

Henry Ford Health System

Henry Ford Health System Scholarly Commons

Dermatology Articles

Dermatology

12-1-2015

Emerging biomarkers in psoriatic arthritis

So Yeon Paek

Henry Ford Health System

Ling Han

Henry Ford Health System

Matthew Weiland

Henry Ford Health System

Chuan-Jian Lu

Kathleen Maksimowicz-McKinnon

Henry Ford Health System, kmckinn2@hfhs.org

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlycommons.henryford.com/dermatology_articles

Recommended Citation

Yeon Paek S, Han L, Weiland M, Lu C, Maksimowicz-McKinnon K, Zhou L, Lim HW, Elder JT, Mi Q.
Emerging biomarkers in psoriatic arthritis. *IUBMB life* 2015; 67(12):923-927.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Dermatology at Henry Ford Health System Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Dermatology Articles by an authorized administrator of Henry Ford Health System Scholarly Commons.

Authors

So Yeon Paek, Ling Han, Matthew Weiland, Chuan-Jian Lu, Kathleen Maksimowicz-McKinnon, Li Zhou, Henry W. Lim, James T. Elder, and Qing-Sheng Mi

Emerging Biomarkers in Psoriatic Arthritis

So Yeon Paek^{1†}
Ling Han^{1,2,3†}
Matthew Weiland^{1,3†}
Chuan-Jian Lu^{2*}
Kathleen McKinnon⁴
Li Zhou^{1,3,6}
Henry W. Lim^{1,3}
James T. Elder⁵
Qing-Sheng Mi^{1,3,6*}

¹Department of Dermatology, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, MI, USA

²Department of Dermatology, Guangdong Provincial Hospital of Chinese Medicine, Guangdong Provincial Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Guangzhou, China

³Immunology Program, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, MI, USA

⁴Division of Rheumatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, MI, USA

⁵Department of Dermatology, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

⁶Department of Internal Medicine, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, MI, USA

Abstract

Psoriasis is an immune-mediated skin disease which affects 2–4% of the worldwide population. Approximately 20–30% of patients with psoriasis develop psoriatic arthritis (PsA), a frequently destructive and disabling condition. As skin manifestations precede joint symptoms in nearly all patients with PsA, identification of biomarkers for early prediction of joint damage is an important clinical need. Because not all patients with PsA respond to treatment in the same fashion, identification of

biomarkers capable of predicting therapeutic response is also imperative. Here, we review existing literature and discuss current investigations to identify potential biomarkers for PsA disease activity, with particular emphasis on microRNAs as novel markers of interest. Serum (soluble) biomarkers, peripheral osteoclast precursor as cellular biomarkers, and genetic loci associated with skin and joint disease are also reviewed. © 2015 IUBMB Life, 67(12):923–927, 2015

Keywords: biomarkers; psoriatic arthritis; microRNAs

Introduction

Advances in our understanding of immune-mediated inflammatory diseases have fueled the development of targeted

therapies that alter the course of disease progression, imparting significant beneficial effects on prevention of disease-associated morbidity. However, the pathophysiology of many autoimmune skin and joint diseases remains an enigma. This frequently results in significant morbidity and mortality, whether from the condition itself or due to the treatment.

Psoriasis is a common immune-mediated skin disease, affecting 2–4% of the worldwide population, and has been associated with various comorbidities, including cardiovascular disease, metabolic syndrome, cancer, and depression (1,2). Genetic, immunologic, and environmental factors are postulated to contribute to disease phenotype. Although traditionally considered a Th1-mediated disease, recent advances have identified a role for Th17 cells in psoriasis (3).

Approximately 20–30% of patients with psoriasis develop psoriatic arthritis (PsA); however, little is known about the immunopathogenesis of these diseases and prognostic factors that lead to their development (4). Skin lesions of psoriasis are

© 2015 International Union of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology
Volume 67, Number 12, December 2015, Pages 923–927

*Address correspondence to: Chuan-Jian Lu, Guangdong Provincial Hospital of Chinese Medicine, Guangdong Provincial Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Guangzhou, China. Tel: 86-13822250116. Fax: 86-20-81883651. E-mail: luchuanjian888@vip.sina.com (or) Qing-Sheng Mi, Department of Dermatology, Henry Ford Hospital, 1 Ford Place, Detroit, MI, USA. Tel: +1-313-876-1017. Fax: +1-313-876-1016.

E-mail: qmi1@hfhs.org

†So Yeon Paek, Ling Han, and Matthew Weiland contributed equally to this work.

Received 9 October 2015; Accepted 21 October 2015

DOI 10.1002/iub.1453

Published online 24 November 2015 in Wiley Online Library
(wileyonlinelibrary.com)

generally observed 5–10 years prior to the development of PsA, although joint disease may present first or may never develop (5). PsA can be divided into five clinical subsets, as first described by Moll and Wright in 1973: spondyloarthritis, distal arthritis, oligoarthritis, symmetrical polyarthropathy similar to rheumatoid arthritis (RA), and arthritis mutilans. Patients may demonstrate transitions between these subsets throughout the course of the disease. Early diagnosis and intervention are key to preventing permanent joint deformation. However, no standardized method exists for early detection of PsA, and many patients with psoriasis also have undiagnosed PsA (6). Furthermore, psoriasis and PsA have been associated with various comorbidities, including cardiovascular disease, metabolic syndrome, cancer, and depression; thus, interventions that beneficially impact disease may also significantly affect morbidity and mortality (1,2).

Biomarkers, or measurable biological indicators of disease activity, may be used to predict future disease, measure current disease activity, or quantify therapeutic efficacy (7). For example, in RA, rheumatoid factor and anticitrullinated protein antibodies are used to diagnose and prognosticate disease. Ideally, biomarkers should be easy to obtain, sensitive, specific, reproducible, and prognostic. Broadly, they can be classified into soluble biomarkers, cellular biomarkers, and genetic biomarkers. Biomarkers in PsA have been identified as a relevant research gap, and the development of cohort and longitudinal studies have been proposed to address this need (8). Currently, there are no validated biomarkers to predict the progression of PsA or prognosticate response to available therapies. We review ongoing investigations to identify potential target biomarkers for PsA and to discuss future directions for exploration.

Soluble Biomarkers

Because of the ease of accessibility, circulating biomarkers obtained from peripheral blood are optimal indicators of disease activity. In psoriasis, they are broadly categorized into nonspecific inflammatory markers, indicators of metabolic processes, and immunologic markers. Elevated levels of nonspecific inflammatory markers, including haptoglobin, C-reactive protein (CRP), and P-selectin, and proinflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin (IL)-6, IL-8, IL-12, IFN- γ , and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α), have been identified in serum of patients with psoriasis (9). In addition, circulating Th1, Th17, and Th22 cells and increased expression of IL-23 receptor (IL-23R) in T cells have been reported (10,11). Serum adiponectin, a cytokine that improves insulin resistance, is decreased in patients with psoriasis, whereas serum lipocalin, leptin, and resistin are increased (12–14). Adiponectin has also been found to be strongly associated with PsA and TNF- α therapy (12). These metabolic markers may provide clues to the link between psoriasis, metabolic syndrome, and cardiovascular disease.

In PsA, no single validated screening test exists for the detection of joint involvement; however, several studies have

identified potential soluble biomarkers relating to inflammation and cartilage or bone metabolism. Serum IL-6, a proinflammatory cytokine produced by lymphoid and other cells, has been found in greater quantity in patients with PsA versus skin disease alone, correlating with number of joints affected (15). However, this cytokine may also be upregulated by other inflammatory processes, and therefore, it is not a specific screening tool. Rather, a designated panel of soluble biomarkers may best differentiate patients with psoriatic joint involvement from those with only cutaneous lesions. In a Canadian cohort, Chandran et al. (16) identified osteoprotegerin, high-sensitivity CRP (hs-CRP), cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (COMP), matrix metalloproteinase 3 (MMP-3), and the ratio of C-propeptide of type II collagen (CPII) to collagen fragment neoepitopes Col2-3/4 (C2C ratio) in patients with PsA versus psoriasis alone. In another study, Ramonda et al. (17) identified MMP-3, hs-CRP, and vascular endothelial growth factor as potential screening tools for the detection of PsA.

In addition to serving as screening tools for PsA, soluble biomarkers may measure disease activity by correlating with temporal changes in other clinical parameters such as radiographic change and response to therapy. Of the markers listed above, a reduction in MMP-3 was associated with response to TNF- α inhibitor therapy, suggesting its potential role in measuring disease activity (18). Candidate circulating markers of bone remodeling which may correlate with radiographic change include Dickkopf-1 (Dkk-1), COMP, bone alkaline phosphatase, and macrophage-colony stimulating factor (M-CSF; ref. (19)). Higher concentrations of Dkk-1 and M-CSF were seen in patients with PsA; however, their levels did not correlate with radiographic changes or number of affected joints.

Peripheral Blood-Derived Osteoclast Precursors as Cellular Biomarkers for PsA

Joint damage is carried out by synovial fibroblastoid cells that degrade cartilage through the release of metalloproteinases and osteoclasts (OCs), which directly resorb bone. OCs are multinucleated cells that arise from osteoclast precursor (OCP) or circulating CD14⁺ monocytes through a differentiation process referred to as osteoclastogenesis (20). Myeloid-derived cells differentiate into OCs in the presence of M-CSF and RANKL. RANK and CSF 1 receptor (CSF-1R/c-fms) are both expressed on OCP cells which, on stimulation with RANKL and M-CSF, develop into mature bone-resorbing cells (21). Activator protein (AP-1), a transcriptional regulator composed of members of the Fos and Jun families, is also required for OC differentiation and has been implicated in PsA (22). OCs can be generated from RANKL-, RANK-, or TRAF6-deficient mice, suggesting that RANKL-RANK-independent OC differentiation pathways also exist (23).

Of particular interest in regards to PsA was the finding of an increased frequency of OCP in one-third of patients with psoriasis without arthritis and in the majority of patients with PsA (24,25). Intriguingly, monocytes circulating in the peripheral blood of patients with PsA were able to generate OCs *in vitro* in the absence of exogenous stimulation, a property distinct from OCP in healthy controls. Importantly, the frequency of OCP correlated with the extent of radiographic damage in a cohort of patients with established PsA (24).

The IL-23/IL-17 axis plays a critical role in osteoclastogenesis via a number of direct and indirect effects that both positively and negatively modulate OC formation. IL-23-induced Th17 cell differentiation results in RANKL secretion and thus promotes osteoclastogenesis (26). IL-17 also acts on osteoblasts to secrete RANKL to further enhance bone resorption. IL-17 further modulates the expression of the OC fusion protein, dendritic cell-specific transmembrane protein, a potential biomarker for early prognosis of PsA (27).

To date, there have been several reports characterizing the entity of OCPs, although whether there are specific monocyte populations committed to differentiating exclusively into OCs remains to be elucidated (28). Chiu et al. (29) found that patients with PsA have an elevated percentage of CD14⁺CD16⁺ proinflammatory monocytes in the peripheral blood. Based on the observation of CD16 upregulation in cells cultured in OC-promoting (M-CSF and RANKL) but not DC-promoting conditions (GM-CSF and IL-4), they further found that OCs arise from circulating CD16⁺ monocytes in PsA, whereas OCs were generated from the CD16⁻ subset in healthy controls. Finally, they showed a positive correlation between the level of CD16 cell surface expression and the extent of bone resorption. These studies indicate that the major reservoir of OCP in PsA is CD16⁺ cells, a finding that may catalyze the development of susceptibility biomarkers for arthritis in patients with psoriasis and a treatment response marker in patients with PsA with erosive arthritis. In considering all of these potential biomarkers, it should be noted that the majority are associated with ongoing disease activity/damage, which may be helpful in the diagnosis of arthritis and monitoring response to therapy. However, at this time, these biomarkers provide little insight into the prediction of arthritis prior to its onset, which is where the greatest potential impact on disease and future disability may be imparted.

Genetic Biomarkers for PsA

Genetic factors play a significant role in psoriasis, as initially reported by the identification of psoriasis susceptibility-1 (*PSORS1*) in family studies and association with major histocompatibility complex (MHC) haplotypes in case-control studies (30,31). The *HLA-Cw*0602* allele has been well established as the *PSORS1* risk variant associated with early development of psoriasis with a family history of psoriatic disease in Caucasians (32). Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have led to candidate gene identification in psoriatic disease (33).

Outside the MHC, novel genetic loci have been associated with psoriasis and PsA; these include the single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) rs4795067 encoding *NOS2*, rs10782001 encoding *FBXL19*, and rs12586317 encoding *PSMA6-NFKBIA* (34). In particular, the SNP rs10782001, which encodes the *FBXL19* gene and activates NF- κ B, is more strongly associated with psoriatic joint disease than cutaneous psoriasis. The SNPs rs20541 and rs1800925, both encoding IL-13, have been associated with increased susceptibility to PsA in Caucasian patients (35), whereas rs1800629 has been reported in cases of early-onset psoriasis and joint erosions in PsA (36). Finally, a locus on chromosome 4q27 expressing the IL-2 and IL-21 genes was found to be linked with PsA and other autoimmune diseases, including type 1 diabetes mellitus, RA, Grave's disease, and celiac disease (37).

In addition to determining genetic risk, SNPs have been linked with therapeutic efficacy and may serve as markers to predict treatment response. Polymorphisms in the TNF, TNF receptor superfamily 1B (TNFR1B), and TNF alpha-induced protein 2 gene (TNFAIP3) have been associated with response to anti-TNF therapy (38,39). The SNP in the promoter of the *TNF* gene at position 857T was found to be a risk factor for PsA independent of the *PSORS1* allele (36). Carriers of the minor allele of *TNF-308* polymorphism have also been reported to experience an aggressive course of PsA (40). SNPs mapping to the IL-23R and IL-12 β gene confer susceptibility to both cutaneous psoriasis and PsA, which supports the rationale for treatment with ustekinumab, a monoclonal antibody against IL-12 and IL-23, in these conditions (41).

Genetic biomarkers use SNPs within GWAS to identify genetic susceptibility. However, the predictive potential for individual genes in psoriatic disease is minimal, and weighted genetic risk scores (wGRS) based on known psoriasis loci currently have limited efficacy as biomarkers (42). Chen et al. (43) attempted to improve predictive ability by combining 10 psoriasis risk loci into a simple risk alleles count (cGRS) or wGRS. The wGRS was able to capture more risk than individual SNPs and was linked to early disease onset and family history of psoriatic disease. Despite these findings, analysis of multiple genetic loci only accounted for 15% of psoriasis heritability.

Despite the identification of potential genetic markers in PsA, the inability to accurately differentiate skin versus joint disease, the low odds ratios for these target genes, and the relatively modest risks associated with these loci, limit their current utility.

MicroRNA Biomarkers

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a class of small noncoding RNAs that negatively regulate the expression of protein-coding genes and degrade target mRNAs. Emerging evidence suggests that miRNA-mediated regulation represents a fundamental layer of epigenetic control of diverse sets of physiological processes and disease development. Studies from our laboratory and others have reported the critical involvement of miRNAs in

immune cell development and function. As miRNAs are expressed in a tissue-specific manner and are altered in relation to disease, these noncoding RNAs represent ideal candidates for biomarker discovery. One study evaluated the global miRNA expression profiles of peripheral blood mononuclear cells in patients with recent onset of PsA. A comparison of miRNA profiles between PsA and healthy controls identified 16 miRNAs as differentially expressed, including the upregulation of inflammatory linked miRNAs, miR-21, miR-34a, miR-125a-3p, and miR-125a-5p. Recent studies from our laboratory and others have demonstrated that serum contains stable miRNAs derived from immune cells and various tissues, establishing their potential value as biomarkers for changes in physiologic and pathologic conditions (44–46). In fact, the use of circulating miRNA biomarkers has become an area of great interest; identification of biomarkers for a wide number of diseases and conditions has been reported to date (45). The ability to readily detect changes in blood-based miRNAs is an attractive biomarker methodology, most notably due to the noninvasive nature of blood collection and relative ease of high-throughput detection systems for processing and analyzing miRNA content. Additionally, circulating miRNAs show remarkable stability and demonstrate consistent expression profiles among individuals. As a result, serum miRNA biomarkers have been reported in cancer, inflammation, and cardiovascular disease. Several studies investigating the circulating miRNA profiles of patients with psoriatic disease have found significant changes in the expression of several miRNAs when compared with healthy controls. Candidate biomarkers for psoriasis included the upregulated expression of miR-33, miR-369-3p, miR-1266, and miR-128 (47) and the downregulation of let-7d, miR-142-3p, and miR-181a (47). Despite these reports, not much is known regarding miRNA expression in patients with PsA, and there has been no investigation into the alterations of miRNA expression in the circulation of patients with PsA. However, it has been suggested that serum miRNAs may provide a source of candidate biomarkers for PsA (7).

Perspective

Recent advances in targeted biological therapy have allowed a cohort of patients to experience remission from psoriasis and PsA. Anti-TNF therapy, IL-12/23-targeted therapy, and IL-17A blockade have demonstrated efficacy in previously resistant disease (48). However, a minority of patients experience severe side effects or remain resistant to treatment. In addition to measuring disease activity, biomarkers may be able to direct therapy and monitor for development of adverse reactions to specific treatment modalities. Regrettably, to date, no PsA-specific biomarker studied has shown clinical relevance, and no large-scale studies have been conducted to determine numeric thresholds for significance.

The Group for Research and Assessment of Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis, known as GRAPPA, is an international consortium of dermatologists and rheumatologists who have made

biomarker development a primary goal for psoriatic disease (49). GRAPPA will be essential to the discovery and validation of new biomarkers through collaborative efforts at patient recruitment, funding, protocol development, study implementation, and data analysis (50). Through investigator-led trials, such as the PsA BioDam study, the goal is to identify sensitive and specific markers of joint disease in PsA. Biomarkers could provide an objective, measurable method of diagnosis, in conjunction with subjective, patient-reported screening questionnaires. In addition to identifying undiagnosed cases of PsA among patients with psoriasis, a goal will be to detect biomarkers that predict future development of PsA. Other potential avenues for future research include use of flow cytometry, bioinformatics and proteomics using high-resolution mass spectrometry, and molecular signaling. Recent advances in proteomic technology are especially promising and may be applied toward development of a validated biomarker panel, which incorporates various methodologies for diagnostic, and eventually prognostic, clinical value (51).

Biomarker development is a long and arduous process, involving discovery, validation, and finally, clinical adoption. Only a minority of candidate biomarkers are eventually used in the clinical setting due to failure to validate, technical difficulty, inaccessibility, or expense. Despite these obstacles, we are hopeful that our goals of identifying a biologic basis for treatment, recognizing prognostic markers for disease development and treatment response, and developing targeted therapies for disease management will be achieved.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a grant from the Henry Ford Health System Start-up Grant for the Immunology Program (Q.-S. Mi) and the Chinese Nature Science Foundation (81473681) (C.-J. Lu).

References

- [1] Miller, I. M., Ellervik, C., Yazdanyar, S., and Jemec, G. B. (2013) Meta-analysis of psoriasis, cardiovascular disease, and associated risk factors. *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.* 69, 1014–1024.
- [2] Pouplard, C., Brenaut, E., Horreau, C., Barnette, T., Misery, L., et al. (2013) Risk of cancer in psoriasis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of epidemiological studies. *J. Eur. Acad. Dermatol. Venereol.* 27, 36–46.
- [3] Di Cesare, A., Di Meglio, P., and Nestle, F. O. (2009) The IL-23/Th17 axis in the immunopathogenesis of psoriasis. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 129, 1339–1350.
- [4] Zachariae, H. (2003) Prevalence of joint disease in patients with psoriasis: implications for therapy. *Am. J. Clin. Dermatol.* 4, 441–447.
- [5] Gladman, D. D., Antoni, C., Mease, P., Clegg, D. O., and Nash, P. (2005) Psoriatic arthritis: epidemiology, clinical features, course, and outcome. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* 64, ii14–ii17.
- [6] Haroon, M., Kirby, B., and FitzGerald, O. (2013) High prevalence of psoriatic arthritis in patients with severe psoriasis with suboptimal performance of screening questionnaires. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* 72, 736–740.
- [7] Villanova, F., Di Meglio, P., and Nestle, F. O. (2013) Biomarkers in psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* 72 (Suppl 2), ii104–ii110.
- [8] Ryan, C., Korman, N. J., Gelfand, J. M., Lim, H. W., Elmets, C. A., et al. (2014) Research gaps in psoriasis: opportunities for future studies. *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.* 70, 146–167.

- [9] Arican, O., Aral, M., Sasmaz, S., and Ciragil, P. (2005) Serum levels of TNF- α , IFN- γ , IL-6, IL-8, IL-12, IL-17, and IL-18 in patients with active psoriasis and correlation with disease severity. *Mediators Inflamm.* 2005, 273–279.
- [10] Kagami, S., Rizzo, H. L., Lee, J. J., Koguchi, Y., and Blauvelt, A. (2010) Circulating Th17, Th22, and Th1 cells are increased in psoriasis. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 130, 1373–1383.
- [11] Tonel, G., Conrad, C., Laggner, U., Di Meglio, P., Grys, K., et al. (2010) Cutting edge: a critical functional role for IL-23 in psoriasis. *J. Immunol.* 185, 5688–5691.
- [12] Eder, L., Jayakar, J., Pollock, R., Pellett, F., Thavaneswaran, A., et al. (2013) Serum adipokines in patients with psoriatic arthritis and psoriasis alone and their correlation with disease activity. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* 72, 1956–1961.
- [13] Shibata, S., Tada, Y., Hau, C., Tatsuta, A., Yamamoto, M., et al. (2011) Adiponectin as an anti-inflammatory factor in the pathogenesis of psoriasis: induction of elevated serum adiponectin levels following therapy. *Br. J. Dermatol.* 164, 667–670.
- [14] Johnston, A., Arnadottir, S., Gudjonsson, J. E., Aphale, A., Sigmarsson, A., et al. (2008) Obesity in psoriasis: leptin and resistin as mediators of cutaneous inflammation. *Br. J. Dermatol.* 159, 342–350.
- [15] Alenius, G. M., Eriksson, C., and Rantapää Dahlqvist, S. (2009) Interleukin-6 and soluble interleukin-2 receptor α -markers of inflammation in patients with psoriatic arthritis? *Clin. Exp. Rheumatol.* 27, 120–123.
- [16] Chandran, V., Cook, R. J., Edwin, J., Shen, H., Pellett, F. J., et al. (2010) Soluble biomarkers differentiate patients with psoriatic arthritis from those with psoriasis without arthritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 49, 1399–1405.
- [17] Ramonda, R., Modesti, V., Ortolan, A., Scanu, A., Bassi, N., et al. (2013) Serological markers in psoriatic arthritis: promising tools. *Exp. Biol. Med.* (Maywood) 238, 1431–1436.
- [18] Chandran, V., Shen, H., Pollock, R. A., Pellett, F. J., Carty, A., et al. (2013) Soluble biomarkers associated with response to treatment with tumor necrosis factor inhibitors in psoriatic arthritis. *J. Rheumatol.* 40, 866–871.
- [19] Dalbeth, N., Pool, B., Smith, T., Callon, K. E., Lobo, M., et al. (2010) Circulating mediators of bone remodeling in psoriatic arthritis: implications for disordered osteoclastogenesis and bone erosion. *Arthritis Res. Ther.* 12, R164.
- [20] Teitelbaum, S. L., and Ross, F. P. (2003) Genetic regulation of osteoclast development and function. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 4, 638–649.
- [21] Suzuki, E., Mellins, E. D., Gershwin, M. E., Nestle, F. O., and Adamopoulos, I. E. (2014) The IL-23/IL-17 axis in psoriatic arthritis. *Autoimmun. Rev.* 13, 496–502.
- [22] Zenz, R., Eferl, R., Scheinecker, C., Redlich, K., Smolen, J., et al. (2008) Activator protein 1 (Fos/Jun) functions in inflammatory bone and skin disease. *Arthritis Res. Ther.* 10, 201.
- [23] Kim, N., Kadono, Y., Takami, M., Lee, J., Lee, S. H., et al. (2005) Osteoclast differentiation independent of the TRANCE-RANK-TRAF6 axis. *J. Exp. Med.* 202, 589–595.
- [24] Ritchlin, C. T., Haas-Smith, S. A., Li, P., Hicks, D. G., and Schwarz, E. M. (2003) Mechanisms of TNF- α - and RANKL-mediated osteoclastogenesis and bone resorption in psoriatic arthritis. *J. Clin. Invest.* 111, 821–831.
- [25] Xue, Y., Jiang, L., Cheng, Q., Chen, H., Yu, Y., et al. (2012) Adipokines in psoriatic arthritis patients: the correlations with osteoclast precursors and bone erosions. *PLoS One* 7, e46740.
- [26] Sato, K., Suematsu, A., Okamoto, K., Yamaguchi, A., Morishita, Y., et al. (2006) Th17 functions as an osteoclastogenic helper T cell subset that links T cell activation and bone destruction. *J. Exp. Med.* 203, 2673–2682.
- [27] Chiu, Y. H., Mensah, K. A., Schwarz, E. M., Ju, Y., Takahata, M., et al. (2012) Regulation of human osteoclast development by dendritic cell-specific transmembrane protein (DC-STAMP). *J. Bone Miner. Res.* 27, 79–92.
- [28] Mizoguchi, T., Muto, A., Udagawa, N., Arai, A., Yamashita, T., et al. (2009) Identification of cell cycle-arrested quiescent osteoclast precursors in vivo. *J. Cell Biol.* 184, 541–554.
- [29] Chiu, Y. G., Shao, T., Feng, C., Mensah, K. A., Thullen, M., et al. (2010) CD16 (Fc γ III) as a potential marker of osteoclast precursors in psoriatic arthritis. *Arthritis Res. Ther.* 12, R14.
- [30] Elder, J. T., Bruce, A. T., Gudjonsson, J. E., Johnston, A., Stuart, P. E., et al. (2010) Molecular dissection of psoriasis: integrating genetics and biology. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 130, 1213–1226.
- [31] Okada, Y., Han, B., Tsoi, L. C., Stuart, P. E., Ellinghaus, E., et al. (2014) Fine mapping major histocompatibility complex associations in psoriasis and its clinical subtypes. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 95, 162–172.
- [32] Nair, R. P., Stuart, P. E., Nistor, I., Hiremagalore, R., Chia, N. V., et al. (2006) Sequence and haplotype analysis supports HLA-C as the psoriasis susceptibility 1 gene. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 78, 827–851.
- [33] Strange, A., Capon, F., Spencer, C. C., Knight, J., Weale, M. E., et al.; the Genetic Analysis of Psoriasis Consortium and the Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium. (2010) A genome-wide association study identifies new psoriasis susceptibility loci and an interaction between HLA-C and ERAP1. *Nat. Genet.* 42, 985–990.
- [34] Stuart, P. E., Nair, R. P., Ellinghaus, E., Ding, J., Tejasvi, T., et al. (2010) Genome-wide association analysis identifies three psoriasis susceptibility loci. *Nat. Genet.* 42, 1000–1004.
- [35] Eder, L., Chandran, V., Pellett, F., Pollock, R., Shanmugarajah, S., et al. (2011) IL13 gene polymorphism is a marker for psoriatic arthritis among psoriasis patients. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* 70, 1594–1598.
- [36] Reich, K., Hüffmeier, U., König, I. R., Lascorz, J., Lohmann, J., et al. (2007) TNF polymorphisms in psoriasis: association of psoriatic arthritis with the promoter polymorphism TNF*857 independent of the PSORS1 risk allele. *Arthritis Rheum.* 56, 2056–2064.
- [37] Liu, Y., Helms, C., Liao, W., Zaba, L. C., Duan, S., et al. (2008) A genome-wide association study of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis identifies new disease loci. *PLoS Genet.* 4, e1000041.
- [38] Prieto-Pérez, R., Cabaleiro, T., Daudén, E., and Abad-Santos, F. (2013) Gene polymorphisms that can predict response to anti-TNF therapy in patients with psoriasis and related autoimmune diseases. *Pharmacogenomics J.* 13, 297–305.
- [39] Tejasvi, T., Stuart, P. E., Chandran, V., Voorhees, J. J., Gladman, D. D., et al. (2012) TNFAIP3 gene polymorphisms are associated with response to TNF blockade in psoriasis. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 132, 593–600.
- [40] Balding, J., Kane, D., Livingstone, W., Mynett-Johnson, L., Bresnihan, B., et al. (2003) Cytokine gene polymorphisms: association with psoriatic arthritis susceptibility and severity. *Arthritis Rheum.* 48, 1408–1413.
- [41] Filer, C., Ho, P., Smith, R. L., Griffiths, C., Young, H. S., et al. (2008) Investigation of association of the IL12B and IL23R genes with psoriatic arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 58, 3705–3709.
- [42] Rahman, P., and Elder, J. T. (2012) Genetics of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis: a report from the GRAPPA 2010 annual meeting. *J. Rheumatol.* 39, 431–433.
- [43] Chen, H., Poon, A., Yeung, C., Helms, C., Pons, J., et al. (2011) A genetic risk score combining ten psoriasis risk loci improves disease prediction. *PLoS One* 6, e19454.
- [44] Callis Duffin, K., Armstrong, A. W., and Mease, P. J. (2012) Psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis video project: an update from the GRAPPA 2011 annual meeting. *J. Rheumatol.* 39, 2198–2200.
- [45] Weiland, M., Gao, X. H., Zhou, L., and Mi, Q. S. (2012) Small RNAs have a large impact: circulating microRNAs as biomarkers for human diseases. *RNA Biol.* 9, 850–859.
- [46] Tang, H., Jin, X., Li, Y., Jiang, H., Tang, X., et al. (2014) A large-scale screen for coding variants predisposing to psoriasis. *Nat. Genet.* 46, 45–50.
- [47] Pivarsci, A., Meisgen, F., Xu, N., Stähle, M., and Sonkoly, E. (2013) Changes in the level of serum microRNAs in patients with psoriasis after antitumor necrosis factor- α therapy. *Br. J. Dermatol.* 169, 563–570.
- [48] Gudjonsson, J. E., Johnston, A., and Ellis, C. N. (2012) Novel systemic drugs under investigation for the treatment of psoriasis. *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.* 67, 139–147.
- [49] FitzGerald, O., and Mease, P. J. (2013) Biomarkers: project update from the GRAPPA 2012 annual meeting. *J. Rheumatol.* 40, 1453–1454.
- [50] Ritchlin, C. T. (2012) Strategies for biomarker development in psoriatic disease: a report from the GRAPPA 2010 annual meeting. *J. Rheumatol.* 39, 423–426.
- [51] Lundberg, K. C., Fritz, Y., Johnston, A., Foster, A. M., Baliwag, J., et al. (2015) Proteomics of skin proteins in psoriasis: from discovery and verification in a mouse model to confirmation in humans. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 14, 109–119.