

Henry Ford Health

Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons

Dermatology Articles

Dermatology

1-1-2021

Provider perspectives on the management of hidradenitis suppurativa in pregnancy – A survey study

Erin K. Collier

Kyla N. Price

Tristan Grogan

Jennifer M. Fernandez

Justine R. Seivright

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlycommons.henryford.com/dermatology_articles

Recommended Citation

Collier EK, Price KN, Grogan T, Fernandez JM, Seivright JR, Alhusayen R, Alavi A, Hamzavi IH, Lowes MA, Porter MJ, Shi VY, Hsiao JL. Provider perspectives on the management of hidradenitis suppurativa in pregnancy – A survey study. *International Journal of Women's Dermatology* 2021; .

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Dermatology at Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Dermatology Articles by an authorized administrator of Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons.

Authors

Erin K. Collier, Kyla N. Price, Tristan Grogan, Jennifer M. Fernandez, Justine R. Seivright, Raed Alhusayen, Afsaneh Alavi, Iltefat H. Hamzavi, Michelle A. Lowes, Martina J. Porter, Vivian Y. Shi, and Jennifer L. Hsiao



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Women's Dermatology

journal homepage:



Research Letter

Provider perspectives on the management of hidradenitis suppurativa in pregnancy – A survey study

Dear Editors,

Current treatment options for hidradenitis suppurativa (HS) include medical therapies, such as topical therapeutics, systemic antibiotics, oral retinoids, hormonal treatments, biologics, and immunosuppressants, as well as various procedural interventions (Alikhan et al., 2019). Treatment regimens need to be modified for pregnant patients due to safety concerns. However, there is a lack of expert consensus on evidence-based guidelines for management of HS in pregnancy (Adelekun et al., 2020). Herein, we investigate the perspectives and practice patterns of HS specialists regarding HS and pregnancy.

An anonymous questionnaire was distributed to online listservs of the United States and Canadian HS foundations. The study was exempt from University of Arizona institutional review board review. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS, version 25 (Armonk, NY). Spearman correlations (r_s) were used to assess associations between variables; $p < .05$ was considered statistically significant.

The demographics of the 49 physician respondents are summarized in Table 1. Nearly three-quarters of respondents (73%) were HS specialty clinic directors. The majority of respondents felt comfortable managing and counseling pregnant patients with HS (Fig. 1). Most respondents were comfortable prescribing topical medications ($n = 47$; 96%), systemic antibiotics ($n = 37$; 76%), biologics ($n = 32$; 65%), and systemic steroids ($n = 26$; 53%) and performing office-based procedures ($n = 43$; 88%) for pregnant patients with HS. Male respondents were more comfortable prescribing oral antibiotics ($r_s = .378$; $p = .007$) compared with their female counterparts. Providers with higher volumes of patients with HS were more comfortable with pregnant patients with HS receiving operating room-based procedures, such as those requiring general anesthesia or large wide local excisions that cannot be done in an office setting ($r_s = .378$; $p = .007$), or laser treatments ($r_s = .429$; $p = .002$) for HS compared with those with lower volumes. Directors of HS specialty clinics were also more comfortable with pregnant patients with HS receiving laser treatment ($r_s = .366$; $p = .01$) compared with non-HS specialty clinic directors.

Additionally, 59% of participants reported that they have prescribed, or continued the use of, biologics for pregnant patients with HS. Those with a higher volume of patients with HS were more likely to have managed patients with HS with biologics during pregnancy ($r_s = .321$; $p = .024$) compared with those at clinics with a lower volume. Almost all biologics that respondents

reported having prescribed to patients with HS during pregnancy were tumor necrosis factor-alpha inhibitors, including adalimumab, infliximab, and certolizumab. The timing of biologic use during pregnancy was mixed, with most respondents either keeping the patient on the biologic throughout pregnancy (43%) or discontinuing the biologic in the third trimester (20%; Table 1). No significant differences were observed based on sex, years of experience, or practice setting (academic vs. nonacademic).

HS specialists generally feel comfortable managing pregnant patients with HS; however, practice patterns for biologic use during pregnancy varied. Tumor necrosis factor-alpha inhibitors have more robust safety data in rheumatology and gastroenterology literature (Puchner et al., 2019). Interestingly, certolizumab use was reported in our study, even though its efficacy for HS is unclear (Porter et al., 2018). This may be because certolizumab's molecular structure limits placental transfer (Mariette et al., 2018).

Response was mixed regarding comfort level with laser therapy for HS. Laser therapy has the advantage of avoiding systemic side effects and may be less risky than other therapeutic options. Identifying a provider with a higher volume of patients with HS or who directs an HS specialty clinic may be helpful in facilitating this procedure.

Study limitations include the small sample size and lack of inclusion of dermatologists who do not specialize in HS. Given the anonymous nature of the survey and the continuously changing numbers of participants in the provider listservs, the response rate is unknown. Our study underscores the need for evidence-based guidelines for management of HS in pregnancy. More data are needed on the safety and efficacy of medical and procedural interventions to treat pregnant women with HS.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the survey participants from HS Place (supported by the U.S. HS Foundation) and from the Canadian HS Foundation.

Conflicts of interest

Dr Jennifer Hsiao has served as an advisor for Novartis. Dr Vivian Shi is a stock shareholder of Learn Health and has served as an advisory board member, investigator, and/or received research funding from Sanofi Genzyme, Regeneron, AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Novartis, SUN Pharma, LEO Pharma, Pfizer, Menlo Therapeu-

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijwd.2020.12.002>

2352-6475/© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of Women's Dermatologic Society.

This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

tics, Burt's Bees, GpSkin, the National Eczema Association, Global Parents for Eczema Research, the Foundation for Atopic Dermatitis, and Skin Actives Scientific. Dr Martina Porter has served as a consultant and/or investigator for AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Novartis, Chemo-centryx, Pfizer, Incyte/Trifecta, and UCB. Raed Alhusayen has served as an advisory board member and/or consultant for AbbVie, Janssen, Eli Lilly, Leo Pharma, and Hidramed solutions. Dr Iltefat Hamzavi has served as an advisory board member, investigator, and/or research funding from AbbVie, Pfizer Inc., Bayer, Lenicura, Incyte, UCB, HS Foundation, Boehringer Ingelheim. Dr Afsaneh Alavi received honoraria as a consultant, speaker, or advisory board participant from AbbVie, Galderma, Janssen, LEO, Novartis,

Sanofi, and Valeant; received grants from AbbVie; and was a research investigator with AbbVie, Aristeia, Asana, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Dermavant, Eli Lilly, Genetech, Glenmark, Incyte, Infla Rx, Janssen, Kyowa, LEO, Novartis, Pfizer, Regeneron, and UCB. Dr Michelle Lowes is the vice president of the HSF and has served on the advisory boards for Abbvie, Janssen, and Viela Bio and consulted for Almirall, BSN, Incyte, Janssen, Kymera, and XBiotech. There were no incentives or transactions, financial or otherwise, relevant to this manuscript. Erin Collier, Kyla Price, Jennifer Fernandez, Justine Seivright, and Tristan R. Grogan have no conflicts of interest.

Table 1

Survey respondent demographic information (n = 49).

Respondent characteristic	n (%)
Age (y)	
Mean \pm standard deviation (range)	45.5 \pm 12.5 (30–75)
Sex	
Male	27 (55)
Female	22 (45)
Country of practice	
United States	26 (53)
Canada	11 (23)
France	2 (4)
Spain	2 (4)
Brazil	2 (4)
Other*	6 (12)
Level of training	
Attending	44 (90)
Resident	3 (6)
Not specified	2 (4)
Years since completion of residency [†] (n = 44)	
Mean \pm standard deviation (range)	12.7 \pm 11.6 (1–46)
Average number of patients seen per month	
1–24	22 (45)
25–49	13 (27)
50–74	8 (16)
75–99	2 (4)
100+	4 (8)
Primary practice location	
Metropolitan	44 (90)
Rural	5 (10)
Primary practice setting	
Academic	37 (76)
Nonacademic	12 (24)
HS specialty clinic director	
Yes	36 (73)
No	13 (27)
Has prescribed or continued a biologic agent in a pregnant patient with HS	
Yes	29 (59)
No	20 (41)
Biologics prescribed or continued in pregnant patients with HS (n = 29)	
Adalimumab	26 (90)
Infliximab	12 (41)
Certolizumab	10 (34)
Secukinumab	1 (3)
Ustekinumab	1 (3)
General approach to managing a woman of childbearing age who is on a biologic for HS [‡]	
Keep patient on biologic throughout pregnancy	21 (43)
Discontinue biologic in third trimester	10 (20)
Discontinue biologic when patient is actively trying to get pregnant	8 (16)
Discontinue biologic upon finding out patient is pregnant	6 (12)
Discontinue biologic in second trimester	4 (8)

HS, hidradenitis suppurativa.

* Other countries include Belgium, Chile, Germany, Israel, Portugal, Saudi Arabia (each n = 1).

[†] Attendings only.[‡] If a patient has no preference or is seeking your recommendation, what is your general approach when managing a woman of childbearing age who is on a biologic for HS?




Fig. 1. Provider perspectives on comfort level in managing pregnant patients with hidradenitis suppurativa (N = 49).

Funding

This research was supported by the National Institutes of Health, National Center for Advancing Translational Science, University of California Los Angeles (grant number UL1TR001881).

Study approval

The author(s) confirm that any aspect of the work covered in this manuscript that has involved human patients has been conducted with the ethical approval of all relevant bodies.

References

- Adelekun AA, Micheletti RG, Hsiao JL. Creation of a registry to address knowledge gaps in hidradenitis suppurativa and pregnancy. *JAMA Dermatol* 2020;156(3):353.
- Alikhan A, Sayed C, Alavi A, Alhusayen R, Brassard A, Burkhart C, et al. North American clinical management guidelines for hidradenitis suppurativa: A publication from the United States and Canadian Hidradenitis Suppurativa Foundations: Part II: Topical, intralesional, and systemic medical management. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2019;81(1):91–101.
- Mariette X, Förger F, Abraham B, Flynn AD, Moltó A, Flipo RM, et al. Lack of placental transfer of certolizumab pegol during pregnancy: Results from CRIB, a prospective, postmarketing, pharmacokinetic study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2018;77(2):228–33.
- Porter ML, Golbari NM, Lockwood SJ, Kimball AB. Overview and update on biologic therapy for moderate-to-severe hidradenitis suppurativa. *Sem Cutan Med Surg* 2018;37(3):182–9.
- Puchner A, Gröchenig HP, Sautner J, Helmy-Bader Y, Juch H, Reinisch S, et al. Immunosuppressives and biologics during pregnancy and lactation. *Wien Klin Wochenschr* 2019;131(1):29–44.

Erin K. Collier MPH^a
 Kyla N. Price BS^b
 Tristan Grogan MS^c
 Jennifer M. Fernandez RD^d
 Justine R. Seivright BS^a
 Raed Alhusayen MBBS^e

- Afsaneh Alavi MD^f
 Iltefat H. Hamzavi MD^g
 Michelle A. Lowes MBBS PhD^h
 Martina J. Porter MDⁱ
 Vivian Y. Shi MD^j
 Jennifer L. Hsiao MD^{k,*}
- ^aDavid Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, United States
- ^bCollege of Medicine, University of Illinois, Chicago, IL, United States
- ^cDepartment of Medicine Statistics Core, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, United States
- ^dUniversity of Arizona, College of Medicine, Tucson, AZ, United States
- ^eDivision of Dermatology and Sunnybrook Research Institute, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada
- ^fDivision of Dermatology, Women College Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
- ^gDepartment of Dermatology, Henry Ford Hospital, Detroit, MI, United States
- ^hThe Rockefeller University, New York, NY, United States
- ⁱDepartment of Dermatology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, United States
- ^jDepartment of Dermatology, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR, United States
- ^kDivision of Dermatology, Department of Medicine, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, United States

* Corresponding author.
 E-mail address: jhsiao@mednet.ucla.edu (J.L. Hsiao)

Received 1 October 2020

Received in revised form 13 November 2020

Accepted 1 December 2020

Available online xxxx