

Henry Ford Health

## Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons

---

Public Health Sciences Articles

Public Health Sciences

---

10-12-2021

### Understanding Factors Influencing Breastfeeding Outcomes in a Sample of African American Women

Gayle M. Shipp

Lorraine J. Weatherspoon

Gwendolyn S. Norman

Gwen L. Alexander

Henry Ford Health, galexan2@hfhs.org

Deanne Kelleher

*See next page for additional authors*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarlycommons.henryford.com/publichealthsciences\\_articles](https://scholarlycommons.henryford.com/publichealthsciences_articles)

---

#### Recommended Citation

Shipp GM, Weatherspoon LJ, Norman GS, Alexander GL, Kelleher D, and Kerver JM. Understanding Factors Influencing Breastfeeding Outcomes in a Sample of African American Women. *Matern Child Health J* 2021.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Public Health Sciences at Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Public Health Sciences Articles by an authorized administrator of Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons.

---

**Authors**

Gayle M. Shipp, Lorraine J. Weatherspoon, Gwendolyn S. Norman, Gwen L. Alexander, Deanne Kelleher, and Jean M. Kerver



# Understanding Factors Influencing Breastfeeding Outcomes in a Sample of African American Women

Gayle M. Shipp<sup>1</sup> · Lorraine J. Weatherspoon<sup>1</sup> · Gwendolyn S. Norman<sup>2</sup> · Gwen L. Alexander<sup>3</sup> · Deanne Kelleher<sup>4</sup> · Jean M. Kerver<sup>1</sup>

Accepted: 4 October 2021

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2021

## Abstract

**Objectives** Persistent disparities in breastfeeding rates among African American (AA) women compared to other population groups have motivated researchers to understand factors influencing breastfeeding choices using a variety of methods. Quantitative surveys are more commonly reported, however, qualitative work that amplifies voices of AA women is limited.

**Methods** Participants were recruited from a randomized controlled feasibility trial focused on breastfeeding support for AA women in Detroit, MI. Thirteen women were enrolled in the qualitative portion of the study described here. Using the Socioecological model (SEM) as the theoretical foundation, semi-structured qualitative interviews were conducted to explore perceived facilitators and barriers to breastfeeding. Interviews were digitally recorded, transcribed, and analyzed using Theoretical thematic analysis.

**Results** Women reported factors ranging from micro to macro SEM levels that discouraged or reinforced breastfeeding. Key challenges included breastfeeding-related discouragement issues, including factors that decreased confidence and led women to terminate breastfeeding (e.g., problems with latching, pumping, lack of comfort with breastfeeding in public, and work constraints). Facilitators included perceived mother and infant benefits, perseverance/commitment/self-motivation, pumping ability, and social support. Participant suggestions for expanding breastfeeding promotion and support included: (1) tangible, immediate, and proactive support; (2) positive non-judgmental support; (3) “milk supply” and “use of pump” education; and (4) self-motivation/willpower/perseverance.

**Conclusions for Practice** Despite the identification of common facilitators, findings reveal AA women face many obstacles to meeting breastfeeding recommendations. Collaborative discussions between women and healthcare providers focused on suggestions provided by AA women should be encouraged.

**Keywords** Breastfeeding · Breastfeeding challenges · Breastfeeding facilitators · African American women

---

✉ Gayle M. Shipp  
shippgay@msu.edu

Lorraine J. Weatherspoon  
weathe43@anr.msu.edu

Gwendolyn S. Norman  
gnorman@med.wayne.edu

Gwen L. Alexander  
Galexan2@hfhs.org

Deanne Kelleher  
kelleh12@msu.edu

Jean M. Kerver  
kerverje@msu.edu

<sup>1</sup> Michigan State University, East Lansing, USA

<sup>2</sup> Wayne State University, Detroit, USA

<sup>3</sup> Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, USA

<sup>4</sup> Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA

## Significance

*What is already known on this subject?* This research expands upon current literature on barriers and facilitators to breastfeeding among AA women by providing insight into how SEM factors influence breastfeeding.

*What this study adds?* The sharing of unique personal experiences among AA women increases understanding of breastfeeding support needs in this vulnerable target group. In AA women's own words, non-judgmental support and education on practical aspects including use of breastpumps are needed in breastfeeding promotion programs.

## Introduction

Breastfeeding is recognized as the optimal feeding choice for infants because of numerous associated health benefits (Hauck et al., 2011; Lederman et al., 2004; McDowell et al., 2008). While the majority of US women initiate breastfeeding, significantly fewer continue to breastfeed exclusively for the recommended six months (Asiodu & Flakerud, 2011; Services, 2011) especially among certain population subgroups. With only 24.9% of infants, in 2015, being exclusively breastfed through 6 months of age, The Healthy People 2030 objectives listed these rates as the baseline and set the target for 42.4% (Promotion, 2021). African American (AA) women are the least likely to initiate breastfeeding, continue to 6 months, or exclusively breastfeed (Reis-Reilly et al., 2018). These trends are in parallel to AAs having the highest rates of maternal and infant mortality and morbidity (Bartick et al., 2017; Johnson et al., 2015; Prevention, 2012). Improving maternal and infant health of AAs remains an important public health goal for the US, and may be achieved in part by targeted breastfeeding promotion and support.

Several factors are known to influence breastfeeding behavior among AAs. In addition to socio-demographics and healthcare, these include intention to breastfeed, attitudes toward infant feeding methods (Kornides & Kitsantas, 2013; Stuebe & Bonuck, 2011), lactation experiences, maternity care practices (Ahluwalia et al., 2012), limited lactation support (Reis-Reilly et al., 2018), breastfeeding self-efficacy (Thulier & Mercer, 2009), social support (Dennis, 2002; Thulier & Mercer, 2009), and non-supportive workplace and childcare environments (Grummer-Strawn & Shealy, 2009). These factors influence breastfeeding outcomes among all women, but have been shown to be higher among AAs. Additional AA-specific breastfeeding factors include cultural influences, attitudes

and behaviors, stigma, lack of social support, and inconvenience, pain, and sexuality beliefs (Ramos, 2012; Reis-Reilly et al., 2018). Spencer and Grassley (2013) further identified several factors that appear to have a negative impact on breastfeeding from an integrative literature review pertaining to AA women's breastfeeding decisions and practices. Factors included: early return to work, short maternity leave, insufficient information about breastfeeding from healthcare providers, limited access to professional support, embarrassment with breastfeeding, and perceptions around poor milk supply.

Quantitative studies have identified factors known to influence breastfeeding in the AA population; however, qualitative studies that expand upon these findings by providing recommendations through the voices of AA merican women are limited. Their experiential knowledge and lived experiences are important considerations for professionals who want to enhance breastfeeding outcomes.

The Socio-Ecological Model (SEM) proposes a theoretical perspective for understanding the relationships and underpinnings involved with breastfeeding behavior (Sallis et al., 2008; Tiedje et al., 2002). We used the SEM as our theoretical framework for understanding group level factors that either hinder or support mothers in their ability to breastfeed within the integrated context of intrapersonal characteristics, interpersonal processes, institutional factors, community factors and public policy. Instead of focusing on the mother as the sole person responsible for infant feeding decisions, we recognized breastfeeding as a multifaceted SEM experience (Bueno-Gutierrez & Chantry, 2015).

The objectives of this qualitative study were to (1) gain insight into unique experiences and factors relative to social support and self-efficacy, which influence breastfeeding goals and behavior (duration and exclusivity) among AA women; and (2) identify new ideas or knowledge that can assist in breastfeeding support and success among AA women who want to breastfeed.

## Methods

The study, approved by Michigan State University's human research protection program, met ethical standards. This was part of a larger Randomized, controlled trial (RCT) designed to increase breastfeeding duration and decrease postpartum weight retention (R21HD085138-02, Mama Bear Feasibility Trial). For more information, this RCT was registered with ClinicalTrials.gov on 3/27/2018 (Identifier NCT03480048). We tested the feasibility and acceptability of one to one randomization for a combined breastfeeding support and weight management program. We did not assess the process of intervention, results are limited to follow up.

## Sample and Recruitment

After the Mama Bear study ( $n = 54$ ) ended at 20 weeks postpartum, we used convenience sampling to invite Mama Bear participants/AA women ( $n = 13$ ) who were receiving medical care from a large health system in Detroit, MI, to participate in individual semi-structured qualitative interviews. Women were recruited from both the control and intervention arm of the study. Informed verbal consent was obtained, prior to inclusion in study. Inclusion criteria included self-identification as African American. All participants were offered the option to conduct the interview by phone, video, or in person to allow flexibility and comfort. An estimated 15–20 participants were sought, based on a previous qualitative study that used semi-structured interviews ( $n = 17$ ) to determine how AA women experience breastfeeding in the context of their daily lives (Spencer et al., 2015). Recruitment continued until data saturation was reached (Corbin & Strauss, 2008).

## Data Collection Procedures

Interview questions were developed with SEM as the conceptual framework. Questions embodied aspects of breastfeeding social support and self-efficacy, specifically representing factors that influence breastfeeding and strategies needed to assist in improving breastfeeding outcomes. Two key informants, who worked with Mama Bear participants and AA women in the community, helped shape the relevance of questions included. Four researchers with experience in qualitative research design confirmed face validity of the questions. A one-on-one (in-person, phone or video-conference) semi-structured format was chosen to provide a more flexible conversational approach, allowing the primary researcher to establish trust with participants and elicit richer input. The Primary Investigator (PI) conducted all interviews which were between 30 and 60 min, documented via audio recordings and hand notes, and were followed with a debriefing to allow clarification and/or correction of any misunderstanding. Digital recordings were transcribed verbatim and to ensure accuracy and transcriptions were reviewed in conjunction with field notes. All digital and document files were stored on encrypted and password protected university sites.

## Analysis

Theoretical (deductive) Thematic Analysis occurred utilizing six steps as described by Braun and Clark (2018). Although, thematic analysis does not require having a theoretical framework our study questions were developed with the Social Ecological Model as a conceptual framework. The PI developed coding framework that aligned with the interview guide and the study's objective. The main themes

were: (1) Challenges with meeting breastfeeding goals; (2) Successes with meeting breastfeeding goals; and (3) Suggestions for Expanding Breastfeeding Support/Promotion among African American Women. For the codebook, the PI included definitions of the codes and examples of how they should be applied. The PI and author (DK) read transcripts independently and separately generated initial codes. After each coding of the transcript we held a meeting to discuss the codes, their application, and to ensure agreement. Each reviewer generated potential themes based on an initial coding schema and highlighted exemplar quotes. We also inductively identified additional codes that arose as themes from the transcripts themselves. The results were compared, and where necessary themes were combined to form an overarching theme, collapsed, or eliminated. Coding and collating was done using a combination of NVivo 12, a qualitative analysis software, and manually. Descriptive statistics were generated using Microsoft Excel 2016.

## Results

Table 1 displays the characteristics of participants ( $n = 13$ ) [Display Table 1]. Most were 25–34 y, multiparous, and single (69% for all). Over half (54%) had stopped breastfeeding and/or pumping at time of interview (range 12–16 months postpartum). All women described breastfeeding as being enjoyable and memorable using multiple phrases which included terms of mother and infant bonding, feelings of closeness, and eye contact. Many women remembered the initial latch of their infant. These shared moments seemed to enhance the breastfeeding experience.

The three overarching thematic categories for data alignment were challenges to and successes for meeting various breastfeeding goals and suggestions for expanding breastfeeding support and promotion for AA women. These are presented with subthemes and associated quotes in Tables 2, 3 and 4, respectively [Display Table 2, 3, 4].

### Challenges with not Meeting Breastfeeding Goals

#### 1. Waning Confidence with Breastfeeding:

All participants described some level of “losing confidence.” Some participants lost initial confidence when they experienced breastfeeding issues that remained unresolved despite attempts for help that led to feelings of discouragement that influenced their decision to terminate breastfeeding. Associated breastfeeding issues voiced by women included concerns about infant's weight gain, adequacy of nutritional intake, conflicts with work accommodations, inability to meet pumping schedule, and adequate milk supply.

**Table 1** Demographic characteristics of African American participants (N = 13)

Variables	N (%)
<i>Age</i>	
18–24 years	3 (23.08)
25–34 years	9 (69.23)
35–45 years	1 (7.69)
<i>Education</i>	
Some high school, no diploma	2 (15.38)
High school graduate, diploma or the equivalent (GED)	5 (38.46)
Some college credit, no degree	4 (30.77)
Bachelor's degree	2 (15.38)
<i>Number of children</i>	
Primiparous	4 (30.77)
Multiparous	9 (69.23)
<i>Marital status</i>	
Single, never married	9 (69.23)
Married or domestic partnership	4 (30.77)
<i>Occupation</i>	
Employed for wages	9 (69.23)
Out of work and looking for work	1 (7.69)
Out of work but not looking for work	1 (7.69)
Student	2 (15.38)
<i>Annual household income</i>	
Chose not to provide	1 (7.69)
Less than \$10,000	5 (38.46)
\$10,000 to less than \$20,000	3 (23.08)
\$20,000 to less than \$35,000	2 (15.38)
\$35,000 to less than \$50,000	1 (7.69)
\$50,000 to less than \$75,000	1 (7.69)
<i>Age of the infant when stopped breastfeeding (n = 13)</i>	
Three months	1 (7.69)
Twelve months	3 (23.08)
Fourteen months	1 (7.69)
Sixteen months	2 (15.40)
Still Breastfeeding	6 (46.15)

## 2. Discouragement from Issues Associated with Breastfeeding:

While breastfeeding was new for several women and something they wanted to do for the health benefits, some participants described experiencing specific breastfeeding issues which they could not overcome that led to them giving up. The most common challenges described by participants, also mentioned above, were uncertainty about whether their infant was getting enough, latching, pumping, lack of comfort with breastfeeding in public (family and others), and work constraints.

## Success with Meeting Breastfeeding Goals

### 1. Dual Benefit for Infant and Mother:

Participants described the decision to exclusively breast-feed their infant as primarily due to receiving education and understanding general benefits for both mother and infant. Most mothers mentioned receiving this information from their healthcare providers, which included but was not limited to their physician, midwife, and Women Infants and Children (WIC) counselor.

### 2. Increased Confidence After Positive Experiences:

Some women who said that they lacked confidence early on showed an increase/boost in their confidence as they experienced indicators that breastfeeding was “going right” and the infant was doing well.

### 3. Perseverance, Commitment, and Self-Motivation:

Participants consistently expressed their awareness around the importance of breastfeeding and also described perseverance with breastfeeding despite experiencing some challenges. Many participants described self-determination in making the commitment to at least initiate breastfeeding and trying to be successful. Self-motivation was noted as a subtheme that helped many mothers continue breastfeeding. Participants described instances in which they were building up their “own confidence” and expressed moments where they provided themselves with their own positive affirmations.

#### Ability and Success with Pumping:

The ability to pump was mentioned several times as an important component of meeting breastfeeding goals. This was especially important both with regard to going back to work and at home when their milk supply was perceived to be over and above the infant's needs.

### 4. Encouragement, Help and Support from Social Support Networks:

Outside encouragement/support from support networks was identified for meeting breastfeeding goals. Some women sought out help and asked questions from known social support sources including their peers who were breastfeeding. Women indicated that they sought and received the right amount of support from their support systems within the community. People/organizations most often mentioned were WIC, Mama Bear research study counselor, social worker, and online resources (mother blogs). Most of the help women described was emotional (encouragement and

**Table 2** Challenges with not meeting breastfeeding goals

Sub-theme	Representative quotes
Waning confidence	Q1: "I was very confident. .... when my breast were not filling with milk, getting heavy or leaking as much as it was at first. That discouraged me, like maybe it is not enough milk." Q2: "My doctor told me she wasn't eating enough, ....., I don't know. She told me she was still gaining weight and was in the curve and all that, but she should be eating more."
Discouragement from issues associated with breastfeeding	Q1: "If I didn't have to work right away, I would probably have been able to keep breastfeeding." Q2: "So these kind of stuff makes me discouraged, ..... make you thinking that your baby is not eating enough or something even though she was gaining weight."

**Table 3** Successes with meeting breastfeeding goals

Sub-theme	Representative quotes
Dual benefit for infant and mother	Q1: "Healthier for the both of us." Q2: "It's healthier and natural." Q3: "Once I started she has never been sick or any cold or anything like that. She was really healthy." Q4: "I was told it was the best milk." Q5: "It will help me lose weight."
Increased confidence over time	Q1: "Initially not very confident; but after started going to wellness checks and she hitting all her milestones; could see she was thriving, making the right amount of wet diapers." Q2: "Little nervous in the beginning, but I am very confident now. Once became familiar with it, it just became regular." Q3: "Because of my confidence and knowing I could do it, pushed me to not give up...It's the kind of confidence of knowing that I will figure it out helped me to keep pushing forward."
Perseverance, commitment and self motivation	Q1: "The fact that I went through with it. That was a success. Like I said I was overthinking with it, but at the end I said I am going to breastfeed." Q2: "I want to keep it at least a bottle a day, I don't want to go straight to formula."
Ability to pump	Q1: "Pumping whenever I can. When I get to the point where I am full and the baby doesn't want it anymore. Even when my daughter did not want it anymore, I could pump it and freeze it." Q2: "Me and my job making sure that I pump, they know that's what I want to do. Not being a big issue for me to walk away and being able to pump." Q3: "I didn't have a problem with milk supply because I pumped regularly when I didn't feed." Q4: "I constantly pumped as much as much as could. Every time he emptied a bottle, I re-pumped it." Q5: "Pump when the baby is down, feed the baby and continue to pump it even if he is not eating."
Encouragement, help, and support from identified social support networks	Q1: "The lady from Mama Bear... I would just call her or talk to her. For some reason, I always would call her or text her." Q2: "I had time to take care of myself, I had time to put on makeup. I had time for all that because they helped me out." Q3: "They encouraged me to keep trying and were helpful." Q4: "The lady, breastfeeding counselor, from the WIC office, she invited me to her breastfeeding classes and gave me a pump....will come and show me how to latch on." Q5: "The breastfeeding moms group that helped a lot. It gave me different resources and told me where to go."

**Table 4** Suggestions for expanding breastfeeding support and promotion among African American women

Sub-theme	Representative quotes
Tangible, immediate, proactive support	<p>Q1: "They need that same help that they were getting in the hospital. When you go home, the people around you are not doing that, then you are not gonna want to do it (breastfeed) as much."</p> <p>Q2: "They should get the lactation number at the hospital, maybe when they go home, they could call when they have a problem."</p> <p>Q3: "Communication. That will be like the lactation counselor call them not every day, like every other week."</p> <p>Q4: "I feel like it should be locked and loaded once you leave the hospital. It should be set up like the doctor's appointment."</p> <p>Q5: "Like we have resources somewhere but like it needs to be in different places closer.....bring more to the city where it will have a better impact."</p>
Positive non-judgmental support (Professional and Personal)	<p>Q1: "As long as they have somebody that is understanding, not judgmental."</p> <p>Q2: "It needs to be seen as normal. That's the main thing. Don't put your stigma on me."</p> <p>Q3: "Tell me it is going to be easier, and that we are going through this together."</p> <p>Q4: "Talking about the challenges and being real about it."</p> <p>Q5: "Just continue to do the little groups where they have all moms that are breastfeeding come together, share stories, struggles."</p>
Education about "Milk Supply" and "Use of Pump"	<p>Q1: "The only thing I did was just pump and pump so that I can make milk, but I didn't know how to use a pump. Or have someone to show me how to use it instead of trying to mimic a YouTube video."</p> <p>Q2: It was the fact that the pumping was taking so long. If I would change anything, like I said it would be taking more liquids."</p>
Self-motivation/Will Power/Perseverance	<p>Q1: "They got to have confidence because if they don't or they let someone else persuade without thinking about it I feel like they will quit."</p> <p>Q2: "So it has to be from your will power. You have the power to actually go for it."</p> <p>Q3: "Trying out breastfeeding before you say you are not going to do it. Try to do it longer before you just give up on it."</p> <p>Q4: "I think that's the problem we go to quick and easy way of doing things instead of taking that time to breastfeed."</p>

positive affirmations/reinforcement) and informational support (tips, advice). Participants utilized and appreciated community programs that provided items for their infant. However, very few participants mentioned receiving hands-on breastfeeding technical help while in the hospital and at home. Many participants were very positive and encouraged to breastfeed while receiving some level of support, which enhanced their breastfeeding experience.

### **Suggestions for Expanding Breastfeeding Support/Promotion among African American Women**

#### **1. Tangible, Immediate, Proactive Support:**

Study participants were asked about the type of help that would be beneficial to encourage AA women to undertake and continue breastfeeding. Women mentioned the importance of knowing the health and infant bonding value of breastfeeding. They expressed a need for readily available and tangible assistance from healthcare professionals. Acknowledging their issues with breastfeeding at various

times throughout their experience women felt that it would be beneficial to have a knowledgeable person readily available to communicate with to help in identifying and resolving breastfeeding issues before they become problems.

#### **2. Positive Non-Judgmental Support (Professional and Personal):**

Regarding breastfeeding promotion, participants described the need for AA women to receive positive, non-judgmental support from all people within their social support network, including family members and reinforcement from health care professionals. Many participants mentioned that they were willing to accept encouragement from nearly anyone. Participants said they needed to feel comfortable with expressing challenges authentically. They specifically described the need for breastfeeding to be normalized for women in order for them to feel comfortable not only asking questions, but also feeling comfortable with breastfeeding in public or at work and to receive more encouragement around this health promoting practice.



### 3. Understanding About “Milk Supply” and “Use of Pump”:

Having readily available and accessible information and support from health care professionals with expertise in breastfeeding, especially when a mother new to breastfeeding needs help or has questions was important to participants. The majority of participants mentioned that education should be available preconception, prenatally, and postpartum. The participants also described the need to have lessons and a good understanding focused on healthy eating while breastfeeding. Many participants expressed uncertainty and clarified a need for education about perceived fluctuations in their milk supply, which could be measured if comfortable with using the pump. They did not connect, however, the possibility of one influencing the other. While participants mentioned pumping often, they lacked an understanding about how and when to use the pump.

### 4. Self-Motivation, Willpower, Perseverance:

Many women described the need for AA women to have the inherent “willpower” to breastfeed, which they felt would limit or reduce feeling discouraged. Many women acknowledged their own challenges with breastfeeding but described the importance of not giving up despite difficulties. They also described the self-gratification perceived from completing what they sought out to do.

## Discussion

This less common, person-focused experiential approach to capture the voices of AA women helps to elucidate their perspectives on challenges and facilitators of breastfeeding. Further, this research reveals womens’ recommendations on how challenges to breastfeeding can be addressed, including key factors of addressing waning breastfeeding confidence, breastfeeding-related discouragement issues, and boosting mother and infant benefits, perseverance/commitment/self-motivation, pumping strategies, and social support.

Despite complex factors within different levels of the SEM either reinforcing or discouraging breastfeeding initiation and maintenance among AA women that contribute to disparities (Jones et al., 2015), at the individual level, participants consistently expressed their own awareness surrounding the importance of breastfeeding. Contrary to what some may think, many were knowledgeable about breastfeeding benefits for both them and their infants. Self-motivation, self-determination and commitment, named as common factors for successful breastfeeding experiences (Spencer et al., 2015), were also mentioned by participants as influential for initiation and continued breastfeeding. Named challenges are

consistent with barriers that have been reported as impeding AA women from breastfeeding (Services, 2011). While many participants wanted to continue breastfeeding, some challenges were especially discouraging, and resulted in waning confidence and intentions over time.

Key recommendations based on study findings include tangible, immediate, and proactive help, positive non-judgmental support, “milk supply” and “use of pump” education, and methods to build self-motivation/willpower/perseverance.

## Suggestions to Facilitate Breastfeeding

Participant suggestions for facilitating and improving breastfeeding outcomes within the SEM framework indicated that the *inner sphere* encourages AA women to recognize and utilize their own willpower and promotes self-determination. For the *middle and outer spheres*, factors identified included tangible, immediate, and proactive help, positive, non-judgmental support, and education about their milk supply and use of the pump. Therefore AA womens’ responses were centered on encompassing multiple levels of the SEM, consistent with the study by Tiedje et al. (2002), where authors suggested that breastfeeding promotion should occur at several levels reflective of the SEM.

At the intrapersonal level, a theme emerged on willpower and self-determination as two important factors needed for reinforcing breastfeeding. Noted as an important attribute of AA women, the willpower and determination to breastfeed was consistent among the participants. External community was also influential in impeding breastfeeding, similar to other studies (Dattilo et al., 2020; Johnson et al., 2015). Participants mentioned the need for support to be normalizing, genuine and positive, to help make them feel comfortable not only with the act of breastfeeding, but also with asking questions and participating in open discussions centered around breastfeeding. At the community level participants consistently mentioned that assistance in the form of education, resources, or actual technical help should be readily accessible and available. Research confirms these suggestions that mothers need continuity in care using a multi systems approach to address social and personal barriers to breastfeeding (Gross et al., 2017; Johnson et al., 2015; Parker et al., 2018).

## Tailoring Breastfeeding Education

Overall, study participants were very knowledgeable and had been provided with some form of education around the benefits of breastfeeding. The key sources of information consistently mentioned by participants were WIC, lactation consultants, physicians, and breastfeeding groups. However, many participants were unsure of how to handle “technical

issues” associated with breastfeeding. Breastfeeding education is best accepted when tailored to the individual and her stage of breastfeeding knowledge (Schapira et al., 2017). While women should be educated on the benefits of breastfeeding, our results indicated the need for more topical education on breastfeeding. A systematic review by Dattilo et al. (2020) indicated that parents desire individualized instruction regarding infant feeding, but expectations often are not met and many express mistrust with education and feeding recommendations.

With the widespread availability of portable, personal, electric breast pumps because of the mandate in the Affordable Care Act (Gurley-Calvez et al., 2018; Hawkins et al., 2015), the practice of pumping frequently or exclusively has grown, increasing unanticipated related problems for some. Participants that were pumping also complained about a fluctuation or decrease in milk supply, not recognizing that pumping may be associated with milk supply. Some women lacked information about how and when to correctly use the pump (Eglash & Malloy, 2015). Education was also needed about the fluctuation in the volume of milk supply between each breast and between feedings (Daly et al., 1992; Kent et al., 2006).

To handle all breastfeeding issues women should: (1) know who to contact, (2) feel comfortable with contacting, and (3) have confidence in knowing that someone is readily available. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) recommended that obstetric care providers should develop and maintain skills for supporting normal breastfeeding physiology and management of common complications of lactation during later stages of pregnancy and postpartum period so AA and other women can better conceptualize how it can fit into their daily lives (Breastfeeding et al., 2016).

### Mode of Breastfeeding Support

In the face of challenges reported, many participants mentioned receiving encouragement and support from their interpersonal networks and described the importance for their breastfeeding success journey. Having social support from all people identified within their social network was important to the women, and they emphasized the strong role fathers played in facilitating breastfeeding, which has been consistently documented (Arora et al., 2000; Raj & Plichta, 1998; Services, 2011). Among AA, from a cultural perspective, fathers may not always be as actively engaged in breastfeeding support (Sihota et al., 2019).

Many participants mentioned receiving some form of “help” from healthcare providers, but many expressed the wish that they had more “hands on” or tangible help and more options to access help from healthcare providers, who

focus on breastfeeding to help them through challenges experienced. This finding is similar to previous research suggesting that offering complementary support in addition to standard care can aid in continued breastfeeding (Sikorski et al., 2003).

The women were aware of sources of support at the community level with WIC being a primary mention. However, help and assistance provided from WIC varied based on location. Since the federal mandate in 1991, WIC has been known for providing breastfeeding support to mothers, but participants often noted that they received technical information, and not “hands on” assistance. While WIC programs assist women who want to breastfeed, it becomes important to ensure that the form of support provided (tangible, emotional, or informational) is consistent across all locations. Based on these findings, it may be important to consider assessing breastfeeding support provided across WIC locations within the state or region and determine/set clear standard guidelines for the “type” of breastfeeding support WIC participants should receive in conjunction with measurable meaningful outcomes, especially for groups such as AA women who are not meeting current guidelines.

A systematic review of support for breastfeeding mothers by Sikorski and colleagues (2003), suggested that different modes and timing of support makes a significant difference in breastfeeding outcomes. Specifically, meeting face-to-face showed a significant benefit in continued breastfeeding compared to advice received over the phone. Our participants reinforced that this mode of support/ assistance is preferred, and might hence be more effective among AA.

### Multifaceted Support

Participant interviews confirmed that support should be multi-faceted. When asked about breastfeeding support, women discussed the importance of having support in all aspects of their lives (e.g., caring for children, transportation, money for groceries, time to rest). Many expressed the importance of receiving support and/or encouragement from those identified within their social support networks (significant other, parents, sibling(s), friends, work), which has been consistently identified as being a factor to assist in enhancing breastfeeding (Raj & Plichta, 1998; Sikorski et al., 2003).

### Strengths and Limitations

Strengths of our study include diverse socioeconomic backgrounds of participants, providing a range of perspectives. Additionally, only four of the women interviewed were first time mothers, offering variety in perspectives based on past experiences. Our process of interviewing key informants who had familiarity and experience with the specific population (community health worker and breastfeeding peer

counselor) was also a strength. Limitations of this work include targeting those who had intended to breastfeed and were willing to enroll in a RCT focused on breastfeeding support which might have increased the likelihood of biased responses. Another limitation was including women from the intervention group in the Mama Bear study, which these women identified MAMA Bear as an additional form of support and may also increase the likelihood of biased responses. Further work is warranted to gain insight from women who were not intending to breastfeed from the outset. Moreover, allowing flexibility for participants to respond by phone (instead of in person) may have led to distractions inhibiting them from fully participating and/or being explicit in their answers if they were not alone.

## Conclusion for Practice

The perceived barriers and facilitators identified within our study are supported by the literature. Our study is unique in that no other published work has included suggestions from AA mothers for breastfeeding promotion in an effort to overcome barriers to successfully reach breastfeeding goals. The social ecological framework provides a useful context for discussions around factors enabling or inhibiting breastfeeding within the AA community at multiple levels. AA women from all socioeconomic backgrounds can successfully breastfeed if provided an equitable amount of multifaceted breastfeeding support that helps or enhances their breastfeeding self-efficacy. Women interviewed within this study experienced gaps in overall breastfeeding support that inhibited breastfeeding continuity. Breastfeeding rates within the AA community will improve by employing multiple approaches to shift cultural norms and support structures at all levels. Findings described here, in AA women's own words, provide helpful insights for further discussion, intervention, and advocacy around increasing equity for breastfeeding among AA women.

**Funding** This study was funded by National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (R21HD085138-02).

## References

- Ahluwalia, I. B., Morrow, B., D'Angelo, D., & Li, R. (2012). Maternity care practices and breastfeeding experiences of women in different racial and ethnic groups: Pregnancy risk assessment and monitoring system (PRAMS). *Maternal and Child Health Journal*, *16*(8), 1672–1678.
- Arora, S., McJunkin, C., Wehrer, J., & Kuhn, P. (2000). Major factors influencing breastfeeding rates: Mother's perception of father's attitude and milk supply. *Pediatrics*, *106*(5), e67–e67.
- Asiodu, I., & Flaskerud, J. H. (2011). Got milk? A look at breastfeeding from an African American perspective. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing*, *32*(8), 544–546.
- Bartick, M. C., Jegier, B. J., Green, B. D., Schwarz, E. B., Reinhold, A. G., & Stuebe, A. M. (2017). Disparities in breastfeeding: Impact on maternal and child health outcomes and costs. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, *181*, 49–55 e46.
- Braun, V., Clarke, V., Hayfield, N., & Terry, G. (2018). Thematic analysis. *Handbook of research methods in health social sciences*, pp. 1–18.
- Breastfeeding, E. W. G., Gynecologists, A. C. O. O. A., & Practice, C. O. O. (2016). Committee opinion No. 658: Optimizing support for breastfeeding as part of obstetric practice. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, *127*(2), e86.
- Bueno-Gutierrez, D., & Chantry, C. (2015). Using the socio-ecological framework to determine breastfeeding obstacles in a low-income population in Tijuana, Mexico: Healthcare services. *Breastfeeding Medicine*, *10*(2), 124–131.
- Corbin, J., & Strauss, A. (2008). *Techniques and procedures for developing grounded theory. Basics of qualitative research* (3rd ed.). Sage.
- Daly, S., Kent, J., Huynh, D., Owens, R., Alexander, B., Ng, K., & Hartmann, P. (1992). The determination of short-term breast volume changes and the rate of synthesis of human milk using computerized breast measurement. *Experimental Physiology: Translation and Integration*, *77*(1), 79–87.
- Dattilo, A. M., Carvalho, R. S., Feferbaum, R., Forsyth, S., & Zhao, A. (2020). Hidden realities of infant feeding: Systematic review of qualitative findings from parents. *Behavioral Sciences*, *10*(5), 83.
- Dennis, C. L. (2002). Breastfeeding peer support: Maternal and volunteer perceptions from a randomized controlled trial. *Birth*, *29*(3), 169–176.
- Eglash, A., & Malloy, M. (2015). Breastmilk expression and breast pump technology. *Clinical Obstetrics and Gynecology*, *58*(4), 855–867.
- Gross, T. T., Davis, M., Anderson, A. K., Hall, J., & Hilyard, K. (2017). Long-term breastfeeding in African American mothers: A positive deviance inquiry of WIC participants. *Journal of Human Lactation*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0890334416680180>
- Grummer-Strawn, L. M., & Shealy, K. R. (2009). Progress in protecting, promoting, and supporting breastfeeding: 1984–2009. *Breastfeeding Medicine*, *4*(S1), S31–S39.
- Gurley-Calvez, T., Bullinger, L., & Kapinos, K. A. (2018). Effect of the Affordable Care Act on breastfeeding outcomes. *American Journal of Public Health*, *108*(2), 277–283.
- Hauck, F. R., Thompson, J. M., Tanabe, K. O., Moon, R. Y., & Vennemann, M. M. (2011). Breastfeeding and reduced risk of sudden infant death syndrome: A meta-analysis. *Pediatrics*, *128*(1), 103–110.
- Hawkins, S. S., Dow-Fleisner, S., & Noble, A. (2015). Breastfeeding and the affordable care act. *Pediatric Clinics of North America*, *62*(5), 1071.
- Johnson, A., Kirk, R., Rosenblum, K. L., & Muzik, M. (2015). Enhancing breastfeeding rates among African American women: A systematic review of current psychosocial interventions. *Breastfeeding Medicine*, *10*(1), 45–62.
- Jones, K. M., Power, M. L., Queenan, J. T., & Schulkin, J. (2015). Racial and ethnic disparities in breastfeeding. *Breastfeeding Medicine*, *10*(4), 186–196.
- Kent, J. C., Mitoulas, L. R., Cregan, M. D., Ramsay, D. T., Doherty, D. A., & Hartmann, P. E. (2006). Volume and frequency of breastfeedings and fat content of breast milk throughout the day. *Pediatrics*, *117*(3), e387–e395.
- Kornides, M., & Kitsantas, P. (2013). Evaluation of breastfeeding promotion, support, and knowledge of benefits on breastfeeding

- outcomes. *Journal of Child Health Care*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1367493512461460>
- Lederman, S. A., Akabas, S. R., Moore, B. J., Bentley, M. E., Devaney, B., Gillman, M. W., & Wardle, J. (2004). Summary of the presentations at the conference on preventing childhood obesity, December 8, 2003. *Pediatrics*, *114*(Supplement 3), 1146–1173.
- McDowell, M. M., Wang, C.-Y., & Kennedy-Stephenson, J. (2008). Breastfeeding in the United States; findings from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys, 1999–2006.
- Parker, M. G., Lopera, A. M., Kalluri, N. S., & Kistin, C. J. (2018). “I Felt Like I Was a Part of Trying to Keep My Baby Alive”: Perspectives of hispanic and non-hispanic black mothers in providing milk for their very preterm infants. *Breastfeeding Medicine*, *13*(10), 657–665.
- Prevention, C. F. D. C. A. (2012). *Breastfeeding among US children born 2002–2012, national immunization surveys* Division of Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Obesity, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion.
- Promotion, O. O. D. P. A. H. (2021). *Healthy People 2030*
- Raj, V. K., & Plichta, S. B. (1998). The role of social support in breastfeeding promotion: A literature review. *Journal of Human Lactation*, *14*(1), 41–45. <https://doi.org/10.1177/089033449801400114>
- Ramos, D. E. (2012). Breastfeeding: A bridge to addressing disparities in obesity and health. *Breastfeeding Medicine*, *7*(5), 354–357.
- Reis-Reilly, H., Fuller-Sankofa, N., & Tibbs, C. (2018). Breastfeeding in the community: Addressing disparities through policy, systems, and environmental changes interventions. *Journal of Human Lactation*, *34*(2), 262–271.
- Sallis, J. F., Owen, N., & Fisher, E. B. (2008). Ecological models of health behavior. *Health Behavior and Health Education: Theory, Research, and Practice*, *4*, 465–486.
- Schapira, M. M., Swartz, S., Ganschow, P. S., Jacobs, E. A., Neuner, J. M., Walker, C. M., & Fletcher, K. E. (2017). Tailoring educational and behavioral interventions to level of health literacy: A systematic review. *MDM Policy and Practice*, *2*(1), 2381468317714474.
- Services, U. D. O. H. A. H. (2011). The surgeon general’s call to action to support breastfeeding.
- Sihota, H., Oliffe, J., Kelly, M. T., & McCuaig, F. (2019). Fathers’ experiences and perspectives of breastfeeding: A scoping review. *American Journal of Men’s Health*, *13*(3), 1557988319851616.
- Sikorski, J., Renfrew, M. J., Pindoria, S., & Wade, A. (2003). Support for breastfeeding mothers: A systematic review. *Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology*, *17*(4), 407–417.
- Spencer, B. S., & Grassley, J. S. (2013). African American women and breastfeeding: An integrative literature review. *Health Care for Women International*, *34*(7), 607–625. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07399332.2012.684813>
- Spencer, B., Wambach, K., & Domain, E. W. (2015). African American women’s breastfeeding experiences: Cultural, personal, and political voices. *Qualitative Health Research*, *25*(7), 974–987.
- Stuebe, A. M., & Bonuck, K. (2011). What predicts intent to breastfeed exclusively? Breastfeeding knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs in a diverse urban population. *Breastfeeding Medicine*, *6*(6), 413–420.
- Thulier, D., & Mercer, J. (2009). Variables associated with breastfeeding duration. *Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic, and Neonatal Nursing*, *38*(3), 259–268.
- Tiedje, L. B., Schiffman, R., Omar, M., Wright, J., Buzzitta, C., McCann, A., & Metzger, S. (2002). An ecological approach to breastfeeding. *MCN: the American Journal of Maternal/child Nursing*, *27*(3), 154–161.

**Publisher’s Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.