Henry Ford Health Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons

Surgery Articles

Surgery

8-15-2021

Commentary: Should Standard Uptake Value Decide Who Gets Surgery?

Haley Leesley

Ikenna Okereke Henry Ford Health, iokerek1@hfhs.org

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlycommons.henryford.com/surgery_articles

Recommended Citation

Leesley H, and Okereke I. Commentary: Should Standard Uptake Value Decide Who Gets Surgery? Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2021.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Surgery at Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Surgery Articles by an authorized administrator of Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons.

Commentary: Should Standard Uptake Value Decide Who Gets Surgery?

Haley Leesley, MD,^{*} and Ikenna Okereke, MD^{\dagger}

We read the article by Koike *et al.* regarding the role of positron emission tomography—computed tomography (PET-CT) in the management of lung cancer with great interest.¹ Management of patients with early stage lung cancer remains a clinical challenge, as many patients are ultimately upstaged on pathologic analysis after surgery. Evolving our understanding of this disease is essential to our patients. We applaud the authors' thorough review of current literature regarding the clinical use of the maximum standard uptake value (SUVmax). The strengths of this article are two-fold. Firstly, the authors were able to define the relationship of SUVmax to specific pathologic characteristics in a particular subset of patients. Secondly, their analysis dichotomized SUVmax into low-risk and high-risk cohorts.

The authors suggest that a high SUVmax may be a reason to avoid surgery in some patients. A previous meta-analysis demonstrated worse outcomes in patients with high SUVmax who underwent stereotactic body radiotherapy, however.² In this review of 11 articles which included 798 patients, a high SUVmax was associated with poorer overall survival, increased rates of local recurrence and a higher incidence of distant metastatic disease.

While these two studies demonstrate a correlation between SUVmax and worse clinical outcomes, there are many questions that remain. Despite a low false-negative rate, the reported false positive rate of 57% is quite high. In other words, more than half of patients with a high SUVmax tumor ultimately were not pathologically upstaged. Declining to operate based solely based on SUVmax would have likely led to worse overall survival in this patient population.

It also remains unclear if patients with a high SUVmax might benefit from mediastinal staging. A recent publication evaluating predictors of occult lymph node metastases in patients with Stage IA lung cancer highlights this dilemma. In this study, the authors found that while SUVmax correlated with occult lymph node metastases, the metabolic total volume was actually more predictive of lymph node involvement than SUVmax.³ As such, SUVmax may not be the best metric to use in



Haley Leesley, MD and Ikenna Okereke, MD.

Central Message

SUVmax correlates with high-risk features of lung cancer, but other variables do as well. SUVmax can be used alongside other data to guide patient management.

isolation, as other radiographic markers are more predictive of pathologic upstaging.

Finally, a small but notable limitation in this retrospective study was the lack of standardization of surgical resection. Patents underwent either lobectomy or segmentectomy without a defined extent of lymphadenectomy. A previous analysis of sublobar versus lobar resection showed a 39 percent increased rate of recurrent disease with sublobar resections.⁴ In addition, sublobar resections may be associated with less comprehensive lymph node sampling which may understage tumors.^{5–6}

Overall, we feel that this manuscript has expanded our understanding of SUVmax and is a valuable addition to the literature. This study will be helpful in the management of patients with early stage NSCLC and offers a stepping stone for further investigation. In the future, SUVmax may possibly be used with additional data to stratify patients with early stage lung cancer into low-risk and high-risk groups.

REFERENCES

- Koike T, Sato N, Hosoda Y, et al: Maximum standardized uptake value on positron emission tomography is associated with more advanced disease and high-risk features in lung adenocarcinoma. Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2021. in press
- Dong M, Liu J, Sun X, et al: Prognostic significance of SUVmax on pretreatment 18-FDG PET/CT in early-stage non-small cell lung cancer treated with stereotactic body radiotherapy: a meta-analysis. J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol 61:652–659, 2017
- Park SY, Yoon JK, Park K, et al: Prediction of occult lymph node metastasis using volume-based PET parameters in small-sized peripheral non-small

1043-0679/\$-see front matter © 2021 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.semtcvs.2021.08.004

^{*}Division of Cardiothoracic Surgery, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX

[†]Department of Surgery, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, MI

Conflicts of Interest: None

Address reprint requests to Ikenna Okereke, MD, Department of Surgery, System Director, Thoracic Surgery, Henry Ford Health, 2799 E. Grand Blvd., Detroit, MI 48202. E-mail: ikokerek@utmb.edu DOI of original article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.semtcvs.2021.07.019.

${\sf THORACIC}-{\sf Commentary}$

cell lung cancer. Cancer Imaging 15:21, 2015. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s40644-015-0058-9

- Subramanian M, McMurry T, Meyers B, et al: Long-term results for clinical stage IA lung cancer: comparing lobectomy and sublobar resection. Ann Thorac Surg 106:375–381, 2018
- 5. Yendamuri S, Dhillon S, Groman A, et al: Effect of the number of lymph nodes examined on the survival of patients with stage I non-small cell lung

cancer who undergo sublobar resection. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg $156{:}394{-}402,2018$

 Liu Y, Shen J, Liu L Shan L, et al: Impact of examined lymph node counts on survival of patients with stage IA non-small cell lung cancer undergoing sublobar resection. J Thorac Dis 10:6569–6577, 2018