Henry Ford Health Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons

Surgery Articles

Surgery

4-18-2022

Cross-clamp location and perioperative outcomes after open infrarenal abdominal aortic aneurysm repair: A Vascular Quality Initiative

Abdul K. Natour Henry Ford Health, anatour1@hfhs.org

Loay S. Kabbani Henry Ford Health, Ikabban1@hfhs.org

Ali Rteil Henry Ford Health, ARteil1@hfhs.org

Timothy Nypaver Henry Ford Health, tnypave1@hfhs.org

Mitchell R Weaver Henry Ford Health, mweaver1@hfhs.org

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlycommons.henryford.com/surgery_articles

Recommended Citation

Natour AK, Kabbani L, Rteil A, Nypaver T, Weaver M, Lee A, Mohammad F, Shepard A, and Omar Z. Crossclamp location and perioperative outcomes after open infrarenal abdominal aortic aneurysm repair: A Vascular Quality Initiative(®) review. Vascular 2022.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Surgery at Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Surgery Articles by an authorized administrator of Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons.

Authors

Abdul K. Natour, Loay S. Kabbani, Ali Rteil, Timothy Nypaver, Mitchell R Weaver, Alice Lee, Farah Mohammad, Alexander D. Shepard, and Ziad Omar

Original Article

Vascular

Cross-clamp location and perioperative outcomes after open infrarenal abdominal aortic aneurysm repair: A Vascular Quality Initiative[®] review Vascular 2022, Vol. 0(0) I-12 © The Author(s) 2022 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/17085381211067616 journals.sagepub.com/home/vas

Abdul Kader Natour¹[©], Loay Kabbani¹, Ali Rteil¹, Timothy Nypaver¹, Mitchell Weaver¹, Alice Lee¹, Farah Mohammad¹, Alexander Shepard¹ and Ziad Omar¹

Abstract

Objectives: By analyzing national Vascular Quality Initiative (VQI) data for patients undergoing open infrarenal abdominal aortic aneurysms (AAA) repair, we sought to better characterize the effects of different suprarenal clamping positions on postoperative outcomes.

Methods: We performed a retrospective analysis of a prospectively collected national VQI database for all open infrarenal AAA repairs performed between 2003 and 2017. Patients were initially divided into proximal (above 1 renal, above 2 renals, and supraceliac) and infrarenal clamp groups. Patients were then subdivided into those who underwent surgery between 2003–2010 and those who had surgery between 2011–2017. Univariate followed by multivariate analyses were done to compare the baseline characteristics, preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative outcomes between the two groups.

Results: During the study period, 9068 open AAA repairs were recorded in the VQI; of these, 5043 met the inclusion criteria. Aortic clamp level was infrarenal in 59% (N = 2975), above I renal in 15% (N = 735), above both renals in 21% (N = 1053), and supraceliac in 5% (N = 280). The average age was 69 years, and males comprised 73% (N = 3701) of the cohort. The overall 30-day mortality for the entire study group was 2.7%. On univariate analysis, patients who underwent proximal clamping had significantly higher 30-day mortality than those undergoing infrarenal clamping (3.7 vs 2.0%, p < 0.001). After adjusting for preoperative and intraoperative variables, this difference became nonsignificant. On multivariate analysis, clamping above both renals or the celiac artery was associated with an increased occurrence of postoperative myocardial infarction (odds ratio = 1.44, p = 0.037 and odds ratio = 1.78, p = 0.023, respectively). All proximal clamp positions were associated with a significant increase in the incidence of AKI and renal failure requiring dialysis. There was no significant difference when looking at overall survival times comparing the suprarenal and infrarenal clamp position groups (p = 0.1). Patients who underwent surgery in the latter half of the study period had longer intraoperative renal ischemia time, increased in estimated blood loss, and longer total procedure time.

Conclusions: Suprarenal clamping, at any level, was associated with an increased risk of AKI and renal replacement therapy. Clamping above both renal and celiac arteries was associated with increased cardiac morbidity. Perioperative and long-term mortality was unaffected by clamp level. Patients operating in the latter half of the study had increased estimated blood loss, renal ischemia time, and operative time, which may reflect decreased training in open AAA repair. During open AAA repair, the proximal clamp site should be chosen based on anatomic considerations and not a perceived perioperative mortality benefit. Proximal aortic clamping should always be performed at the safest, distal-most level to reduce cardiac morbidity and the risk of postoperative dialysis.

Keywords

Abdominal aortic aneurysm, open repair, cross-clamp position, perioperative mortality

Corresponding author:

¹Division of Vascular Surgery, Henry Ford Hospital, Detroit, MI, USA

Abdul Kader Natour, Division of Vascular Surgery, Henry Ford Hospital, 2799 West Grand Boulevard, Detroit, MI 48202-3450, USA. Email: anatour I@hfhs.org

Introduction

In the United States today, most infrarenal (IR) abdominal aortic aneurysms (AAA) are repaired using endovascular techniques.^{1,2} Open surgical repair (OSR), however, is preferable in patients who do not meet the anatomic requirements for endovascular aneurysm repair.² In addition, there are some who advocate that OSR be considered in every unruptured AAA patient meeting the requirement for surgical repair, unless concomitant abdominal pathology exists, such as a hostile abdomen, a stoma or a horseshoe kidney, or significant anesthetic risks exist.³ During OSR of an IR AAA, proximal aortic control can be obtained at different levels depending on local anatomic factors. The aorta may be clamped at the IR level, above one or both renal arteries, above the superior mesenteric artery, but below the celiac axis origin, or at the supraceliac (SC) level. Each clamp level is associated with a unique risk-benefit profile.³ For IR AAA repair, the clamp is preferably placed below the lowest renal artery²; however, more proximal aortic clamping is preferred in certain situations, such as in the presence of significant aortic wall calcification or atherosclerotic debris within the non-aneurysmal, IR aortic segment.4,5 Proximal abdominal aortic segments usually harbor less atherosclerotic disease than more distal clamp sites.⁶ In addition, placing the clamp above the renal arteries is justified when manipulation or retraction of a large aneurysmal sac is anticipated.^{7–10} Despite these advantages, proximal aortic clamping is associated with obligatory renal and visceral ischemia associated with an increased risk of acute kidney injury (AKI) and overall complication rates.^{2,11} In a single institution review, we have previously reported that a SC clamp site increased morbidity but not mortality.¹² The current study represents a retrospective review of a large national database to determine current patterns and outcomes of different aortic clamp levels during OSR of IR AAA.

Materials and methods

Patients

All patients undergoing OSR of an IR AAA in the Vascular Quality Initiative (VQI) between 1 January 2003 and 31 December 2017 were reviewed. Symptomatic or ruptured aneurysms were excluded. Patients who had prior aneurysm repair, open conversion from endovascular aneurysm repair, concomitant renal bypass, or no data on cross-clamp position were also excluded from the analysis. Patients were grouped according to aortic clamp positions as reported by the VQI database (IR, above 1 renal artery, above both renal arteries, and SC). For the purposes of this study, proximal clamping denotes placement of the aortic clamp at any level above IR. Supramesenteric (above the superior mesenteric artery but below the celiac artery) was not a reported choice in the VQI database and hence could not be studied independently. Patients who underwent IR clamping and had cold renal perfusion or renal ischemia time > 20 min were excluded from the study.

The present study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Henry Ford Hospital and conducted in accordance with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act and the prevailing ethical principles governing research.

Variables collected

Sociodemographic variables collected included gender, age, tobacco use, and race/ethnicity (African American, white, and other). Past medical history included coronary artery disease, hypertension, diabetes, congestive heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, prior bypass, prior carotid intervention, and prior peripheral vascular intervention. Preoperative variables included preoperative use of antiplatelets, anticoagulants, antihypertensive medications, and preoperative anemia (defined as hemoglobin < 13 g/dl in men and < 12 g/dl in women). Intraoperative variables included operative time, exposure approach, and estimated blood loss (EBL). Postoperative variables included intensive care unit stay, respiratory complications (pneumonia or ventilator use), leg ischemia (in-situ thrombosis or embolism), bowel ischemia, wound complication, return to the operating room, and postoperative stroke.

End points

The primary endpoint was 30-day mortality after IR AAA repair. Secondary endpoints included perioperative morbidity, length of stay (LOS), and overall survival. AKI was defined by the VQI as an increase in creatinine > 0.5 mg/dL from baseline; postoperative dialysis was defined as dialysis requirement within the first 30 postoperative days. The incidence of postoperative myocardial infarction (MI) (based on increased troponin and/or EKG changes) was documented.

Statistical analysis

Patients were initially divided into proximal (above 1 renal, above 2 renals, and SC) and IR clamp groups (Tables 1 and 2). An analysis comparing all four clamping positions was done and reported in supplementary Table 1. Patients were then subdivided into those who underwent surgery between 2003–2010 and those that had surgery between 2011–2017. Continuous variables were presented as means and standard deviation or medians and interquartile range, while categorical variables were described with frequency and percentages. Analysis of variance, Kruskal-Wallis, or chi-square tests were used as appropriate to compare the

Table 1. Baseline demographics stratified by cross-clamp level.

	Cross-clamp position		
Baseline characteristics	Infrarenal, N = 2975 (59%)	Proximal ^a , N = 2068 (41%)	p-value
Age, years, mean (SD)	68.85 (8.61)	70.05 (7.82)	< 0.001
Female, N (%)	718 (24.1)	624 (30.2)	< 0.001
Race, <i>N</i> (%)			0.194
African American	95 (3.2)	80 (3.9)	
Other	149 (5.0)	87 (4.2)	
White	2731 (91.8)	1901 (91.9)	
Body mass index, kg/m ² , mean (SD)	27.42 (5.45)	27.19 (5.37)	0.138
Preoperative smoking, N (%)			< 0.001
None	285 (9.6)	138 (6.7)	
Prior	1470 (49.5)	976 (47.2)	
Current	1217 (40.9)	954 (46.I)	
Hypertension, N (%)	2430 (81.7)	1762 (85.2)	0.001
Diabetes, N (%)	459 (15.4)	343 (16.6)	0.283
Prior coronary artery disease, N (%)	773 (26.0)	562 (27.2)	0.371
Prior coronary artery bypass graft, N (%)	274 (15.3)	232 (15.3)	0.982
Prior PCI, N (%)	318 (17.8)	324 (21.3)	0.013
Prior congestive heart failure, N (%)	187 (6.3)	168 (8.1)	0.014
COPD. N (%)	923 (31.1)	701 (33.9)	0.035
ESRD (on dialysis/transplant), N (%)	16 (0.5)	14 (0.7)	0.656
Preoperative creatinine, mg/dl, mean (SD)	1.08 (0.46)	1.10 (0.48)	0.091
ASA class. N (%)			< 0.001
	13 (0.6)	11 (0.6)	
2	133 (5.8)	95 (51)	
3	1543 (67.4)		
4 5	599 (26.2)	625 (33 5)	
Anemia $N(\%)$	567 (24.0)	504 (26.6)	0.055
Prior bypass N (%)	115 (3 9)	74 (3.6)	0.651
Prior carotid intervention N (%)	102 (5.7)	96 (63)	0.0515
Prior PVI N (%)	152 (5.7)	120 (5.8)	0.313
Prior amputation N (%)	20 (0 7)	9 (0 4)	0.337
Proponenting appinin $N(\%)$	20 (0.7)	(T, T, T	0.545
Properative aspiriti, $N(0)$	199 (67)		0.743
Presperative rzi iz minibitor, iv (%)	170(6.7)	1/1 (0.3)	0.033
Preoperative statut, N (%)	2004 (67.4)		0.063
Preoperative deta-blockers, N (%)	2007 (67.3)	727 (47.9)	0.149
Preoperative ACE-I/ARB, N (%)	807 (45.3)	12 (47.8)	0.171
Freoperative anticoagulant, N (%)	17 (0.6)	13 (0.6)	0.941
Ejection fraction, N (%)		244 (11.0)	0.669
≤ 3U%	336 (11.3)	246 (11.9)	
<i>></i> 50%	1859 (62.6)	1296 (62.9)	
Unknown/Not done	//6 (26.1)	520 (25.2)	
Maximum AAA diameter, mm, mean (SD)	57.80 (13.44)	60.01 (16.63)	< 0.001

AAA: abdominal aortic aneurysm, ACE-I/ARB: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor/angiotensin II receptor blockers, ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists Physical Status Classification, COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, ESRD: end-stage renal disease, PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention, PVI: peripheral vascular intervention, SD: standard deviation.

^aProximal = aortic clamping above one/both renal arteries or supraceliac.

baseline demographics, comorbidities, preoperative variables, intraoperative parameters, and postoperative outcomes stratified by cross-clamp positions. Multivariate generalized linear regression models were performed to examine the association between cross-clamp positions and both primary and secondary outcomes. Linear regression model and incidence rate ratios were calculated for the LOS. Kaplan-Meier curves and Cox regression models were used for survival analysis. Variables with missing rate of > 5% were excluded from the analysis. An "unknown" category was created for other categorical variables with missing values to account for missingness. Any variable with a *p*-value < 0.1 or thought to be related to the outcome of interest was included in the multivariate analyses. A *p* < .05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were done using R 4.02.2 (R Foundation for statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Model that predicts 30-day mortality

A supervised machine learning classification model was developed to identify predictors for 30-day mortality. The predictors are clinical attributes based on baseline demographics, preoperative, and intraoperative variables. The objective was to maximize the model's accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity. Feature selection techniques were utilized to identify the top five predictors of 30-day mortality. Feature selection is a process of selecting clinical attributes that have the highest contribution to the mortality class. Based on the application and the type of dataset, chi-square test was used, and the top five clinical attributes that scored highest on the chi-square test were reported. Random forest machine learning classifier was used and showed a strong classification power with an accuracy of 98.5% in predicting whether a patient would die at 30 days, after imputing data from the five predictors. The receiver operating characteristics curve was used to study the area under the curve, which reflects the model's performance.

Results

During the study period, 9068 open IR AAA cases were entered into the VQI registry. After applying the exclusion criteria, 5043 elective IR AAA repairs were left in the dataset, which comprised our study cohort.

Demographics

The mean patient age was 69 years, with males constituting the majority of the sample (73%, N = 3701). There were several statistically significant differences in baseline characteristics between the two groups (Table 1). Females tended to require more proximal clamping than males. Patients undergoing proximal clamping were older, had higher American Society of Anesthesiologists Physical Status Classification scores, and larger aneurysm sizes than IR clamping patients.

Operative variables

Fifty-nine percent of patients underwent IR clamping, 15% had clamping above 1 renal artery, 21% above both renals,

and 5% above the celiac. Patients who underwent proximal non-IR clamping had significantly longer renal/visceral ischemia times (26.6 vs 0.2 min, p < .001), as well as total procedure time (246 vs 228 min, p < .001). Proximal clamping was associated with a higher EBL, and more frequent use of a retroperitoneal approach (Table 2). Concomitant infrainguinal bypass was more frequently performed in the IR group (2.5%) than in the proximal group (1.7%) (p = .45).

Postoperative variables

Compared to the IR group, patients with proximal clamping stayed longer in the intensive care unit (median = 3.0 [2.0-5.0] vs 2.0 [1.0–4.0] days, p < .001) and in the hospital (median = 7.0 [6.0-10.0] vs 6.0 [5.0-8.0] days, p < .001). Proximal clamping was also associated with higher rates of postoperative cardiac events (MI and congestive heart failure), respiratory, and renal complications (Table 2).

Cardiac morbidity. On multivariate analysis, clamping above both renals or the celiac artery was associated with an increased occurrence of postoperative MI (odds ratio [OR] = 1.44, p = .037 and OR = 1.78, p = .023, respectively). There was no statistically significant increase in the incidence of MI with clamping above 1 renal artery (Table 3).

Length of stay (LOS). When compared to IR clamping, there was an increase in LOS when clamping above the celiac artery (incidence rate ratios of 1.13, p < .001). There was no increase in LOS with suprarenal clamping (Table 3).

Renal function. All proximal clamp positions were associated with a significant increase in the incidence of AKI and renal failure requiring dialysis on multivariate analysis when compared to IR clamping (Table 4).

Year-dependent morbidity and mortality change. A total of 1199 patients underwent surgery between 2003 and 2010, while 3844 had surgery between 2011 and 2017. Patients who underwent surgery in the latter half had higher American Society of Anesthesiologists class, longer intraoperative renal ischemia time, increased EBL, and longer total procedure time (Supplementary Table 2). They also had longer intensive care unit stay (median = 3.0 [2.0–4.0] vs 2.0 [1.0–4.0] days, p < .001) and higher rate of postoperative AKI (8.6 vs 13.8%, p < .001). They had lower rate of postoperative MI (4.4 vs 6.3%, p = .011). No significant difference was seen in terms of 30-day mortality, leg or bowel ischemia, and wound or respiratory complications (Table 5).

Thirty-day mortality. Overall 30-day mortality for the entire study group was 2.7%. On univariate analysis, patients who

	Cross-clamp position		
	Infrarenal N = 2975 (59%)	Proximal ^a , N = 2068 (41%)	p-value
Intraoperative variables			
Renal visceral ischemia time, mins, mean (SD)	0.24 (1.89)	26.58 (20.98)	< 0.001
Exposure, N (%)			< 0.001
Anterior	2503 (84.1)	1329 (64.2)	
Retroperitoneal	472 (15.9)	739 (35.8)	
Inferior mesenteric artery completion, N (%)			0.125
Occluded	1075 (37.3)	768 (38.9)	
Ligated	1545 (53.6)	1005 (50.9)	
Re-implanted	262 (9.1)	203 (10.3)	
EBL, ml, mean (SD)	1495 (1455)	1785 (1654)	< 0.001
Cold renal perfusion, N (%)	0 (0.0)	193 (9.4)	< 0.001
Total procedure time, minutes, mean (SD)	228.01 (94.95)	246.12 (94.74)	< 0.001
Concomitant infrainguinal bypass, N (%)	75 (2.5)	34 (1.6)	0.045
Postoperative variables			
ICU stay, days, median [IQR]	2.00 [1.00, 4.00]	3.00 [2.00, 5.00]	< 0.001
Postoperative LOS, days, median [IQR]	6.00 [5.00, 8.00]	7.00 [6.00, 10.00]	< 0.001
Postoperative MI, N (%)	128 (4.3)	116 (5.6)	0.04
Postoperative dysrhythmia, N (%)	336 (11.3)	266 (12.9)	0.102
Postoperative CHF, N (%)	97 (3.3)	96 (4.6)	0.015
Postoperative stroke, N (%)	15 (0.7)	15 (0.8)	0.771
Postoperative leg ischemia, N (%)	60 (2.0)	53 (2.6)	0.235
Bowel ischemia, N (%)	85 (2.9)	84 (4.1)	0.024
Wound complication, N (%)	88 (3.0)	58 (2.8)	0.813
Respiratory complications, N (%)	253 (8.5)	263 (12.7)	< 0.001
Postoperative renal complications, N (%)			< 0.001
None	2673 (90.2)	1626 (78.7)	
Postoperative creatinine increased > 0.5 mg/dL, N (%)	256 (8.6)	374 (18.1)	
Requiring dialysis, N (%)	35 (1.2)	65 (3.1)	
Return to operating room, N (%)	191 (6.4)	156 (7.5)	0.139
30-day mortality, N (%)	59 (2.0)	77 (3.7)	< 0.001
Overall mortality, N (%)	472 (15.9)	280 (13.5)	0.025

Table 2. Univariate comparison of intra- and postoperative outcomes stratified by infrarenal and proximal clamp positions.

Note: CHF: congestive heart failure, EBL: estimated blood loss, ICU: intensive care unit, IQR: interquartile range, LOS: length of stay, MI: myocardial infarction, mins: minutes, SD: standard deviation.

^aProximal = aortic clamping above one/both renal arteries or supraceliac.

Table 3. Multivariate analysis for postoperative myocardial infarction (MI) and linear regression model for length of stay (LOS).

	Postoperative N	11 multivariate an	nalysis	Postoperative LOS multivariate analysis		
Predictors	Odds ratios	CI	Þ	Incidence rate ratios	CI	Þ
Infrarenal (reference)						
Above I renal	0.99	0.63-1.51	0.971	0.98	0.95-1.01	0.247
Above 2 renals	1.44	1.02-2.01	0.037	1.02	0.99-1.05	0.165
Supraceliac	1.78	1.06–2.89	0.023	1.13	1.08-1.18	< 0.001*

LOS: length of stay.

*Bold indicates statistical significance (p < .05).

underwent proximal clamping had significantly higher 30day mortality than those undergoing IR clamping (3.7 vs 2.0%, p < .001) (Table 2). After adjusting for preoperative variables, above 1 renal and SC clamping were significantly associated with increased odds of 30-day mortality as compared to the IR group (OR = 1.7, p = .02 and OR = 2.0,

	Postoperative AKI multivariate analysis			Renal failure requiring dialysis multivariate analysis		
Predictors	Odds ratios	CI	Þ	Odds ratios	CI	Þ
Infrarenal (reference)						
Above I renal	1.96	1.57–2.44	< 0.001	1.99	1.97-2.00	< 0.00 *
Above 2 renals	2.23	1.85–2.69	< 0.001	3.11	3.07-3.15	< 0.001
Supraceliac	2.34	2.11–2.58	< 0.001	3.65	3.61-3.69	< 0.001

Table 4. Multivariate analysis for postoperative AKI and postoperative renal failure requiring dialysis.

AKI: acute kidney injury.

*Bold indicates statistical significance (p < .05).

Table 5. Postoperative morbidity and mortality stratified by the two halves of the 14-year study period.

	2003 2010	2011 2017	
Variables	N = 1199 (23.8%)	N = 3844 (76.2%)	<i>b</i> -value
			p value
ICU stay, median [IQR]	2.00 [1.00, 4.00]	3.00 [2.00, 4.00]	< 0.001*
Postoperative LOS, median [IQR]	7.00 [5.00, 8.00]	7.00 [5.00, 9.00]	0.175
Postoperative MI, N (%)	75 (6.3)	169 (4.4)	0.011
Postoperative dysrhythmia, N (%)	155 (12.9)	447 (11.6)	0.247
Postoperative CHF, N (%)	52 (4.3)	141 (3.7)	0.338
Respiratory complications, N (%)	132 (11.0)	384 (10.0)	0.34
Postoperative renal complications, N (%)			< 0.001
None	1064 (89.5)	3235 (84.2)	
Postoperative creatinine increased > 0.5 mg/dL, N (%)	102 (8.6)	528 (13.8)	
Requiring dialysis	23 (1.9)	77 (2.0)	
Postoperative leg ischemia, N (%)	20 (1.7)	93 (2.4)	0.156
Bowel ischemia, N (%)	37 (3.1)	132 (3.4)	0.625
Wound complication, N (%)	42 (3.5)	104 (2.7)	0.179
Return to operating room, N (%)	70 (5.9)	277 (7.2)	0.119
Postoperative stroke, N (%)	2 (1.9)	28 (0.7)	0.41
30-day mortality, N (%)	24 (2.0)	112 (2.9)	0.11
Overall mortality, N (%)	430 (35.9)	322 (8.4)	< 0.001

CHF: congestive heart failure, ICU: intensive care unit, IQR: interquartile range, LOS: length of stay, MI: myocardial infarction. *Bold indicates statistical significance (p < .05).

p = .04, respectively). However, after including intraoperative variables (renal ischemia time, EBL, total procedure time, and concomitant infrainguinal bypass) in the multivariate analysis, this difference became nonsignificant (Table 6).

Female gender (OR = 1.96, p = .001), current smoking (OR = 2.82, p = .039), history of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (OR = 1.74, p = .006) or prior carotid intervention (OR = 2.74, p = .002), and the performance of a concomitant infrainguinal bypass (OR = 2.7, p = .034) were associated with increased 30-day mortality (Table 6).

Overall survival. The overall mortality with a median followup time of 46 months was 15%. On univariate analysis, patients who underwent proximal clamping had significantly higher overall mortality than those undergoing IR clamping (13.5 vs 15.9%, p = .025) (Table 2). However, this association became nonsignificant on multivariate analysis with/without the inclusion of intraoperative variables (Table 7). Factors that were associated with decreased longterm survival included current smoking, history of coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, or anemia; higher preoperative creatinine; and history of a prior carotid procedure. Patients taking aspirin or statin were noted to have improved overall survival (hazard ratio = 0.81, p = .019 and hazard ratio = 0.82, p = .019, respectively). There was no significant difference when looking at the Kaplan-Meier curves comparing the survival time of the two clamp position groups (p = .05) (Figure 1).

Predictors of 30-day mortality. The top five clinical attributes that scored highest on the chi-square test were age, body mass index, preoperative creatinine level, intraoperative estimated blood loss, and total procedure time. The random forest model showed an accuracy of 98.5% for predicting 30-day mortality after imputing data from these five predictors. The area under the

Table 6. Multivariate analysis for 30-day mortality.

Predictors	Odds ratios	Cl	Þ
Cross-clamp position: Infrarenal	Reference		
Above I renal	1.70	0.91-3.06	0.086
Above 2 renals	1.39	0.80-2.40	0.238
Supraceliac	1.44	0.63-3.04	0.360
Age	1.08	1.05–1.11	< 0.001*
Female gender	1.96	1.29–2.94	0.001
Renal ischemia time per 30-min increase	1.09	0.77–1.47	0.618
Preoperative smoking: None	Reference		
Prior smoking	1.88	0.79–5.56	0.196
Current smoking	2.82	1.15-8.52	0.039
COPD	1.74	1.17–2.58	0.006
Preoperative anemia	1.43	0.92-2.20	0.105
Prior carotid intervention	2.74	1.41-5.01	0.002
Preoperative ACEi/ARBs	1.78	1.11–2.91	0.019
Preoperative ejection fraction: > 50%	Reference		
≤ 50%	0.45	0.28-0.75	0.002
Unknown/not done	0.51	0.28-0.93	0.028
Concomitant infrainguinal bypass	2.70	0.97–6.27	0.034
Preoperative creatinine	1.31	0.95-1.67	0.055

Note: ACEi: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, ARBs: angiotensin receptor blockers, COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Bold indicates statistical significance (p < .05).

Table 7. Multivariate analysis for overall survival.

Predictors	Hazard ratios	CI	Þ
Cross-clamp position: Infrarenal	Reference		
Above I renal	0.96	0.72-1.28	0.772
Above 2 renals	1.02	0.78–1.34	0.862
Supraceliac	1.09	0.76-1.55	0.646
Age	1.07	1.05–1.08	< 0.001*
Female gender	1.18	0.99–1.40	0.067
Renal ischemia time per 30-min increase	1.04	0.85-1.28	0.670
Preoperative smoking: None	Reference		
Prior	1.34	0.97–1.86	0.079
Current	1.62	1.15-2.29	0.006
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	1.38	1.17-1.62	< 0.001
Coronary artery disease	1.34	1.13–1.60	0.001
Congestive heart failure	1.39	1.06–1.81	0.015
Preoperative anemia	1.40	1.13–1.74	0.002
Prior carotid intervention	1.90	1.26-2.88	0.002
Preoperative aspirin	0.81	0.68–0.96	0.016
Preoperative statin	0.82	0.69–0.97	0.019
Preoperative creatinine	1.29	1.15–1.45	< 0.001

*Bold indicates statistical significance (p < .05).

receiver operative characteristics curve was .991 (Figure 2).

Discussion

This study retrospectively examined the VQI database to determine the effect of the aortic clamp level on the outcome

of patients undergoing open IR AAA repair. Our analysis revealed that after controlling for preoperative and intraoperative variables, the level of aortic cross-clamping in open IR AAA repair was not associated with increased mortality. However, more proximal clamping did correlate with an increase in postoperative renal and cardiac complications as well as LOS. This correlates with our previous



Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier curves comparing overall survival between patients that had infrarenal or proximal cross-clamping.



False Positive Rate

Figure 2. Receiver operating characteristics curve showing the area under the curve (AUC) of the machine learning prediction model of 30-day mortality.

research that suggests that the level of clamping, when adjusted for other intraoperative variables, does not increase mortality.^{4,12}

Aortic clamping is associated with a multitude of pathophysiologic responses that contribute to overall mortality. These responses include renal ischemia and cardiac stress, in addition to humoral factors such as acidosis and sympathetic activation.^{13–15} Additionally, SC clamping has been associated with a coagulopathy, namely, a fibrinolytic state, which is generated in a time-dependent manner.¹⁶ Systemic coagulopathy has also been associated

with visceral ischemia, owing to the increased intestinal permeability and bacterial translocation, or from hepatic ischemia and primary fibrinolysis.¹⁷ The overall 30-day mortality after open IR AAA repair in this review was 2.7%. This value is consistent with contemporary studies that report short-term (30 days) mortality for OSR of IR AAAs of 0.6%–8.2%.^{18–25} On univariate analysis, clamping proximal to the renal arteries was associated with a higher mortality. This result held true for the above 1 renal and celiac clamping positions on multivariate analysis that included only preoperative variables but dropped out on

multivariate analysis that accounted for intraoperative variables. This suggests that an efficient SR operation with low renal ischemia time and EBL does not have increased 30-day mortality when compared to IR operations. In their study, Chong et al.¹¹ also failed to find a significant association between clamp level and mortality. El-Sabrout and Reul²⁶ highlighted a large increase in mortality associated with SR/SC clamping. However, on subgroup analysis, their SR group had more preoperative risk factors and underwent more extensive surgeries. Another study by Varkevisser et al.²⁷ highlighted an increase in 30-day mortality in patients with SC clamping. However, the variables included on multivariate analysis were selected a priori and did not include intraoperative factors. In addition, important confounding variables, such as renal ischemia time, were not accounted for in the analysis.

The cardiac response to aortic clamping is well-described—as peripheral vascular resistance increases, there is an increase in left ventricular afterload^{15,28} with a concomitant decrease in cardiac output.^{15,29} These changes are exaggerated in patients with coronary artery disease and can lead to areas of significant oxygen supply/demand mismatch with concomitant wall motion abnormalities and ventricular dysfunction.^{17,30,31} The level of aortic clamping has been implicated in the degree of cardiac strain,³² with more proximal clamp positions causing larger increases in cardiac strain and decreases in cardiac output.^{17,33} El-Sabrout and Reul^{26} described a doubling (4 vs 2%) in the incidence of cardiac complications in patients with SR/ SC aortic clamping compared to IR clamping, with the resulting cardiac complications being more frequently fatal in the SR/SC aortic clamping group (63 vs 40%). However, this study included ruptured aneurysms, which were significantly more frequent in the SR/SC group. The current study examined only elective IR AAAs and documents a significant increase in MI in the SC and above 2 renals groups; clamping above 1 renal artery was not associated with an increase in MI. Our outcome is in agreement with the findings of Roizen et al.³³ who described SC clamping causing greater depression in the cardiac index with increased end systolic and diastolic volumes than more distal clamp positions. In addition, the greater de-clamping hypotension associated with the release of SC control versus IR is well-recognized and a probable contributor to cardiac dysfunction.

Renal dysfunction is frequent after open AAA repair. Recognized predictors of postoperative renal failure include preoperative renal dysfunction, the presence of renovascular disease, and the location and duration of aortic clamping.^{26,34–38} Even IR aortic clamping has been shown to decrease renal blood flow and clearance, while increasing renal vascular resistance.^{39,40} Clamping above the renal arteries is associated with a much larger decrease in renal perfusion related to a direct physical reduction in renal blood flow. Clamping at this level is also associated with oxygen free-radicalinduced downregulation of both nitric oxide and prostaglandin GE₂ production, which further impairs renal cortical blood flow.⁴¹ In the study by El-Sabrout and Reul,²⁶ SR/SC clamping was associated with a fourfold (12.6 vs 3.35%) increase in transient renal dysfunction in patients clamped SR/SC when compared to those clamped IR. These results are mirrored in a study by Sasaki et al.⁴² who reported that proximal clamping was associated with an increased incidence of renal dysfunction (28.6% above 1 renal and 50% above 2 renals) when compared to IR clamping (8.4%). In this review of VQI data, all three proximal aortic clamp levels were associated with an increased incidence of AKI when compared to the IR group, in which ORs of 2.0, 2.2, and 2.3 were found for above 1 renal, above 2 renals, and SC clamping, respectively (Table 4). All proximal clamp positions were also associated with increased odds of postoperative kidney failure requiring dialysis when compared to the IR group (Table 4). Similar ORs were obtained when looking at patients who had temporary or permanent dialysis (Supplementary Table 3). These results are in agreement with a study by O'Donnell et al.³⁷ who reported an OR of 1.4 for postoperative renal dysfunction after clamping above both renals, and an OR of 1.7 after SC clamping.

The increased LOS in the SC group is not unexpected given the increase in complexity of these cases. Not only did these patients have longer operating times, but they also experienced more serious morbidity—cardiac complications and renal failure requiring renal replacement therapy—than the other groups.

The machine learning model showed excellent performance in predicting 30-day mortality of our patient cohort undergoing elective open AAA repair after imputing data from the top five predictors of mortality, which were age, body mass index, preoperative creatinine, intraoperative estimated blood loss, and total procedure time. Previous studies looking at predictors of 30-day mortality after open AAA repair share similar risk factors, such as increased age and higher preoperative creatinine level.⁴³ Knowledge of these predictors can help in the preoperative risk stratification of patients and optimization of potentially modifiable factors, such as increased weight and creatinine levels. Limiting intraoperative blood loss and procedure time might be key in reducing the chance of dying at 30 days. Further studies are needed to validate this predictive model. In our current study, open surgical procedures for elective AAA repair done in the latter half of the study (2011-2017) had significantly higher postoperative renal and cardiac complications as compared to the initial half (2003-2010), although no statistical significance was reached when looking at perioperative mortality. This may be caused by patients' comorbidities or complexity of the aneurysms that were not accounted for in our dataset. However, we think that this Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: Research was supported by the Betty Jane and Alfred J. Fisher Vascular Surgery Research Fund.

Author's Note

This study was presented as an oral presentation at the 2019 Society for Clinical Vascular Surgery 47th Annual Symposium, Las Vegas, NV, March 16–20, 2019. This study was presented as a poster presentation at the 2019 Midwestern Vascular Surgical Society 43rd Annual Meeting, Chicago, IL, September 12–16, 2019.

Supplemental Material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

- Costin JA, Watson DR, Duff SB, et al. Evaluation of the complexity of open abdominal aneurysm repair in the era of endovascular stent grafting. *J Vasc Surg* 2006; 43: 915–920.
- Chaikof EL, Dalman RL, Eskandari MK, et al. The society for vascular surgery practice guidelines on the care of patients with an abdominal aortic aneurysm. *J Vasc Surg* 2018; 67: 2–77.
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm: Diagnosis and Management 2020. London: NICE Guideline, https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng156 (2020, accessed 22 June 2021).
- Nypaver TJ, Shepard AD, Reddy DJ, et al. Supraceliac aortic cross-clamping: determinants of outcome in elective abdominal aortic reconstruction. *J Vasc Surg* 1993; 17: 868–876.
- Green RM, Ricotta JJ, Ouriel K, et al. Results of supraceliac aortic clamping in the difficult elective resection of infrarenal abdominal aortic aneurysm. *J Vasc Surg* 1989; 9: 124–134.
- Howard Frazier O, Oalmann MC, Strong JP, et al. Clinical applications of the supraceliac aorta: anatomical and pathologic observations. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1987; 93: 631–633.
- Giulini SM, Bonardelli S, Portolani N, et al. Suprarenal aortic cross-clamping in elective abdominal aortic aneurysm surgery. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2000; 20: 286–289.
- Breckwoldt WL, Mackey WC, Belkin M, et al. The effect of suprarenal cross-clamping on abdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *Arch Surg* 1992; 127: 520–524.
- Schneider JR, Gottner RJ and Golan JF. Supraceliac versus infrarenal aortic cross-clamp for repair of non-ruptured infrarenal and juxtarenal abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Cardio*vasc Surg 1997; 5: 279–285.
- Sharp WJ, Bashir M, Word R, et al. Suprarenal clamping is a safe method of aortic control when infrarenal clamping is not desirable. *Ann Vasc Surg* 2008; 22: 534–540.

surgery training programs and found a 38% decrease in open AAA repair training volume over a 4-year period. This study has several limitations. First, its retrospective methodology allows determination of only association and not causation. Second, multivariate analysis accounts only for identified variables and a number of unmeasured risk factors may also contribute to confounding. Third, the analyzed data is derived from a national database that does not allow study of the cause of death. Another limitation is the fact that supramesenteric (between the superior mesenteric artery and the celiac) clamping was not studied. Some authorities believe that clamping at this level avoids some of the complications associated with total visceral ischemia induced by SC clamping.⁴⁵ This clamp level, however, is rarely used because of the extra exposure required and hence was not a variable choice in the VQI registry. And finally, there was no data to identify the reason for proximal aortic clamping in patients presenting for

may reflect a trend to decreased training in open operations.

This hypothesis is supported by the fact that there was higher

renal ischemia time, intraoperative EBL, and total procedure

time found in the latter half group. In addition, a recent study

by Smith et al.⁴⁴ evaluated the temporal trends of surgery

trainee open AAA repair volume in accredited vascular

changed intraoperatively. Difficult anatomy and consequently a more complex procedure undoubtedly drove the choice for proximal clamping, skewing our results.

repair of an IR AAA, and whether clamping position was

Conclusions

Utilizing the national VOI database, this study found that patients presenting for elective OSR of an IR AAA who underwent proximal aortic clamping, at any level, were older than those clamped at an IR level; in addition, they had more comorbidities and larger aneurysms size. On multivariate analysis, 30-day mortality was unaffected by clamp level. Clamping above both renal or celiac arteries was associated with an increased risk of postoperative MI. Similarly, clamping the aorta above 1 renal artery or higher was associated with postoperative AKI and renal replacement therapy. Patients operating in the latter half of the study had increased EBL, renal ischemia time, and operative time, which may reflect decreased training in open AAA repair. During open AAA repair, the proximal clamp site should be chosen based on anatomic considerations and not a perceived perioperative mortality benefit. Proximal aortic clamping should always be performed at the safest, distal-most level to reduce cardiac morbidity and the risk of postoperative dialysis.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

- Chong T, Nguyen L, Owens CD, et al. Suprarenal aortic cross-clamp position: a reappraisal of its effects on outcomes for open abdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *J Vasc Surg* 2009; 49: 873–880.
- Kabbani LS, West CA, Viau D, et al. Survival after repair of pararenal and paravisceral abdominal aortic aneurysms. *J Vasc Surg* 2014; 59: 1488–1494.
- Gelman S. The pathophysiology of aortic cross-clamping and unclamping. *Anesthesiology* 1995; 82: 1026–1057.
- Zammert M and Gelman S. The pathophysiology of aortic cross-clamping. *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol* 2016; 30: 257–269.
- 15. Levin A. The cardiovascular effects of aortic clamping and unclamping. *South Afr J Anaesth Analgesia* 2010; 16: 62–71.
- Haithcock BE, Shepard AD, Raman SB, et al. Activation of fibrinolytic pathways is associated with duration of supraceliac aortic cross-clamping. *J Vasc Surg* 2004; 40: 325–333.
- Cuzick LM, Lopez AR and Cooper JR, Jr. Pathophysiology of aortic cross-clamping. In: Chiesa R, Melissano G, Zangrillo A (eds) *Thoraco-abdominal aorta: surgical and anesthetic management*. Milan: Springer, 2011, pp. 65–72.
- Blankensteijn JD. Mortality and morbidity rates after conventional abdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *Semin Interv Cardiol* 2000; 5: 7–13.
- Dardik A, Lin JW, Gordon TA, et al. Results of elective abdominal aortic aneurysm repair in the 1990s: a populationbased analysis of 2335 cases. *J Vasc Surg* 1999; 30: 985–995.
- Kazmers A, Striplin D, Jacobs LA, et al. Outcomes after abdominal aortic aneurysm repair: comparison of mortality defined by centralized VA Patient Treatment File data versus hospital-based chart review. J Surg Res 2000; 88: 42–46.
- Lieberg J, Pruks LL, Kals M, et al. Mortality after elective and ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm surgical repair: 12-year single-center experience of Estonia. *Scand J Surg* 2018; 107: 152–157.
- Schlosser FJ, Vaartjes I, van der Heijden GJ, et al. Mortality after elective abdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *Ann Surg* 2010; 251: 158–164.
- Hertzer NR, Mascha EJ, Karafa MT, et al. Open infrarenal abdominal aortic aneurysm repair: the Cleveland Clinic experience from 1989 to 1998. *J Vasc Surg* 2002; 35: 1145–1154.
- Dillavou ED, Muluk SC and Makaroun MS. A decade of change in abdominal aortic aneurysm repair in the United States: have we improved outcomes equally between men and women? *J Vasc Surg* 2006; 43: 230–238.
- Chiesa R, Tshomba Y, Psacharopulo D, et al. Open repair for infrarenal AAA: technical aspects. *J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino)* 2012; 53: 119–131.
- El-Sabrout RA and Reul GJ. Suprarenal or supraceliac aortic clamping during repair of infrarenal abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Tex Heart Inst J* 2001; 28: 254–264.
- 27. Varkevisser RRB, de Guerre LEMV, Swerdlow NJ, et al. The impact of proximal clamp location on peri-operative

outcomes following open surgical repair of juxtarenal abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2020; 59: 411–418.

- Symbas PN, Pfaender LM, Drucker MH, et al. Crossclamping of the descending aorta. Hemodynamic and neurohumoral effects. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surgy* 1983; 85: 300–305.
- Dunn E, Prager RL, Fry W, et al. The effect of abdominal aortic cross-clamping on myocardial function. J Surg Res 1977; 22: 463–468.
- Gooding JM, Archie JP, Jr and McDowell H. Hemodynamic response to infrarenal aortic cross-clamping in patients with and without coronary artery disease. *Crit Care Mede* 1980; 8: 382–385.
- Attia RR, Murphy JD, Snider M, et al. Myocardial ischemia due to infrarenal aortic cross-clamping during aortic surgery in patients with severe coronary artery disease. *Circulation* 1976; 53: 961–965.
- Hafez HM, Berwanger CS, McColl A, et al. Myocardial injury in major aortic surgery. J Vasc Surg 2000; 31: 742–750.
- Roizen MF, Beaupre PN, Alpert RA, et al. Monitoring with two-dimensional transesophageal echocardiography. Comparison of myocardial function in patients undergoing supraceliac, suprarenal-infraceliac, or infrarenal aortic occlusion. *J Vasc Surg* 1984; 01: 300–305.
- Johnston KW. Multicenter prospective study of nonruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm. Part II. Variables predicting morbidity and mortality. *J Vasc Surg* 1989; 9: 437–447.
- Miller DC and Myers BD. Pathophysiology and prevention of acute renal failure associated with thoracoabdominal or abdominal aortic surgery. *J Vasc Surg* 1987; 5: 518–523.
- Kudo FA, Nishibe T, Miyazaki K, et al. Postoperative renal function after elective abdominal aortic aneurysm repair requiring suprarenal aortic cross-clamping. *Surg Today* 2004; 34: 1010–1013.
- O'Donnell TFX, Boitano LT, Deery SE, et al. Factors associated with postoperative renal dysfunction and the subsequent impact on survival after open juxtarenal abdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *J Vasc Surg* 2019; 69: 1421–1428.
- Yokoyama N, Nonaka T, Kimura N, et al. Acute hidney injury following elective open aortic repair with suprarenal clamping. *Ann Vasc Diss* 2020; 13: 45–51.
- Gamulin Z, Forster A, Morel D, et al. Effects of infrarenal aortic cross-clamping on renal hemodynamics in humans. *Anesthesiology* 1984; 61: 394–399.
- Sear JW. Kidney dysfunction in the postoperative period. Br J Anaestha 2005; 95: 20–32.
- Myers SI, Wang L, Liu F, et al. Oxygen-radical regulation of renal blood flow following suprarenal aortic clamping. *J Vasc Surgy* 2006; 43: 577–586.
- Sasaki T, Ohsawa S, Ogawa M, et al. Postoperative renal function after an abdominal aortic aneurysm repair requiring a suprarenal aortic cross-clamp. *Surg Today* 2000; 30: 33–36.

- Ramanan B, Gupta PK, Sundaram A, et al. Development of a risk index for prediction of mortality after open aortic aneurysm repair. *J Vasc Surgascular Surg* 2013; 58: 871–878.
- 44. Smith ME, Andraska EA, Sutzko DC, et al. The decline of open abdominal aortic aneurysm surgery among individual

training programs and vascular surgery trainees. *J Vasc Surg* 2020; 71: 1371–1377.

 Lim S, Halandras PM, Saqib NU, et al. Comparison of supramesenteric aortic cross-clamping with supraceliac aortic cross-clamping for aortic reconstruction. *J Vasc Surg* 2016; 64: 941–947.