# Henry Ford Health Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons

**Surgery Articles** 

Surgery

3-1-2021

# Findings and recommendations from the organ transplant caregiver initiative: Moving clinical care and research forward

Michelle T. Jesse Henry Ford Health, MJESSE1@hfhs.org

**Beverly Hansen** 

Heather Bruschwein

Gloria Chen

Camilla Nonterah

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlycommons.henryford.com/surgery\_articles

# **Recommended Citation**

Jesse MT, Hansen B, Bruschwein H, Chen G, Nonterah C, Peipert JD, Dew MA, Thomas C, Ortega AD, Balliet W, Ladin K, Lerret S, Yaldo A, Coco T, and Mallea J. Findings and recommendations from the organ transplant caregiver initiative: Moving clinical care and research forward. Am J Transplant 2021; 21(3):950-957.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Surgery at Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Surgery Articles by an authorized administrator of Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons.

# Authors

Michelle T. Jesse, Beverly Hansen, Heather Bruschwein, Gloria Chen, Camilla Nonterah, John Devin Peipert, Mary Amanda Dew, Charlie Thomas, Adilia D. Ortega, Wendy Balliet, Keren Ladin, Stacee Lerret, Alexander Yaldo, Tiffany Coco, and Jorge Mallea

## ,

## MEETING REPORT

# Findings and recommendations from the organ transplant caregiver initiative: Moving clinical care and research forward

Michelle T. Jesse<sup>1,2,3,4</sup> | Beverly Hansen<sup>5</sup> | Heather Bruschwein<sup>6</sup> | Gloria Chen<sup>7</sup> | Camilla Nonterah<sup>8,9</sup> | John Devin Peipert<sup>10</sup> | Mary Amanda Dew<sup>11</sup> | | Charlie Thomas<sup>12</sup> | Adilia D. Ortega<sup>13</sup> | Wendy Balliet<sup>14</sup> | | Keren Ladin<sup>15</sup> | | Stacee Lerret<sup>16</sup> | Alexander Yaldo<sup>17</sup> | | Tiffany Coco<sup>18</sup> | Jorge Mallea<sup>19</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Transplant Institute, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, Michigan

- <sup>13</sup>Memorial Transplant Institute, Hollywood, Florida
- <sup>14</sup>Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, South Carolina
- <sup>15</sup>Departments of Occupational Therapy and Community Health, Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts
- <sup>16</sup>Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin

<sup>17</sup>Michigan Medicine – The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan

<sup>18</sup>Mayo Clinic, Phoenix, Arizona

<sup>19</sup>Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, Florida

#### Correspondence

Michelle T. Jesse Email: mjesse1@hfhs.org

#### **Funding information**

Novartis; American Society of Transplantation; NATCO The Organization for Transplant Professionals; Society for Transplant Social Workers; Henry Ford Health System; International Society for Heart and Lung Transplant; National Kidney Foundation Lay-caregivers are essential to the continuum of care in adult organ transplantation. However, we have a limited understanding of the experiences, exigencies, and outcomes associated with lay-caregiving for organ transplant patients. While much discussion and debate has focused on caregiver requirements in relation to transplant candidate selection, little focus has been given to understanding the needs of caregivers themselves. In response to this, the Organ Transplant Caregiver Initiative was created, and a meeting was held during October 6–7, 2019. Transplant healthcare professionals, researchers, and lay-caregivers discussed the experiences, educational needs, existing research, and research recommendations to improve the experience of lay-caregivers for adult organ transplant patients. In this report, we summarize the

Abbreviations: AST, American Society of Transplantation; CMS, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid; FMLA, Family Medical Leave Act; MELD, Model for End Stage Liver Disease; OCTI, Organ Transplant Caregiver Initiative; PSECOP, Psychosocial and Ethics Community of Practice.

© 2020 The American Society of Transplantation and the American Society of Transplant Surgeons

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Consultation-Liaison Psychiatry, Behavioral Health, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, Michigan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Center for Health Policy & Health Services Research, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, Michigan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Psychiatry & Behavioral Neurosciences, Wayne State School of Medicine, Detroit, Michigan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Mayo Clinic Hospital, Phoenix, Arizona

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Psychiatry and Neurobehavioral Sciences, University of Virginia School of Medicine, Charlottesville, Virginia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Memorial Hermann Hospital Transplant Center, Houston, Texas

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Department of Psychology, University of Richmond, Richmond, Virginia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Virginia Commonwealth University School of Medicine, Richmond, Virginia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Banner Transplant Institute, Phoenix, Arizona

Organ Transplant Caregiver Initiative and meeting findings, providing a preliminary action plan to improve education, research, and advocacy for organ transplant caregivers.

KEYWORDS ethics and public policy, editorial/personal viewpoint, education, social sciences, patient education, mental health

# 1 | INTRODUCTION

Organ transplantation is a life-sustaining, care-intensive intervention for patients with end-stage organ disease. Lay-caregivers (also referred to as informal or family caregivers, henceforth caregivers) provide an essential role across the stages of transplantation and living donation, including referral, evaluation, maintaining listing status, surgery, short- and long-term recovery. Caregivers are members of the patient's family, friends, or community who provide any of multiple types of social support, including instrumental, informational, and emotional support for the patient. Caregivers typically do not have financial or contractual obligations to provide support, but often sacrifice income due to caregiving demands.<sup>1</sup> Caregiving for transplant patients can entail providing assistance with complex medication regimens, transportation, activities of daily living, emotional support, fundraising, and coordination of other support members.<sup>2-5</sup>

Verification of social support is a requirement to be waitlisted in most organ transplant programs.<sup>6</sup> Caregivers fulfill this role and provide vital contributions throughout the transplant process. Despite this, gaps remain in understanding the experience and impact of caregiving in organ transplantation. Caregivers report both significant levels of burden and benefit from their role.<sup>2-5</sup> Specific burdens and benefits derived from caregiving vary and change over time, depending on patient and other contextual factors. However, limited data are available on the physical, emotional, and economic impact of caregiving on the transplant caregiver, or caregivers' impact on transplant patient outcomes. For example, there are virtually no data on whether or what aspects of caregivers impact patient survival or other outcomes. Financial and economic costs have been closely examined in other chronic illness populations indicating variability across specific illnesses and countries.<sup>7</sup> However, financial impact of caregiving has not been closely examined for organ transplant populations despite caregivers reporting significant financial burdens.<sup>5</sup> As a result of the dearth of data and complexity of the circumstances, prioritizing caregivers as relevant stakeholders in organ transplantation is vital to further understanding of the organ transplant caregiving experience and impact.

In response to calls for a greater focus on organ transplant caregivers, the Organ Transplant Caregiver Initiative (OTCI) was started in 2018 and a consensus meeting was held during October 6-7, 2019 in Dallas, Texas. The purpose was to bring together relevant stakeholders (including caregivers) to (a) determine the specific burdens and potential benefits of caregiving, and identify existing resources and resource needs reported in the empirical literature, (b) identify and develop comprehensive educational resources for organ transplant caregivers, and (c) define research goals to help address the needs of caregivers for organ transplant populations. In this report, we summarize the OTCI and meeting findings and provide a preliminary action plan to improve education and research for organ transplant caregivers.

# 2 | METHODS

The American Society of Transplantation (AST) Psychosocial and Ethics Community of Practice (PSECOP) established the OTCI in early 2018 in response to a call from the AST Patient Summit (occurred October 23, 2017). During a breakout session, organ transplant recipients and caregivers voiced the need for more resources for caregivers including comprehensive educational resources, supportive resources including mental health related, and research funding. In response, an invitation was sent to the membership of the PSECOP for participation and monthly conference calls were scheduled to discuss the OTCI's objectives. During each call (occurring monthly beginning in February 2018), OTCI members developed objectives including improvements in educational resources and development of caregiver-specific research priorities. A decision was made to focus on caregivers in adult transplantation as a starting point, given that adults constitute the greatest pool of patients in organ transplantation and because caregiver issues in pediatric care are distinct and would require separate consideration. From the calls, preliminary review of the empirical literature published in peer-reviewed scientific journals (eg, searched via PubMed), and review of publicly accessible education resources, several overarching themes or content domains were identified. Domains were categorized as generalizable across all organ transplant populations (ie, transplant caregiver role and responsibilities, legal and financial considerations, caregiver quality-of-life and self-care, and special considerations) and organ specific (lung, liver, kidney, heart). From these domains, eight workgroups were established to address both the education and research within the respective content areas. Each workgroup identified and reviewed existing resources (eg, publicly available resources, empirical literature) to outline all possible topics. Emphasis was placed on identifying gaps in existing knowledge. As there were often significant gaps in the organ transplant specific literature, workgroups were encouraged to review other pertinent chronic illness literature or resources if relevant. However, given the unique demands associated with caregiving in organ transplant, literature from other populations focused on content thought to be generalizable to broader caregiving experience (eg, basic strategies for caregiver self-care) with the objective of complementing the organ transplant literature. Each workgroup created two presentations for the meeting: educational presentations summarizing recommended content and research presentations summarizing the existing literature, research gaps, and initial recommendations for needed research.

The OTCI meeting occurred during October 6-7, 2019 in Dallas, Texas, with the financial support of Novartis and AST. Additional sponsors included the Henry Ford Transplant Institute, NATCO-The Organization for Transplant Professionals, National Kidney Foundation, Society of Transplant Social Workers, and the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation. Twentyfour individuals from across the United States attended the meeting including clinical psychologists, epidemiologists, social workers, physicians, clinical researchers, and caregivers of organ transplant recipients (representing the four organs). The objectives were to: (a) summarize the current empirical evidence on organ transplant caregiver burdens, benefits, and interventions; (b) develop a comprehensive educational toolkit for caregivers of adult organ transplant populations; and (c) develop a consensus-based, prioritized list of specific research goals on caregiving in adult organ transplant populations, with clear reasoning behind each priority. Over the course of 2 days, presentations followed by group discussions occurred on the educational needs and research priorities on caregivers of adult organ transplant patient populations. Following each education presentation, discussion questions included whether additional information within that content area should be included, whether anything was not helpful or should be excluded, and any other resources to be reviewed. Following each research presentation, discussions included review of main themes of the research to date, what research was needed, what research should be prioritized, and any other information helpful in developing research priorities. All sessions were recorded for accurate documentation of proceedings. During and following the conference, detailed notes were taken to ensure all thematic content was recorded. Thematic content from research discussions was condensed to reflect central themes. In January 2020, OTCI participants and sponsors were sent online surveys requesting they rank research themes from highest to lowest priority (described further below).

# 3 | SUMMARY OF BURDEN, BENEFITS, AND INTERVENTIONS

The literature reviews and discussions revealed significant limitations in our current knowledge of burdens, benefits, and interventions for caregivers of adult organ transplantation populations. Caregiver burden is a broad term, encompassing both objective elements (eg, specific tasks) and subjective elements (eg, caregiver perception of strain) that can adversely impact caregivers' physical,

# TABLE 1 Summary of organ transplant caregiver perceived burdens and benefits

Caregiver burdens reported across organs Lifestyle Required lifestyle changes Financial concerns/sacrifices Less personal time/time constraints/competing time demands Work-related adjustments Patient well-being and care needs Rapid disease progression Worry about candidate/recipient's health Patient suffering Patient behavior, keeping patient's mood positive Impact of caregiving Uncertainty/unpredictable future Feeling unprepared Disturbed sleep **Emotional adjustments Physical strains** Neglecting own needs Examples of organ-specific factors related to greater caregiver burden(s) Kidney Patient on either hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis (vs transplant) Liver Alcoholic etiology Higher Model for End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD) score Previous hepatic encephalopathy and cognitive dysfunction Need to care for recipient and donor Lung Cleaning and care of tracheotomy MCS/heart **Biopsies posttransplant** Higher resting heart rate Difficulty managing infections and driveline Worry about pump performance Commonly identified caregiver benefits Patient-related Spending more time with the patient Providing physical, financial, and emotional support to the patient The gift of transplant and a second chance at life/patient survival Improved patient well-being and quality of life Personal growth Realizing/recognizing what is important in life Discovering one's own inner strength

Gaining a new life perspective

TABLE 1 (Continued) Feeling wanted or needed Hope for life renewed Greater faith

Note: References for table provided in Table S2B.

financial, psychological, social, and spiritual functioning.<sup>8</sup> The current literature suggests that over half of organ transplant caregivers report high levels of burden, based upon scale-specific clinical cutoffs, both prior and after transplantation.<sup>9,10</sup> Commonly identified burdens among organ transplant caregivers are outlined in Table 1 (references provided in Table S2B). However, changes in organ transplant caregiver burden over time remain unclear and some findings suggest that burden is context specific. For example, burden levels can differ depending on the type of organ transplantation (eg, heart vs lung), the etiology of disease for a given organ (eg, alcoholic liver disease vs other liver etiologies), the phases of transplant (eg, evaluation for listing vs living with transplant), and specific aspects of medical care (eg, maintaining a tracheotomy, sterile dressing changes), amongst others.<sup>2,4,9-13</sup>

Understanding burden in organ transplant caregivers is critical given the empirical literature has shown associations between greater caregiving burden and more depressive symptoms, anxiety, mood disturbances, sleep disturbances, decreased health-related quality of life, and lower life satisfaction among organ transplant caregivers.<sup>2,9,13-15</sup> While there is evidence that caregiver health-related quality of life (HrQOL) predicts patient mortality,<sup>16</sup> whether caregiver burden impacts transplant recipients' clinical outcomes is largely unknown. Although not yet examined in transplantation, the general chronic disease literature has shown that greater caregiver burden, even when accounting for sociodemographic and physical health factors, is associated with a significantly greater risk of caregiver mortality.<sup>17</sup>

While there are numerous burdens, organ transplant caregivers also report a variety of benefits, or benefit finding, from their role as caregiver. Benefit finding is defined as the gaining of positive coping or adjustment from a significant life stress or trauma.<sup>18</sup> Commonly reported transplant caregiver benefits are outlined in Table 1. While greater caregiver benefit has been associated with greater caregiver life satisfaction,<sup>9</sup> it is unknown to what extent caregivers experience benefit, whether perceived benefit changes over time, whether context impacts benefit finding (eg, type of organ, phase of the transplant process), or what processes are involved in organ transplant caregivers reporting greater benefits from caregiving. Lastly, there are no data on whether organ transplant caregiver perceived benefits impact either caregiver or patient outcomes.

Ultimately, there is a shortage of high-quality research on interventions for caregivers of adult organ transplant patients. The majority of interventional research involving caregivers of organ transplant patient populations has focused on the development, implementation, and provision of educational resources.<sup>19,20</sup> Therapeutic interventions suggest that self-management interventions may not improve self-efficacy compared to standard education,<sup>21</sup> whereas mindfulness may be beneficial for reducing stress or distress.<sup>22,23</sup> However, many of these studies were with small samples from single institutions and therefore may not generalize across varied contexts.

# 4 | EDUCATION: DEVELOPMENT OF THE ORGAN TRANSPLANT CAREGIVER TOOLKIT

Within patient-centered care frameworks, educational efforts should target the patient and their support network, engaging all relevant stakeholders (eg, patients, caregivers, healthcare providers) in both design and implementation. Education should also be culturally tailored (eg, linguistically appropriate), ongoing, multidirectional in communication or feedback, empowering, contribute to shared decision-making, and foster trust across stakeholders.<sup>24,25</sup> Within the broader chronic disease framework, educational content has focused on information sharing, shared decision-making, activities of care related to managing lifestyle factors, self-care practices, adaptive coping strategies, and behavioral self-management.<sup>26</sup>

Before the meeting, the workgroup agreed that there was a need to develop a dynamic and comprehensive toolkit for caregivers of organ transplant populations, with the intention of modeling the toolkit after the AST Live Donor Toolkit<sup>27</sup> and other established caregiver resource guides (eg, American Cancer Society<sup>®28</sup>). Prior to the meeting, stakeholders compiled and reviewed existing educational resources, discussed areas of educational content, and outlined factors to be addressed in the development of educational resources (eg, health literacy, cultural sensitivity). Over the course of preparing for discussions during, and post-meeting efforts, the generalizable educational content domains evolved to encompass the themes in Table 2.

From the meeting in Dallas, the group also discussed the need to utilize multiple formats (eg, video, written). This requires the engagement of additional stakeholders (eg, web development) as the goal is to provide a comprehensive, accessible, and routinely updated educational resource. This also requires the infrastructure to maintain and update the resource. Also apparent from the meeting was the unmeasurable value of having caregivers engaged throughout the entire process. At this time of this writing, the Organ Transplant Caregiver Toolkit is under development.

### 5 | RESEARCH PRIORITIES

Clearly apparent was the relative dearth of empirical literature on the experience of organ transplant caregivers. During meeting presentations and discussions, numerous topics and themes emerged. Across the themes, two core themes emerged; the specific research focus or content (eg, improved understanding of caregiver mental and physical health, cultural/spiritual/religious factors, types of interventions) and research methods/design (eg, dyadic studies, prospective longitudinal studies). Full summary of themes provided in Table S1A. Research themes were entered into an online survey (Qualtrics) and sent to all members of the initiative members, regardless of attendance at the meeting. Nineteen, of 27 invited, responded. and provided rankings of themes from lowest (1) to highest (10) priority. The final rankings of high research priorities are presented in Table 3. While specific areas of research focus were identified (eg, caregiver mental health), the most pervasive theme across discussions and subsequent ranking was the need for prospective research studies examining the caregiver-patient dyad on both patient and caregiver outcomes. Also, of very high priority was the need for research to identify the most efficacious content, format, timing, and frequency of educational efforts on both caregiver and patient outcomes.

# 6 | ADDITIONAL DISCUSSION: ADVOCACY

An additional discussion topic of advocacy for federal policies to provide greater protections for organ transplant caregivers emerged during the meeting. Although there have been considerable improvements in federal and state policies establishing protections for organ transplant populations, organ transplantation still incurs considerable financial expense.<sup>29</sup> Federal policies, such as the Family Medical Leave Act (FMLA), are designed to protect eligible employees from job loss when necessary to take time off work to care for an immediate family member with a serious health condition. However, FMLA only provides job protection without required pay stipulation. The limits on the amount of unpaid job-protected leave may not address the unique needs in end-stage organ disease and transplantation. Certain states and cities have paid leave programs, although such programs remain few and limited.<sup>30</sup> Unpaid leave can create notable financial burden to caregivers, most of whom may not have assessed their economic status prior and do not receive financial assistance for the care they provide. Further discussion on potential advocacy for protections and resources for organ transplant caregivers, at both the state and federal level, is necessary to offset financial burdens.

# 7 | DISCUSSION

The findings of this initiative and report provide a preliminary plan for improving education, research, and advocacy for organ transplant caregivers. First, there is a dire need for comprehensive, freely accessible educational resources for caregivers of organ transplant populations. This will require resources and ongoing support from existing infrastructures (eg, national organizations) to maintain and update educational content. Secondly, focused research funding is needed to improve our understanding of the impact of caregiving in organ transplant patient populations and develop, test, and refine interventions aimed at improving outcomes for both patients and caregivers. For those interested or

#### TABLE 2 Transplant caregiver toolkit generalizable domains

#### **Educational content**

#### Themes and general content

- Transplant caregiver role and responsibilities
  - Identifying caregivers (eg, who and how, confirming and changing caregivers)

Transplant evaluation

- Transplant hospitalization
- Posttransplant discharge and recovery
- Effective communication with the transplant team (eg, patient advocacy, styles of communication)

Legal and financial considerations for caregivers

- Legal Issues and considerations (eg, Family Medical Leave Act [FMLA], power of attorney for health care, family responsibilities discrimination, guardianship)
- Financial issues and considerations (ie, paid leave programs, power of attorney for finances, managing social security/ veterans' benefits, short-term disability for caregivers, tax breaks, caregiver expenses)

Caregiver quality of life and self-care

Caregiver self-care

Caregiver stress

- Relationship stress during caregiving
- Caregiver rewards
- Caregiver burden
- Support groups

When to ask for help/who to ask

Special considerations with caregiving

Cultural, spiritual, and religious considerations with caregiving

- End-of-life and palliative care
- Privacy and relationship issues
- Participating in clinical research
- Emergency preparedness planning (ie, flu season, natural disasters, public health crises, power outages)

involved in transplant research, collaborative relationships across centers should be developed and young investigators should be mentored to explore this topic of research. Third, there is a need to develop collaborative relationships between healthcare systems and organizations (eg, National Kidney Foundation, American Society of Transplantation) to advocate, locally and nationally, for legal protections and additional resources for these caregivers. Fourth, it is vital to engage caregivers in all processes to contribute their unique perspectives and experiences.

Caregivers provide invaluable patient support outside the formal hospital system, but also act as care navigators (eg, attend doctor's appointments) within the medical setting. Caregivers engage in vital communication and provide information with the multidisciplinary clinical team and are a source of social and emotional support for patients. Clinicians often expect caregivers to dedicate time and resources with an undefined end date while

AIT

TABLE 3 Recommended research priorities and reasoning from the organ transplant caregiver meeting

Mean ranking score <sup>a</sup>	Highest research priorities
	Research focus or content
9.06	Impact of caregiving on the caregiver
	Currently, we have a limited understanding of the impact of caregiving on caregivers. To develop and test interventions we must first have a significantly better understanding of the impact of caregiving on the caregiver
9.00	Development and refinement of educational and other resources for caregivers including optimal timing and frequency of provision
	Consistent theme across discussions on both education and research was the absence but dire need for comprehensive educational resources. However, the question remains on the most efficacious content, format, timing, accessibility, frequency, and other characteristics of education
8.72	Research methods/design
	Prospective work on pre- to posttransplant predictors of outcomes for caregivers and patients
	Limited data examining the long-term impact on caregiving
	High priorities
	Research focus or content
8.41	Caregiver impact on patient
8.22	Impact of therapeutic interventions with the caregiver
8.11	Impact of interventions engaging additional supports and/or resources for the care of the patient
8.00	Impact of financial assistance program or planning tools
7.82	Caregiver mental health
	Research methods/design
7.76	Improved assessment and measurement of caregivers, including identification of caregivers at risk for negative outcomes
7.72	Multi-site studies
7.67	Mix-methods data collection
7.18	Adequate sample sizes/sampling
6.44	Dyadic data collections

<sup>a</sup>Potential range was 1 (lowest priority) to 10 (highest priority).

staying abreast of numerous aspects of the patient's care. Amid their role as a support system for the transplant recipient, caregivers also must manage their own emotional and personal adjustments, including significant lifestyle changes. As clinical providers require individuals to assume this role, we have the ethical obligation, under both beneficence and non-maleficence, to assist and support caregivers throughout this process. Furthermore, providers have an ethical duty, based upon the principle of autonomy, to provide education on medical services offered to facilitate patients' ability to make informed decisions. However, organ transplantation is a complicated, dynamic, and interpersonal process involving multidisciplinary teams interacting with diverse social support networks and the broader community over prolonged periods of time. How individuals, departments, healthcare systems, and other organizations provide education on organ transplantation to patients and caregivers has the potential to directly impact access and outcomes. A consensus from this initiative was the dire need for comprehensive educational resources for organ transplant caregivers.

We, as healthcare providers, scientists, and the greater organ transplant community, have the shared knowledge to develop, test, and refine resources and interventions for organ transplant caregivers. The OTCI identified needed areas of research focus, content, and methodology. The highest identified priority for interventional research was to determine the most efficacious timing, frequency, duration, and content of education to impact both patient and caregiver outcomes. Other high priority interventional research included therapeutic interventions, interventions aimed at mobilizing additional social supports, and the impact of financial assistance programs. Ultimately, fully powered, multi-institutional, randomized controlled trials are necessary to clearly determine the effects of caregiver interventions. While the current literature on caregivers is an essential starting point for our understanding of caregiver-related educational needs, well-designed interventional research is desperately needed. To accomplish this, more research funding initiatives at the federal level should be provided for caregiver-based research and caregiver-focused requests for applications.

955

This initiative is an important first step in improving the experience of our caregivers. We, the members of the OTCI, hold that the findings from this meeting will stimulate further discussion and advocacy for efforts to improve education, resources, research, and policy to assist caregivers of adult organ transplant patient populations.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The following societies, organizations, and companies provided financial support for the Consensus Conference: Novartis, American Society of Transplantation, NATCO The Organization for Transplant Professionals, Society for Transplant Social Workers, Henry Ford Health System, International Society for Heart and Lung Transplant, and the National Kidney Foundation. We would also like to thank James R. Rodrigue, PhD, for his ongoing support and mentorship. This manuscript is a work product of the American Society of Transplantation's Psychosocial and Ethics Community of Practice, Organ Transplant Caregiver Initiative.

#### DISCLOSURE

The authors of this manuscript have no conflicts of interest to disclose as described by the *American Journal of Transplantation*.

#### ADDITIONAL MEETING PARTICIPANTS

Kelly Collins, MD, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, MI; Caron Burch, RN, MSN, International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation; Kelley Canavan, LMSW, National Kidney Foundation; Jan Hart, LCSW, Society for Transplant Social Workers; Danyel Gooch, RN, MSN, NATCO; Linda Evans, caregiver for a liver transplant recipient; Alexis Carter, caregiver for a kidney transplant recipient; Don Richardson, caregiver for an LVAD and heart transplant recipient; Kate Nichols, caregiver for a lung transplant recipient; Amber Nolan, AST representative.

#### ORCID

Michelle T. Jesse b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0847-0368 Heather Bruschwein b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1180-5149 Gloria Chen b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4517-9070 Camilla Nonterah b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5454-835X John Devin Peipert b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5762-7881 Mary Amanda Dew https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4666-1870 Wendy Balliet https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7414-3675 Keren Ladin b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7414-3675 Keren Ladin https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3760-7127 Alexander Yaldo https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2075-6708 Jorge Mallea b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4962-8838

#### REFERENCES

- Family Caregiver Alliance. Caregiver Statistics: Demographics Web site. https://www.caregiver.org/caregiver-statistics-demographics. Accessed April 15, 2020
- 2. Young AL, Rowe IA, Absolom K, et al. The effect of liver transplantation on the quality of life of the recipient's main caregiver - a systematic review. *Liver Int*. 2017;37(6):794–801.

- Malik P, Kohl C, Holzner B, et al. Distress in primary caregivers and patients listed for liver transplantation. *Psychiatry Res.* 2014;215(1):159–162.
- Miyazaki ET, dos Santos R, Miyazaki MC, et al. Patients on the waiting list for liver transplantation: caregiver burden and stress. *Liver Transpl.* 2010;16(10):1164–1168.
- Rodrigue JR, Baz MA. Waiting for lung transplantation: quality of life, mood, caregiving strain and benefit, and social intimacy of spouses. *Clin Transplant*. 2007;21(6):722–727.
- Dew MA, DiMartini AF, Dobbels F, et al. The 2018 ISHLT/APM/ AST/ICCAC/STSW recommendations for the psychosocial evaluation of adult cardiothoracic transplant candidates and candidates for long-term mechanical circulatory support. J Heart Lung Transplant. 2018;37(7):803–823.
- Oliva-Moreno J, Trapero-Bertran M, Peña-Longobardo LM, Del Pozo-Rubio R. The valuation of informal care in cost-of-illness studies: a systematic review. *Pharmacoeconomics*. 2017;35(3):331–345.
- Whalen KJ, Buchholz SW. The reliability, validity and feasibility of tools used to screen for caregiver burden: a systematic review. JBI Libr Syst Rev. 2009;7(32):1373–1430.
- Rodrigue JR, Dimitri N, Reed A, Antonellis T, Hanto DW, Curry M. Quality of life and psychosocial functioning of spouse/partner caregivers before and after liver transplantation. *Clin Transplant*. 2011;25(2):239–247.
- Kurz JM. Vulnerability of well spouses involved in lung transplantation. J Fam Nurs. 2002;8(4):353–370.
- Agren S, Sjoberg T, Ekmehag B, Wiborg MB, Ivarsson B. Psychosocial aspects before and up to 2 years after heart or lung transplantation: experience of patients and their next of kin. *Clin Transplant*. 2017;31(3). https://doi.org/10.1111/ctr.12905
- Delgado JF, Almenar L, Gonzalez-Vilchez F, et al. Health-related quality of life, social support, and caregiver burden between six and 120 months after heart transplantation: a Spanish multicenter cross-sectional study. *Clin Transplant*. 2015;29(9):771–780.
- Cohen M, Katz D, Baruch Y. Stress among the family caregivers of liver transplant recipients. *Prog Transplant*. 2007;17(1):48–53.
- Goetzinger AM, Blumenthal JA, O'Hayer CV, et al. Stress and coping in caregivers of patients awaiting solid organ transplantation. *Clin Transplant*. 2012;26(1):97–104.
- Claar RL, Parekh PI, Palmer SM, et al. Emotional distress and quality of life in caregivers of patients awaiting lung transplant. J Psychosom Res. 2005;59(1):1–6.
- Myaskovsky L, Posluszny DM, Schulz R, et al. Predictors and outcomes of health-related quality of life in caregivers of cardiothoracic transplant recipients. *Am J Transplant*. 2012;12(12):3387–3397.
- 17. Schulz R, Beach SR. Caregiving as a risk factor for mortality: the Caregiver Health Effects Study. *JAMA*. 1999;282(23):2215–2219.
- Cassidy T, McLaughlin M, Giles M. Benefit finding in response to general life stress: measurement and correlates. *Health Psychol Behav Med.* 2014;2(1):268–282.
- Forster M, Allem JP, Mendez N, Qazi Y, Unger JB. Evaluation of a telenovela designed to improve knowledge and behavioral intentions among Hispanic patients with end-stage renal disease in Southern California. *Ethn Health.* 2016;21(1):58–70.
- 20. Jesse MT, Rubinstein E, Eshelman A, et al. Lifestyle and self-management by those who live it: patients engaging patients in a chronic disease model. *Perm J.* 2016;20(3):15–207.
- Bailey DE, Hendrix CC, Steinhauser KE, et al. Randomized trial of an uncertainty self-management telephone intervention for patients awaiting liver transplant. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2017;100(3):509–517.
- Stonnington CM, Darby B, Santucci A, et al. A resilience intervention involving mindfulness training for transplant patients and their caregivers. *Clin Transplant*. 2016;30(11):1466–1472.

- Haines J, Spadaro KC, Choi J, Hoffman LA, Blazeck AM. Reducing stress and anxiety in caregivers of lung transplant patients: benefits of mindfulness meditation. Int J Organ Transplant Med. 2014;5(2):50–56.
- 24. Kokorelias KM, Gignac MAM, Naglie G, Cameron JI. Towards a universal model of family centered care: a scoping review. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2019;19(1):564.
- Castro EM, Van Regenmortel T, Vanhaecht K, Sermeus W, Van Hecke A. Patient empowerment, patient participation and patient-centeredness in hospital care: a concept analysis based on a literature review. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2016;99(12):1923–1939.
- 26. Park M, Giap TT, Lee M, Jeong H, Jeong M, Go Y. Patient- and family-centered care interventions for improving the quality of health care: a review of systematic reviews. *Int J Nurs Stud.* 2018;87:69–83.
- 27. Live Donor Toolkit. http://www.livedonortoolkit.com/. Accessed July 22, 2020.
- Caregiver Resource Guide. American Cancer Society(R). https:// www.cancer.org/treatment/caregivers/caregiver-resource-guide. html. Accessed February 21, 2020.
- 29. Pol SJ, Snyder J, Anthony SJ. "Tremendous financial burden": crowdfunding for organ transplantation costs in Canada. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(12):e0226686.

 State Paid Family and Medical Leave Insurance Laws. The National Partnership for Women & Families. https://www.nationalpartner ship.org/our-work/resources/economic-justice/paid-leave/statepaid-family-leave-laws.pdf. Accessed July 22, 2020.

#### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.

How to cite this article: Jesse MT, Hansen B, Bruschwein H, et al. Findings and recommendations from the organ transplant caregiver initiative: Moving clinical care and research forward. *Am. J. Transplant*. 2021;21:950–957. https://doi.org/10.1111/ajt.16315