Henry Ford Health Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons

Orthopedics Articles

Orthopedics / Bone and Joint Center

9-1-2022

Athletes Perceive Weighted Baseballs to Carry a Notable Injury Risk, yet Still Use Them Frequently: A Multicenter Survey Study

Austin G. Cross

Lafi S. Khalil

Alexander J. Swantek

Vincent A. Lizzio

Alexander C. Ziedas

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlycommons.henryford.com/orthopaedics_articles

Authors

Austin G. Cross, Lafi S. Khalil, Alexander J. Swantek, Vincent A. Lizzio, Alexander C. Ziedas, Christopher L. Camp, Peter N. Chalmers, Karch Smith, Sarah E. Chaides, John D. Rexroth, and Eric C. Makhni

OPEN Research Article

Athletes Perceive Weighted Baseballs to Carry a Notable Injury Risk, yet Still Use Them Frequently: A Multicenter Survey Study

Austin G. Cross, MD Lafi S. Khalil, MD Alexander J. Swantek, MD Vincent A. Lizzio, MD Alexander C. Ziedas, MD Christopher L. Camp, MD Peter N. Chalmers, MD Karch Smith, BS Sarah E. Chaides, BS John D. Rexroth, BS Eric C. Makhni, MD, MBA ID

From the Henry Ford Hospital (Dr. Cross, Dr. Khalil, Dr. Swantek, Dr. Lizzio, Dr. Ziedas, Ms. Chaides, Mr. Rexroth, and Dr. Makhni), Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Detroit, MI; the Department of Orthopedic Surgery and Sports Medicine (Dr. Camp), Mayo Clinic, Rochester, NY; and the Department of Orthopedic Surgery (Dr. Chalmers and Smith), University of Utah, Satt Lake City, UT.

Correspondence to Dr. Makhni: ericmakhnimd@gmail.com

This study was performed at the Henry Ford Health System in Detroit, MI; the Mayo Clinic in Rochester, New York; and the University of Utah in Salt Lake City, Utah. This study was internally funded.

JAAOS Glob Res Rev 2022;6: e21.00306

DOI: 10.5435/JAAOSGlobal-D-21-00306

Copyright 2022 The Authors. Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Weighted baseball use in throwing programs is widespread; however, their use remains controversial. Prior research shows that weighted baseball programs can increase ball velocity but potentially increase throwing arm injuries. This study aims to ascertain perceptions of weighted baseballs among elite baseball players. Methods: A created online survey questioned common practices, throwing regimens, injury risk factors, and weighted baseball program use. The questions were modeled to ascertain the perceptions of elite baseball players to understand their experience with weighted baseballs. Descriptive statistical analysis was conducted. **Results:** Three hundred seventy-six baseball players with a mean age of 20 ± 2 years completed the survey; 64% of the players (239/376) were pitchers. 71% (267/376) reported the use of weighted baseballs. Of those, 75% (199/267) thought it made them a better player. Overall, 73% (275/377) thought weighted baseballs are a risk for injury. 17% (46/267) attributed their injury to using weighted baseballs. Overall, participants reported a mean $72\% \pm 30\%$ likelihood of future weighted baseball use. **Conclusion:** Most of the participating elite adult baseball players reported prior weighted baseball use with a corresponding improvement in pitching performance despite a perceived increased injury risk. Nearly 20% of the players attributed pain or injury to weighted baseball use. Moreover, the players surveyed intend to continue using weighted baseballs because of the perceived performance benefit.

The use of weighted baseballs during throwing programs is widespread across ages and competitive levels, with the goal of improving throwing performance (ball velocity and accuracy).¹ Weighted baseball training programs commonly involve the use of various overweight, underweight, and standard baseballs (5 ounce) either alone or in concert with one another at varying distances, throwing stances, and throwing techniques.² These baseballs are commercially available, with weights commonly ranging from 2oz to over 32oz. Weighted baseball training programs are especially common among pitchers, where maximizing ball velocity often plays a notable role in player success and advancement.²⁻⁶ Although their use as a training modality has increased in recent years, there remains controversy surrounding the efficacy and potential risks. Proponents claim that weighted baseballs can be safely used to increase arm speed and strength and ultimately increase ball velocity and that injuries related to weighted baseball training can often be attributed to inappropriate program design.⁷ Those advising against the use of weighted baseballs argue that there is insufficient evidence supporting their safe use or that they should only be used in select individuals with certain criteria met (skeletal maturity, proper mechanics, appropriate supervision, etc.). In fact, a recent study suggested that weighted baseball programs may be associated with increased risk of throwing arm injuries.8

Currently, there are no established universal guidelines based on scientific evidence that outline how to implement weighted baseballs safely and effectively into a training program. Given the concerning increase in shoulder and elbow injuries in baseball players,⁹⁻¹⁴ it is prudent for orthopaedic surgeons and other sports medicine practitioners to play an active role in guiding the safe implementation of these weighted baseball programs. However, before standard guidelines can be established, it is important to first understand the prevalence and common practices and perceptions of weighted baseball throwing programs among players.

The purpose of this study was to understand the perceptions of weighted baseball training programs in adult baseball players, particularly in relation to perceived advantages and disadvantages, history of use, and risk factors for injury. We hypothesize that most of the players will be familiar with weighted baseballs and perceive a performance benefit with their use. In addition, we hypothesize that any perceived risk of injury associated with weighted baseballs would deter competitive athletes from future use of weighted baseballs.

Methods

This was a multicenter survey study among three institutions conducted between August and November 2020. Approval for study conduction and data sharing was obtained from the institutional review boards of each of the three involved institutions before the initiation of this study.

The study authors created a survey designed to assess the perceptions of elite baseball players regarding the use and risks of weighted baseballs in structured throwing programs (Appendix A, http://links.lww.com/JG9/A235). Question formatting included binary yes/no options, checkboxes, multiple choices, visual analog scales, and fill-in-the-blank responses. The survey was distributed by each participating institution to their affiliate baseball programs at the collegiate and professional levels. Participants of the survey electronically received an online link, which included a consent form before the initiation of the survey. Pitchers, in particular, were targeted for participation because of the high prevalence of weighted baseball use in this group; however, all positional players were welcomed to participate. Survey response data, which were anonymous, were stored electronically using the Research Electronic Data Capture, a secure and HIPAA-compliant data management and collection tool developed by Vanderbilt University (Nashville, TN).¹⁵ The online survey used conditional branching to collect the most accurate and appropriate responses from each participant.

Study Population

Initial questions included demographical information about age, height, weight, throwing arm, and ethnicity. Participants were asked to identify their primary sport and their primary baseball position. Players were asked about their personal injury and surgical history whether they have ever experienced an injury or surgery to their throwing arm that caused them to miss practice or games. Additional information, including date of injury/surgery and specific type of injury/surgery, was also collected. A visual analog scale was then used to ask how much pain the player currently experiences in their throwing arm while throwing.

Perceived Advantages and Disadvantages

Participants reported their familiarity with weighted baseball training programs. To assess perceived benefits of a weighted baseball training program, participants were asked to rank possible benefits (injury prevention, increased velocity, improved accuracy, and improved throwing mechanics or range of motion) according to the

Dr. Camp or an immediate family member serves as a consulting to Arthrex. Dr. Chalmersor an immediate family member serves as a consulting to Depuy Synthes Products LLC. Dr. Makhni Chalmersor or an immediate family member serves as a consulting to Smith and Nephew. None of the following authors or any immediate family member has received anything of value from or has stock or stock options held in a commercial company or institution related directly or indirectly to the subject of this article: Dr. Cross, Dr. Khalil, Dr. Swantek, Dr. Lizzio, Dr. Ziedas, Ms. Chaides, and Mr. Rexroth.

benefit gained from their use. Similarly, participants were then asked to rank perceived disadvantages and risks associated with weighted baseballs, such as the cost/expense or increased injury risk.

History of Use

Participants reported their use of weighted baseballs, including overweight and/or underweight, and the frequency of their training regimen. Details of when they initiated weighted baseball training, how they were introduced to it, and their likelihood of continuing to use it were also collected. Players who have used weighted baseballs were asked whether the training program helped them become a better pitcher and how they improved specifically (ball velocity, accuracy, etc.). Players were also asked whether they had experienced any problems with the use of weighted baseballs and the details regarding these problems (pain, injury, decreased performance, etc.).

Risk Factors for Injury

Players were asked whether they thought weighted baseball training programs could cause injury and to what specific area (shoulder, elbow, wrist, and hand) the injury would most likely occur. To gauge a general understanding of knowledge related to injuries, players were asked what variables were related to throwing injuries, and what variables were the most important risk factors for injury (pitch number, pitch type, rest time, etc.). Finally, players were asked what the youngest appropriate age is for someone to start a weighted baseball training program and about the maximum weight of a baseball that is safe to throw during a training program.

Statistical Analysis

Survey response data were downloaded from Research Electronic Data Capture, and descriptive statistics, in the form of counts, percentages, means, and standard deviations, were assessed for each survey response. Independent samples Student *t*-tests were conducted to identify notable differences between players who previously had throwing arm surgery and those who had not.

When analyzing responses, calculations were made using the whole population and/or subsets based on the question being answered. When population subsets were used, they were determined by responses to other questions in the survey, and subset percentages were reported according to the number of responses for the determining question(s). All analyses of significance used a level of 5% and were conducted using SPSS software (IBM Released 2020. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 27.0: IBM.).

Results

A total of 376 players were surveyed, 323 (86%) of whom reported familiarity with weighted baseball training programs. The mean \pm SD participant age was 20 \pm 2 years, comprising players in college (342) and professional leagues (34). Players reported a mean throwing arm pain intensity of 17 \pm 22 (median = 10) on a 0 to 100 scale (Table 1). Most of the participants

Table 1. Participant Demographics

	$\textbf{Mean} \pm \textbf{SD}$	Range
Age, y	20 ± 2	17-39
Height, m	1.87 ± 0.06	1.68-2.03
Weight, kg	90 ± 9	66-113
BMI, kg/m ²	26 ± 2	19-33
Pain with throwing, out of 100	17 ± 22	0-100
		Participants (%)
Primary sport		
	Baseball	329 (88)
	Other	47 (12)
Primary position		
	Pitcher	239 (64)
	Catcher	22 (6)
	Infield (1B, 2B, 3B, SS) ^a	41 (11)
	Outfield	27 (7)
	Other	47 (12)
Dominant hand		
	Right	289 (77)
	Left	87 (23)
Level of play		
	College	342 (91)
	Professional	34 (9)
Injury history		
	Missed game because of throwing arm injury	174 (46)
	Surgery on the throwing arm	51 (14)

Benefits						
Increased/improved	Least 1	2	3	4	Greatest 5	
Pitch velocity	10	13	40	61	177	
Control/accuracy	158	81	36	19	4	
Mechanics/techniques	15	64	75	82	68	
Range of motion	35	75	89	87	27	
Injury prevention	73	69	73	71	29	
Risks						
Biggest downside	Respondents	Percentage (%)				
Increased injury risk	165	51				
Costs/expense	70	22				
No risks	71	22				
Other	17	5				

I able	2.	Perceived Be	enefits and	Risks o	f Weighted	Baseballs	Among All	Respondents
--------	----	--------------	-------------	---------	------------	-----------	-----------	-------------

(n = 239/376, 64%) were pitchers (Table 1). Additional demographic information is presented in Table 1.

Of all participants, 267 (71%) had engaged in a weighted baseball training program, with 89% of the participants (238/267) using both overweight and underweight baseballs. Each player used a mean of 4.2 ± 1.9 different overweight baseballs in their training programs. The most commonly used overweight baseballs were 60z and 70z, representing 17% and 15% of overweight baseball responses, respectively. Each player used a mean of 1.7 ± 0.7 different underweight baseballs in their programs, with 40z (44%) and 30z (38%) being the most commonly used.

Among users of weighted baseballs, the most frequently reported usage was 3 to 5 times per week (n = 144/266, 38%), with <2 times per week being the least frequently reported (n = 54/266, 14%). For underweight baseballs, most players reported (n = 110/239, 29% of the players) using them less than two times per week, with 8% of the players (n = 29/239) using them daily.

Overall, players perceive the greatest benefit of weighted baseball use to be improved or increased pitch velocity, with the least being improved or increased control/accuracy (Table 2). Of the players who actually used a weighted baseball program, 75% (199/267) reported that their use helped make them a better player, with perceived improvement in a mean of 2.2 ± 1.0 of the listed domains—ball velocity, accuracy/location, ball movement, arm strength, and others. The most common improvements were in velocity (86%) and arm strength (79%). Accuracy/location (26%) and pitch movement (21%) were also noted as improved domains (Table 3).

Players most commonly cited not having enough rest between throwing days (72%) being related to throwing injuries, with throwing while in pain (76%) being the most important risk factor for a throwing injury, in general (Table 4). Furthermore, weighted baseball training programs were thought to be a risk factor for injury by 73% of all players (275/376) and 85% of the players (226/267) who have participated in a weighted baseball program. In addition, 17% of the players (46/267) who participated in a weighted baseball program attributed a throwing problem they experienced in their use of weighted baseballs. Of those players, 72% (33/46) experienced pain or injury to their throwing arm.

Overall, participants reported a mean $72\% \pm 30\%$ (median = 80%) likelihood of future weighted baseball use. Regardless of player perception on the harmful

16	able 3.	Perceived	Improvements	From	Weighted
Ba	seball U	lse			

	Participants	Percentage
Did weighted baseball use make you a better player?		
Yes	199	75
No	68	25
If yes, how did you improve?		
Pitch velocity	171	86
Arm strength	158	79
Accuracy/location	51	26
Pitch movement	41	21
Other	9	5%

	Participants	Percentage
Throwing practices related to throwing injuries		
Not enough rest days between throwing	270	72
No. of pitches/balls thrown	264	70
No. of games pitched/played	159	42
Types of pitches thrown (fastballs, curveballs, change-up, slider, etc.)	88	23
Speed of pitches thrown	77	21
Most important risk factors for throwing injuries		
Throwing while having pain	286	76
Overuse	257	69
Throwing while having pain	242	65
Types of pitches thrown (fastballs, curveballs, change-up, slider, etc.)	239	64
No. of pitches/balls thrown	217	58
No. of games pitched/played	114	30
Types of pitches thrown (fastballs, curveballs, change-up, slider, etc.)	49	13
Speed of pitches thrown	42	11
Throwing balls	10	3
Throwing strikes	5	1
Throwing balls	3	1
Throwing strikes	2	1
Maximum weight to train with		
5-6oz	12	3
7oz	23	6
8oz	28	7
9oz	22	6
10oz	31	8
11oz	24	6
12oz	46	12
≥16oz/1lb	133	35
Other/no response	57	15

Table 4. Perceptions of Throwing Injuries, Risk Factors, and Maximum Safe Baseball Weight

potential of weighted baseballs, the most commonly reported likelihood of future use was 75% to 100% (Figure 1). Similarly, there was no significant difference in future likelihood of weighted baseball use between players who previously had surgery on their throwing arm (66% \pm 36%) and those who never had surgery on their throwing arm (72% \pm 30%) (P = 0.245). From the 46 players who reported a throwing-related problem because of weighted baseball programs, the reported likelihood response mean was 49% \pm 33%, with 57% of the players indicating a 50% to 100% likelihood (Figure 2). When asked, the participants thought that an acceptable age for players to begin using weighted baseball programs was a mean of 15 ± 2 years and a maximum ball weight of less than 1 pound was preferred by 65% of the players (Table 4).

Discussion

Despite their prevalence, little is understood about weighted baseballs regarding actual performance improvement and injury risk. The purpose of this study was to understand the perceptions of weighted baseball programs among active adult baseball players. The results of this study indicate that most of the players perceive weighted baseballs not only to be beneficial for

Figure 1



Graph showing the percentage of players likely to use a weighted baseball program (WBP) in the future based on their belief of whether a WBP can cause harm. Players indicated their willingness to participate in a WBP program on a scale of 0 to 100%. There were a total of 271 players thinking that a WBP could cause harm and 54 thinking that WBPs did not cause harm. Players thinking that WBPs caused no harm had a mean of 89% \pm 16% and a median of 98.5% likelihood while players thinking that WBPs caused harm had a mean of 69% \pm 31% with a median of 75% likelihood of future WBP use. The most common responses for both groups were in the 75% to 100% range, with 80% of the players who think WBPs cause harm and 98% of the players who do not think WBPs cause harm reporting a 50% to 100% likelihood to participate in a WBP in the future.

pitching performance but also to be risk factors for throwing arm injuries. Nearly 20% of the survey responders attributed their arm injury to the use of weighted baseball programs. This study highlights the fact that elite athletes are willing to assume an increased injury risk associated with weighted baseball use with hopes of increasing their performance. Knowing this, orthopaedic surgeons should focus educational efforts and future research into developing protocols on how to implement weighted baseballs effectively and safely into athlete's training regimens.

Most of the respondents in this survey study cited an increase in ball velocity as the greatest perceived benefit of a weighted baseball program, which has been investigated by several previous studies.^{8,16,17} In a recent meta-analysis of weighted baseball utilization, Caldwell et al² identified a resultant increase in ball velocity; however, the authors noted that the overall quality of the studies was poor (because of considerable variation in the training protocols, weight of baseballs used, player level, etc.). Similarly, Erickson et al¹⁸ found that pitchers experienced a mean 4.8-mile per hour increase in maximum ball velocity over a 15-week program using

underweight baseballs. Although none of the 44 pitchers who completed the protocol reported injuries, it should be noted that this study did not include a control group nor a group that used overweight baseballs. A similar study by Yang et al¹⁹ found notable increases in maximal ball velocity during a 10-week training program using only underweight baseballs. A previous study by Okoroha et al²⁰ investigated the effect of ball weight on medial elbow torque using 3oz to 6oz baseballs, finding a notable increase in elbow torque with each 1oz increase in baseball weight. They also found that the players even preferred throwing with the lightest baseball (3oz) the most. The current investigation found little enthusiasm for the use of lightweight baseballs, which may highlight the lack of awareness around potential benefits-without reported injury risk-of underweight baseball training.18,19,21

Given the recent rise in the amount of injuries to the shoulder and elbow, especially the UCL, among overhead throwing athletes, the injury risk associated with the use of weighted baseballs is important to consider.^{11,22-25} A recent randomized controlled trial by Reinold et al⁸ investigated the effects of a 6-week

Research Article

Figure 2



Graph showing the percentage of players likely to use a weighted baseball program (WBP) again in the future based on whether the player attributes an injury to prior WBP use. Players indicated their willingness to participate in a WBP program in the future on a scale of 0 to 100. There were a total of 280 players with no injury attributable to WBP use and 45 with an injury attributed to a previous WBP. Players without injury had a mean of 76% \pm 28% and a median of 84.5% likelihood of future use while players attributing injury to WBP use had a mean of 49% \pm 33% with a median of 50% likelihood of future WBP use.

weighted baseball training program using both overweight and underweight baseballs in 38 young male pitchers. Compared with the control group, the weighted baseball group was found to have notable increases in maximum ball velocity (3.3%) and shoulder external rotation (4.3%). The control group reported zero injuries, compared with a 24% injury rate in the weighted baseball group, which was especially concerning because their training protocol was considerably less aggressive (as defined by the length of program, baseball weights used, training volume, and frequency) than most of the programs commonly used. Overall, the body of literature examining injury rates in weighted baseball programs is limited, with many previous studies not commenting on the injury rates during the program or failing to follow up participants in subsequent seasons.² Our investigation found that just less than 20% of the participants attributed a throwing problem to their weighted baseball program, with the majority being pain or injury to the throwing arm.

Increases in pitch velocity after weighted baseball programs are thought to be because of the resultant increase in shoulder external rotation.^{1,8,26-30} However, there may be harmful effects at the elbow because of these programs, leading to injuries to the UCL and other

structures of the elbow.^{7,20} Numerous studies have correlated increases in pitching velocity with a corresponding increase in injury risk to the elbow.³¹⁻³⁸ This may be because of an increase in the valgus force experienced at the ulnar collateral ligament.^{34-36,39,40} Therefore, elbow injury after participation in weighted baseball programs could be related to this short-term increase in velocity because of additional external rotation gained at the shoulder.

Current athlete perceptions may reflect the scarce and sometimes conflicting literature surrounding weighted baseballs. We recommend that elite athletes who choose to use weighted baseballs in their throwing programs understand the potential risks and do so cautiously under the direction of the team athletic trainers, physical therapists, and throwing coaches, with a low threshold to be evaluated by the team sports doctors with the development of any new symptoms related to throwing.

This investigation is not without limitations. First, the survey was completely anonymous and online, and thus, the authors could not directly oversee completion of the survey. However, each of the three institutions used preexisting relationships with their affiliate programs to distribute the survey. Second, it is possible that perceptions of weighted baseballs differ by geographical region. However, this was a multisite study with a large overall catchment area, with each participating institution spread out across the country. Third, there may be selection bias in that players who responded to the survey may be more interested in weighted baseball programs. This is especially true given the high level of competition of our respondents. It should also be noted that most of the participants were collegiate athletes, with a minority of professional participants. This may be explained by the larger proportion of collegiate athletes compared with professional players. In addition, owing to the method of survey dissemination as previously described, an accurate response rate cannot be calculated.

Conclusion

In this survey study of elite adult baseball players, most of the participants reported prior use of weighted baseball training programs along with a corresponding improvement in pitching performance. This is despite perceiving an inherent increased injury risk associated with their use. Nearly 20% of the players attributed pain or injury to the use of weighted baseballs. Moreover, most of the players surveyed intend to continue using weighted baseballs in the future because of the perceived performance benefit.

References

1. Reinold MM, Macrina LC, Fleisig GS, Drogosz M, Andrews JR: Acute effects of weighted baseball throwing programs on shoulder range of motion. *Sports Health* 2020;12:488-494.

2. Caldwell JE, Alexander FJ, Ahmad CS: Weighted-ball velocity enhancement programs for baseball pitchers: A systematic review. *Orthop J Sports Med* 2019;7:2325967118825469.

3. Holt JB, Stearns PH, Bastrom TP, Dennis MM, Dwek JR, Pennock AT: The curse of the all-star team: A single-season prospective shoulder MRI study of little league baseball players. *J Pediatr Orthop* 2020;40:e19-e24.

4. Melugin HP, Leafblad ND, Camp CL, Conte S: Injury prevention in baseball: From youth to the pros. *Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med* 2018; 11:26-34.

5. Norton R, Honstad C, Joshi R, Silvis M, Chinchilli V, Dhawan A: Risk factors for elbow and shoulder injuries in adolescent baseball players: A systematic review. *Am J Sports Med* 2019;47:982-990.

6. Wilhelm A, Choi C, Deitch J: Early sport specialization: Effectiveness and risk of injury in professional baseball players. *Orthop J Sports Med* 2017;5: 2325967117728922.

7. Fleisig GS, Diffendaffer AZ, Aune KT, Ivey B, Laughlin WA: Biomechanical analysis of weighted-ball exercises for baseball pitchers. *Sports Health* 2017;9:210-215.

8. Reinold MM, Macrina LC, Fleisig GS, Aune K, Andrews JR: Effect of a 6-week weighted baseball throwing program on pitch velocity, pitching arm biomechanics, passive range of motion, and injury rates. *Sports Health* 2018;10:327-333.

9. Saper MG, Pierpoint LA, Liu W, Comstock RD, Polousky JD, Andrews JR: Epidemiology of shoulder and elbow injuries among United States high school baseball players: School years 2005-2006 through 2014-2015. *Am J Sports Med* 2018;46:37-43.

10. Camp CL, Conte S, D'Angelo J, Fealy SA: Epidemiology of ulnar collateral ligament reconstruction in major and minor league baseball pitchers: Comprehensive report of 1429 cases. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2018;27:871-878.

11. Erickson BJ, Nwachukwu BU, Rosas S, et al: Trends in medial ulnar collateral ligament reconstruction in the United States: A retrospective review of a large private-payer database from 2007 to 2011. *Am J Sports Med* 2015;43:1770-1774.

12. Fleisig GS, Andrews JR, Cutter GR, et al: Risk of serious injury for young baseball pitchers: A 10-year prospective study. *Am J Sports Med* 2011;39:253-257.

13. Pytiak AV, Stearns P, Bastrom TP, et al: Are the current little league pitching guidelines adequate? A single-season prospective MRI study. *Orthop J Sports Med* 2017;5:2325967117704851.

14. Chalmers PN, Erickson BJ, D'Angelo J, Ma K, Romeo AA: Epidemiology of shoulder surgery among professional baseball players. *Am J Sports Med* 2019;47:1068-1073.

15. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG: Research electronic data capture (REDCap)–a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform* 2009;42:377-381.

16. Derenne C, Ho KW, Murphy JC: Effects of general, special, and specific resistance training on throwing velocity in baseball: A brief review. *J Strength Cond Res* 2001;15:148-156.

17. Escamilla RF, Fleisig GS, Yamashiro K, et al: Effects of a 4-week youth baseball conditioning program on throwing velocity. *J Strength Cond Res* 2010;24:3247-3254.

18. Erickson BJ, Atlee TR, Chalmers PN, et al: Training with lighter baseballs increases velocity without increasing the injury risk. *Orthop J Sports Med* 2020;8:2325967120910503.

19. Yang WW, Liu YC, Lu LC, Chang HY, Chou PP, Liu C: Performance enhancement among adolescent players after 10 weeks of pitching training with appropriate baseball weights. *J Strength Cond Res* 2013;27:3245-3251.

20. Okoroha KR, Meldau JE, Jildeh TR, Stephens JP, Moutzouros V, Makhni EC: Impact of ball weight on medial elbow torque in youth baseball pitchers. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2019;28:1484-1489.

21. Fleisig GS, Phillips R, Shatley A, et al: Kinematics and kinetics of youth baseball pitching with standard and lightweight balls. *Sports Eng* 2006;9: 155.

22. Conte S, Camp CL, Dines JS: Injury trends in major league baseball over 18 seasons: 1998-2015. *Am J Orthop (Belle Mead, NJ)* 2016;45: 116-123.

23. Hodgins JL, Vitale M, Arons RR, Ahmad CS: Epidemiology of medial ulnar collateral ligament reconstruction: A 10-year study in New York state. *Am J Sports Med* 2016;44:729-734.

24. Camp CL, Dines JS, van der List JP, et al: Summative report on time out of play for major and minor league baseball: An analysis of 49,955 injuries from 2011 through 2016. *Am J Sports Med* 2018;46: 1727-1732.

25. Erickson BJ, Chalmers PN, Axe MJ, Romeo AA: Exceeding pitch count recommendations in little league baseball increases the chance of requiring tommy john surgery as a professional baseball pitcher. *Orthop J Sports Med* 2017;5:2325967117695085.

26. Aguinaldo AL, Chambers H: Correlation of throwing mechanics with elbow valgus load in adult baseball pitchers. *Am J Sports Med* 2009;37: 2043-2048.

27. Camp CL, Tubbs TG, Fleisig GS, et al: The relationship of throwing arm mechanics and elbow varus torque: Within-subject variation for professional baseball pitchers across 82,000 throws. *Am J Sports Med* 2017;45:3030-3035.

28. Escamilla RF, Fleisig GS, Groeschner D, Akizuki K: Biomechanical comparisons among fastball, slider, curveball, and changeup pitch types and between balls and strikes in professional baseball pitchers. *Am J Sports Med* 2017;45:3358-3367.

29. Fleisig GS, Andrews JR, Dillman CJ, Escamilla RF: Kinetics of baseball pitching with implications about injury mechanisms. *Am J Sports Med* 1995;23:233-239.

30. Keller RA, Marshall NE, Bey MJ, et al: Pre- and postseason dynamic ultrasound evaluation of the pitching elbow. *Arthroscopy* 2015;31: 1708-1715.

31. Bushnell BD, Anz AW, Noonan TJ, Torry MR, Hawkins RJ: Association of maximum pitch velocity and elbow injury in professional baseball pitchers. *Am J Sports Med* 2010;38:728-732.

32. Chalmers PN, Sgroi T, Riff AJ, et al: Correlates with history of injury in youth and adolescent pitchers. *Arthroscopy* 2015;31:1349-1357.

33. Chalmers PN, Wimmer MA, Verma NN, et al: The relationship between pitching mechanics and injury: A review of current concepts. *Sports Health* 2017;9:216-221.

34. Lizzio VA, Gulledge CM, Smith DG, et al: Predictors of elbow torque among professional baseball pitchers. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2020;29:316-320.

35. Okoroha KR, Lizzio VA, Meta F, Ahmad CS, Moutzouros V, Makhni EC: Predictors of elbow torque among youth and adolescent baseball pitchers. *Am J Sports Med* 2018;46:2148-2153.

36. Slowik JS, Aune KT, Diffendaffer AZ, Cain EL, Dugas JR, Fleisig GS: Fastball velocity and elbow-varus torque in professional baseball pitchers. *J Athl Train* 2019;54:296-301.

37. Chalmers PN, Erickson BJ, Ball B, Romeo AA, Verma NN: Fastball pitch velocity helps predict ulnar collateral ligament reconstruction in major league baseball pitchers. *Am J Sports Med* 2016;44:2130-2135.

38. DeFroda SF, Kriz PK, Hall AM, Zurakowski D, Fadale PD: Risk stratification for ulnar collateral ligament injury in major league baseball players: A retrospective study from 2007 to 2014. *Orthop J Sports Med* 2016;4:2325967115627126.

39. Makhni EC, Lizzio VA, Meta F, Stephens JP, Okoroha KR, Moutzouros V: Assessment of elbow torque and other parameters during the pitching motion: Comparison of fastball, curveball, and change-up. *Arthroscopy* 2018;34:816-822.

40. Nissen CW, Westwell M, Ounpuu S, Patel M, Solomito M, Tate J: A biomechanical comparison of the fastball and curveball in adolescent baseball pitchers. *Am J Sports Med* 2009;37:1492-1498.