, BMS, MSD. S. D'Alonzo: Full / Part-time employment: BeiGene. W.

Yu: Full / Part-time employment: Beigene. E. Van Cutsem: Advisory / Consultancy: Bayer, Lilly, Roche, Servier, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Merck KGaA, Novartis, AstraZeneca, Halozyme, Array BioPharma, Biocartis, GlaxoSmithKline, Daiichi Sankyo, Pierre Fabre, Sirtex Medical, Taiho Pharmaceutical, Incyte. Research grant / Funding (institution): Amgen, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene, Ipsen, Lilly, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Merck KGaA, Novartis, Roche, Servier. All other authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2021.05.807>