

Henry Ford Health System

## Henry Ford Health System Scholarly Commons

---

Quality Improvement

Medical Education Research Forum 2019

---

5-2019

### Attempts to Improve Hepatitis C Screening Rates in a Gastroenterology Fellows' Clinic: A Quality Improvement Initiative

Alexander Weick  
*Henry Ford Health System*

Andrew Watson  
*Henry Ford Health System, awatso10@hfhs.org*

Reena Salgia  
*Henry Ford Health System, rsalgia1@hfhs.org*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarlycommons.henryford.com/merf2019qi>

---

#### Recommended Citation

Weick, Alexander; Watson, Andrew; and Salgia, Reena, "Attempts to Improve Hepatitis C Screening Rates in a Gastroenterology Fellows' Clinic: A Quality Improvement Initiative" (2019). *Quality Improvement*. 10. <https://scholarlycommons.henryford.com/merf2019qi/10>

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the Medical Education Research Forum 2019 at Henry Ford Health System Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Quality Improvement by an authorized administrator of Henry Ford Health System Scholarly Commons.

# Attempts to Improve Hepatitis C Screening Rates in a Gastroenterology Fellows' Clinic: a quality improvement initiative

Alexander Weick, MD

Andrew Watson, MD

Reena Salgia, MD

# Introduction

- CDC recommends one-time birth cohort Hepatitis C (HCV) testing for individuals born between 1945-1965.
- Previous quality improvement (QI) projects aiming to improve HCV screening have largely focused on increasing education of screening guidelines.
- We conducted a QI initiative focusing on improving the rates of HCV birth cohort screening in the Gastroenterology Fellows' (GI) continuity clinic at an urban tertiary care center.

# Methods

- The baseline rates of HCV birth cohort screening were assessed for patients seen in GI Fellows' clinic within three months prior to our intervention.
- The intervention was a standardized documentation statement to be included in all fellows' electronic medical record clinic notes to allow for clear documentation of the patients' HCV status.
- Total span of data collection was 6 months from July 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017

**ASSESSMENT:**

\*\*\*

**PLAN:**

At this time, we would respectfully make the following recommendations:

\*\*\*

Is patient up to date with Hepatitis C Age-Based Screening (one-time testing for adults born from 1945 to 1965): {yes/no:311199}

The above patient was seen and discussed with senior staff gastroenterologist \*\*\*, who agrees with the above assessment and plan.

At this time, we would respectfully make the following recommendations:

\*\*\*

Is patient up to date with Hepatitis C Age-Based Screening (one-time testing for adults born from 1945 to 1965): {yes/no:311199}

The above patient was seen and discussed with senior staff gastroenterologist \*\*\*, who agrees with the above assessment and

yes
no
***

# Methods

- The primary aim of this study was to assess the impact of this intervention on age-appropriate HCV screening achieved within three months after the intervention.
- The secondary aim was to compare baseline HCV screening rates in this urban population of patients.

# Results

- 231 patients in the pre-intervention group
  - 45.1% of patients were male and 23.4% were Caucasian.
- 245 patients in the post-intervention group
- Median age for both groups was 63 (average year of birth 1955).
  - In the post-intervention group, 42.4% were male and 18.8% were Caucasian.

# Results

- 92 of 231 (39.8%) patients in the pre-intervention group and 80 of 245 (32.7%) patients in the post-intervention group had NOT received screening for HCV prior to GI clinic appointment .
- 11 of the 92 (12.0%) patients in the pre-intervention group who had lacked prior screening were appropriately screened at their clinic appointment, compared to 12 of 80 (15.0%) in the post-intervention group.
- There was no significant difference between the groups ( $p=0.559$ )

	Pre-Intervention	Post-Intervention
<b>Prior HCV Screening</b>	60.2%	67.3%
<b>GI Clinic Screening</b>	12.0%	15.0%

# Conclusions

- This quality improvement intervention did show unexpectedly high baseline HCV birth cohort screening rates compared to recent published reports.
  - Literature-reported rates of HCV screening ranging from 0.68% to 37%
  - This is may be partially due to the hospital system's EMR incorporating electronic alerts for age-based HCV screening.
- This specific intervention did not show a significant improvement in hepatitis C viral screening rates in the GI fellows' continuity clinic.

# Conclusions

- The lack of efficacy with this intervention is relevant to allow future alternative approaches for improving screening rates to be explored.
- Interventions focused on adding documentation for improving screening rates may be onerous for GI clinicians who are seeing patients for primarily non-hepatologic concerns.
- Our study highlights the need for further quality improvement studies to continue to improve birth cohort HCV screening rates to a goal of 100 percent among GI physicians.